



Influence of Calcium and Gibberellic Acid on Growth, Yield and Economics of Summer Groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.)

P. Pavani Reddy^{a+++*}, Victor Debbarma^{a#}
and Salma Chilakala^{a++}

^a Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i71858

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99286>

Original Research Article

Received: 23/02/2023

Accepted: 26/04/2023

Published: 28/04/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *Zaid* season (2022) to determine the Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on growth, yield and economics of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). Experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 3 replicates of 10 treatments. The results showed that treatment 9 of [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA₃ (120ppm)] recorded significantly higher plant height (60.4 cm), more dry weight (45.95g), maximum number of nodules/plant (16.4), maximum number of pods/plant (24.60), maximum number of kernels/pod (1.93), higher seed index (37.8 g), higher seed yield (2.02t/ha), higher haulm yield (4.11 t/ha) and higher harvest index (32.83 %). Maximum gross returns (1,25,778.00 INR/ha), maximum net returns (87,853.50 INR/ha) and highest benefit cost ratio (2.32) was also recorded in treatment 9 of Ca (40kg/ha) + GA₃ (120ppm) as compared to other treatments.

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: pavanireddyreddy94@gmail.com;

Keywords: Calcium; economic; groundnut; gibberellic acid; growth; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

The oilseed sector plays an important role in India's agriculture and economy [1]. Nine oil annual seed crops serve as the main source of edible oil [2]. Among soyabeans, peanuts, canola and mustard are the main contributors. Oilseeds are grown mainly on poor soils with a lot of rain. Peanuts are prized for their high oil content and edible seeds. It is the fourth most important source of edible oil and the third most important source. The world's most important vegetable protein source which contain 42-52% oil and 22-30% protein on a dry seed basis, phosphorus, calcium, magnesium and potassium [3]. Peanut are not only a source of income for farmers, but also an excellent source inexpensive source of high-quality dietary protein and oil found in many Ghanaian diets [4].

Peanut are not only an important oilseed crop of India, but also a major agricultural export. Globally, peanuts cover 31.5 million hectares with a production of 53.6 million tons and a productivity of 1701 kg/ha [5]. With annual all-season coverage of 55.71 lakh hectares, globally, India ranks first in Groundnut area under cultivation and is the second largest producer in the world with 102 lakh tonnes with productivity of 1831 kg/ha in 2020-21 [6]. In *Kharif* 2021-22, groundnut production was 82.54 lakh tonnes in an area of 49.14 lakh hectares [6]. Groundnut is cultivated in one or more (*kharif*, *rabi* and summer) seasons, but nearly 90% of acreage and production comes from *kharif* crop (June-October). During 2019-20 total area coverage under groundnut in Uttar Pradesh 93822.00 hectares with a production of 88371 tonnes and the productivity 940kg/ha [6].

Despite the importance of this crop, yields remain below 1.0 t/ha, a long way off. Less than potential yield of 2-3t/ha. This impacted peanut production, income welfare of peanut farmers. It is not entirely clear whether this low-yield problem is due to declining soil fertility or changing climatic conditions. A lack of calcium increases the rate of seed breakage (empty pods or "pops") and improperly packed pods [7]. It also results in broken or shrivelled fruits, including the darkened plumules and production of seedless pods [8]. A sufficient amount of Ca should be present in the soil from early flowering in crop production [9].

Effect of Plant growth regulators in manipulating physiological processes in plant production, germination, vitality, absorption of nutrients from soil, photosynthesis, respiration, degradation of assimilates, growth inhibition, defoliation, post-harvest ripening [10,11].

Gibberellins are large group of plant hormones that stand alongside auxins are one of the main groups of plant regulators [12]. They are all physiologically different activity and structure, and the first gibberellin identified was gibberellic acid (GA₃). Gibberellins widely involved in all stages of plant growth and development, from seed germination to senescence. They promote seed germination; stimulate stem elongation, leaf enlargement, flowering, pollen and seed development, retardation and inhibition of maturation, aging [13]. Given the above facts, the present investigation entitled, "Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on the growth, yield and economics of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)"

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted at the Crop Research Farm during 2022 *Zaid* season. Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P). The soil of the field constituting a part of central gangetic alluvium is neutral and deep. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.8), low level of organic carbon (0.62%), available N (225 Kg/ha), P (38.2 kg/ha), K (240.7 kg/ha) and zinc (2.32 mg/kg). Treatment consists of three calcium stages (20, 30,40kg/ha) and gibberellic acid (40, 80, 120 ppm/ha). The treatment combinations are T1- Ca (20 kg/ha) + GA₃ (40 ppm), T2 - Ca (20 kg/ha) + GA₃ (80 ppm), T3 - Ca (20 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm), T4 - Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (40 ppm), T5 - Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (80 ppm), T6 - Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm), T7 - Ca (40kg/ha) + GA₃ (40 ppm), T8 - Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (80 ppm), T9- Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm), T10- Control N:P:K (20:60:40 Kg/ha). The growth, yield and economics were recorded at 80 DAS from randomly selected plants in each plot. The data were calculated and analysed by following statistical methods Gomez and Gomez [14].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

The data revealed that significantly higher plant height (60.43 cm) was recorded in treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)]. However, treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 1). The significant and higher plant height was observed with the application of calcium (40kg/ha) calcium increases plant nutrient supply, played an important role in photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, protein synthesis and synthesis growth stimulators, consequences of cell division, and cell elongation which would have resulted in increased height. These similar results are in agreement with those of Mansingh et al. [15]. Additionally, higher plant heights were observed when GA₃ was used (120 ppm) could be due to the application of gibberellic acid *via* leaves, rise the length of the hypocotyl and the length of the two nodes immediately above as a result, it affects the height of the plant. These results are in accordance with Emongor [16].

3.1.2 Number of nodules/plant

The data found that significantly higher number of nodules/plant (16.40 nodules/plant) was recorded in treatment 9 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)]. However, treatment 6 [Ca (30kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 1). Significantly higher number of nodules/plant was observed with the application of GA₃ (120ppm), which might be due to foliar application of GA₃ increases plant vitality and strengthens stems. Current results are close proximity to Senthil et al. [17].

3.1.3 Plant dry weight (g)

Results revealed that significantly higher plant dry weight (45.71 g) was recorded in treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)]. However, treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 1). Significant and greatest plant dry weight was observed with calcium application (40 kg/ha), possibly due to the application of calcium sources, which increased the total dry weight and yield of peanuts due to a reduction in percentage of unfilled seeds. There were similar reports as

agreed by Kamara et al. [18]. Further, higher plant dry weight was observed with the application of GA₃ (120 ppm), this may be because GA₃ is one of these growth regulators it has a positive effect on plant as it promotes vegetative growth and ultimately increased plant dry weight. Similar findings were confirmed by Islam et al. [19].

3.1.4 Crop growth rate (g/m²/day)

The data recorded that during 60-80 DAS, there was no significant difference between the treatments. However, highest crop growth rate (17.85 g/m²/day) was recorded in treatment 4 Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (40 ppm) (Table 1).

3.1.5 Relative growth rate (g/g/day)

The data revealed that during 60 – 80 DAS, there was no significant difference between the treatments. However, highest relative growth rate (0.0183 g/g/day) was recorded in treatment 10 [control (RDF)] (Table 1).

3.2 Yield Attributes

3.2.1 Number of pods/plant

The data found that significantly higher number of pods/plant (24.60) was recorded in the treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)]. However, the treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 2). More pods/plant was observed with calcium application (40 kg/ha), this might be due to soils that have been amended with gypsum as a calcium source. Contributed to better development of peanut pods and kernels, leading to higher number of pods/plant. Similar findings were earlier reported by Kabier et al. [20]

3.2.2 Number of kernels/pod

The data showed that significantly higher kernels/Pod (1.93) were recorded in the treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)]. However, the treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 2). Significant and higher kernels/pod was observed with the application of calcium (40 kg/ha), this might be due to calcium maintaining cell integrity, membrane permeability activates and participates in a number of enzymes involved in cell division, protein synthesis and carbohydrate transfer, resulted in development of kernels/pod in plant. Similar results were also noticed by Rajanarasimha et al. [21]. Further

higher kernels/pod was observed with application of GA₃ (120 ppm), which might be due to the plant growth regulators such as gibberellic acid may be involved in formation of seeds in pods and their optimum nutrition resulted in fewer number of aborted seeds and thus maximizes fertile seeds/pods survival for oilseed rape and mustard. This result corroborates the one reported by Akter et al. [22].

3.2.3 Seed index (g)

The data inferred that significantly higher seed index (37.80 g) was recorded in the treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)]. However, treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with the treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 2). Significant and higher seed index was observed using calcium (40kg/ha), this may be because peanuts are a calcium-loving crop and Ca above 90% in peanut pods is absorbed during the pod formation stage, resulting in calcium absorption from the soil important for peanut embryo and pod development. Similar results were also supported by Yang et al. [23]. Further the higher seed index was observed with application of GA₃ (120 ppm), this might be due to GA₃ prolonging the grain filling time as a result, it ensures long-term transport of photo-assimilates into grains, increases the 100 seed weight. This is in accordance with previous findings by Wang et al. [24].

3.2.4 Seed yield (t/ha)

The data stated that significant and higher seed yield (2.02 kg/ha) was recorded in the treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)]. However, the treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] which was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 2). Significant and higher seed yield was observed with application of calcium (40kg/ha) might be due to the fact that calcium plays an important role in reproduction development of peanut crops with increased seed yield. Similar findings were in accordance with Sagar et al. [25]. Further the higher seed yield was observed with application of GA₃ (120 ppm), which may be due to the positive effect of GA₃ on improving yield by transferring more photo-assimilates to seeds. This is in agreement with the results of Varshitha et al. [26].

3.2.5 Stover yield (t/ha)

The data reported that significant and higher haulm yield (4.11 t/ha) was recorded with the

treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)]. However, the treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] which was found to be statistically at par to the treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 2). Significant and higher haulm yield was observed with the application of calcium (40kg/ha), which may be attributed to increased pod yield and haulm and higher Ca concentrations with increased gypsum content as a source of calcium, as a result the uptake of this nutrient by pod and haulm is increased, ultimately resulting in recording. Similar results were supported by Patro et al. [27]. Further the higher haulm yield was observed with the use of GA₃ (120 ppm). Gibberellic acid leads to increased plant height and branch numbers which also translated into higher number of leaves which invariably made up the haulm. These results were in conformity with those of Harb [28].

3.2.6 Harvest index (%)

Significant and higher harvest index (32.83 %) was recorded in the treatment 9 (Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)) [29]. However, the treatments 3 [Ca (20kg/ha) + GA₃ (120ppm)] and treatment 5 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (80 ppm)] and treatment 6 [Ca (30 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] and treatment 7 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA₃ (40 ppm)] and treatment 8 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (80 ppm)] was found statistically at par with treatment 9 [Ca (40 kg/ha) + GA₃ (120 ppm)] (Table 1). Significant and higher harvest index was observed with the application of GA₃ (120ppm), which might be due to the higher harvest index indicating GA₃ application acceleration of anabolic feed to the sink. Similar findings have also been reported earlier by Akter et al. [22].

3.2.7 Economics

The results stated that maximum gross return (1,25,778.00 INR/ha), higher net return (87,853.50 INR/ha) and higher benefit cost ratio (2.32) was recorded in treatment 9 [Ca (40kg/ha) + GA₃ (120ppm)] as compared to other treatments (Table 3). Significant and maximum B:C ratio was observed with the application of Calcium (40kg/ha), which might be due to the application of gypsum as a source of Ca increase net returns and B:C ratio of harvesting peanuts with optimal nutrient utilization by gypsum during harvest leads to better growth and development of pods. Similar results were found by Sagar et al. [25].

Table 1. Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on growth parameters of groundnut

S. No	Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of nodules/plant	Plant dry weight (g)	CGR (g/m ² /day)	RGR (g/g/day)
1	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	56.60	13.73	41.90	17.23	0.0143
2	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	58.23	14.70	43.15	17.39	0.0140
3	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	58.90	15.03	43.65	17.47	0.0140
4	Ca 30 kg/ ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	57.13	14.06	42.44	17.85	0.0147
5	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	59.26	15.53	43.95	16.84	0.0130
6	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	60.10	16.16	45.95	17.57	0.0130
7	Ca 40 kg/ ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	58.03	14.23	42.73	17.77	0.0140
8	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	59.53	15.86	44.84	17.79	0.0137
9	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	60.43	16.40	45.71	17.46	0.0130
10	Control (RDF)	55.23	13.16	41.06	16.49	0.0183
	F-test	S	S	S	NS	NS
	SEm (±)	0.13	0.07	0.15	0.24	0.0002
	CD at 5%	0.41	0.23	0.45	0.72	0.0007

Table 2. Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on yield and yield attributes of groundnut

S. No	Treatments	Number of pods/plant	Number of kernels/pod	Seed index (g)	seed yield (t/ha)	Haulm yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
1	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	20.15	1.27	31.26	1.62	3.54	31.47
2	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	22.45	1.48	33.63	1.78	3.75	32.17
3	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	23.08	1.59	35.20	1.82	3.82	32.31
4	Ca 30 kg/ ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	21.23	1.33	31.83	1.66	3.59	31.63
5	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	23.55	1.66	35.90	1.85	3.88	32.28
6	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	24.05	1.87	37.66	1.96	4.10	32.33
7	Ca 40 kg/ ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	21.57	1.41	33.03	1.73	3.63	32.31
8	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	23.87	1.77	36.43	1.89	3.93	32.56
9	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	24.60	1.93	37.80	2.01	4.11	32.83
10	Control (RDF)	18.63	1.20	31.13	1.58	3.46	31.35
	F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S
	SEm (±)	0.19	0.02	0.16	18.19	24.75	0.19
	CD at 5%	0.57	0.07	0.50	0.05	0.07	0.58

Table 3. Influence of calcium and gibberellic acid on the economics of groundnut

S. No.	Treatment combinations	Cost of cultivation (INR/ha)	Gross returns (INR/ha)	Net returns (INR/ha)	B:C ratio
1	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	32,515.80	93,504.00	60,988.20	1.88
2	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	34,915.80	1,02,748.00	67,832.20	1.94
3	Ca 20 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	37,315.80	1,05,284.00	67,968.20	1.82
4	Ca 30 kg/ ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	32,820.16	95,920.00	63,099.80	1.92
5	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	36,220.16	1,06,754.00	70,533.80	1.95
6	Ca 30 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	37,620.16	1,13,044.00	75,423.80	2.00
7	Ca 40 kg/ ha + GA ₃ 40 ppm	33,124.52	99,866.00	66,741.50	2.01
8	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA ₃ 80 ppm	35,524.52	1,09,062.00	73,537.50	2.07
9	Ca 40 kg/ha + GA ₃ 120 ppm	37,924.52	1,25,778.00	87,853.50	2.32
10	Control (RDF)	29,507.20	74,552.00	45,044.80	1.53

4. CONCLUSION

Based on above findings it can be concluded that application of calcium at 40 kg/ha along with foliar application of Gibberellic acid 120 ppm (Treatment 9), has performed better in growth, yield and benefit cost ratio.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Prayagraj, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, (U.P) India for providing necessary facilities to undertaken the studies.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- DOR. Vision. 2013;2050.
- DRMR. Vision 2050 ICAR- directorate of rapeseed- mustard research, Bharatpur India. 2015;26.
- Savage GP, Keenan JI. The composition and nutritive value of groundnut kernels; 1994.ISBN 0 412 408201.
- Asibuo JY, Akromah R, Adu-Dapaah HK, Safo-Kantanka O. Evaluation of nutritional quality of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) from Ghana. Afr J Food Agric Nutr Dev. 2008;8(2):133-50.
- Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database; 2020. Available: <http://www.fao.org.in>
- Department of Agriculture and Cooperation; 2020. Available: <https://agricoop.nic.in>
- Ntare BR, Diallo AT, Ndjeunga ATW, aliyar F. Groundnut seed production manual. Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh. India: International Crops Research institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (international crops research institute for the Semi-Arid tropics). 2008;20 .
- Singh F, Oswalt DL. Groundnut production practices. Skill development series number 3. ICRSAT training and fellowship program. Patancheru: International Crops Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Andra Pradesh 502 324. India; 1995.
- Kamara A, Olympio, Asibuo J. Effect of calcium and phosphorus fertilizer on the growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Int Res J Agric Sci Soil Sci. 2011;1(8):326-31.
- Rahman MA, Nath KK. Effect of seed treatment of IAA and GA3 on sex expression, fruit character and yield of bottle gourd. Bangladesh J Sci. 1993;5(2):57-63.
- Hossain MI, Mannam MA, Kareem MA. Salicylic acid and gibberellic acid ameliorate the adverse effect of salinity on chickpea. Bangladesh Agron J. 2015;18(1):81-8.
- Bethke PC, Jones RL. Gibberellin signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 1998;1(5):440-6..
- Mshelmbula BP, Ogale E, Bello S, Kana HA, Sulayman MY, Allahnana MH et al. Impact of gibberellic acid (GA₃) on growth, yield and nodulation on two accessions of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). J Appl Sci Environ Manag. 2021;25(8): 1435-9.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. New Delhi: John Wiley & Sons; 1984. p. 680.
- Mansingh MDI, Suresh S. Effect of difference sources and levels of lime application on yield, nutrient availability and uptake of rice in acidic soils. J Agric Ecol. 2019;07(1):73-87.
- Emongor VE. Gibberellic acid (GA₃) influence on vegetative growth, nodulation and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). J Agron. 2007;6(4):509-17.
- Senthil NK, Jaya KR. Effect of growth regulators on yield and quality of greengram (*Vigna radiate*) under graded levels of nitrogen. Madras Agric J. 2004;91(1-3):92-5.
- Kamara EG, Olympio NS, Asibuo JY. Effect of calcium and phosphorus fertilizer on the growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Int Res J Agric Sci Soil Sci. 2011;1:326-31.
- Islam MR, Hasan M, Akter N, Akhtar S. Cytokinin and gibberellic acid alleviate the effect of waterlogging in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). Journal Clean WAS. 2021;5:21-6.
- Kabir R, Yeasmin S, Islam AKMM, Sarkar MAR. Effect of phosphorus, calcium and boron on the growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Int J Biosci Bio Technol. 2013;5(3):51-60.
- Rajanarasimha M, Singh R, Singh E. Effect of sulphur and calcium on growth

- and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(9):1685-8.
22. Akter A, Islam E, Karim R, Razzaque AHM. Effect of GA₃ on growth and yield of mustard. Int J Sustain Crop Prod. 2007;2(2):16-20.
 23. Yang S, Li L, Zhang J, Geng Y, Guo F, Wang J et al. Transcriptome and Differential Expression Profiling Analysis of the Mechanism of Ca²⁺ Regulation in Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) pod development. Front Plant Sci. 2017; 8:1609.
 24. Wang F, Cheng F, Zhang G. The relationship between grain filling and hormone content as affected by genotype and source-sink relation. Plant Growth Regul. 2006;49:1-8.
 25. Sagar DRMS, Dawson J, Reddy RUK. Effect of phosphorus and gypsum on growth, yield and economics of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9(10):1635-8.
 26. Mary Varshitha K, Singh V, Shruthi GG, Singh AC. Effect of plant growth regulators and spacing on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Int J Environ Clim Change. 2022;12(10):614-9.
 27. Patro H, Ray M. Effect of rate and time of gypsum application on yield, economics and nutrient uptake in groundnut. New Agric. 2016;27(2):1-6.
 28. Harb EZ. Effect of soaking seeds in some growth regulators and micronutrients on growth, some chemical constituents and yield of faba beans and cotton plants [Suppl] [bulletin]. 1992;43:429-52.
 29. Mazid M, Naqvi N. Key approach of plant growth regulator application in searching of best time for enhancing nitrogen fixation capacity of chickpea cultivar DCP. Unique J Ayurvedic Herb Med. 2014;2(05):92-3: 8-18.

© 2023 Reddy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99286>