Microbiology Research Journal International

20(3): 1-13, 2017; Article no.MRJI.33869 ISSN: 2456-7043 (Past name: British Microbiology Research Journal, Past ISSN: 2231-0886, NLM ID: 101608140)

In silico RFLP Analysis of 16S rRNA Genes: A Helpful Application for Distinguishing Bifidobacteria from Human and Animal Source

Monica Modesto¹ , Samanta Michelini¹ , Giorgia Perpetuini1,2, Rosanna Tofalo² , Thomas Andlid³ and Paola Mattarelli1*

¹Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. 2 Faculty of Bioscience and Agro-Food and Environmental Technology, Campus Universitario di Coste Sant' Agostino, University of Teramo, Teramo, Italy. ³Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering and Food Science, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors MM, SM and PM designed the study, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the protocol. Authors MM, SM, GP, RT and TA wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors SM and PM managed the analyses of the study. Author GP managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2017/33869 Editor(s): (1) Raúl Rodríguez-Herrera, Autonomous University of Coahuila, México. Reviewers: (1) Shorena Khetsuriani, Tbilisi State Medical University, Georgia. (2) Antonietta Messina, Università degli Studi della Campania, Naples, Italy. (3) Anastasiya Sidarenka, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Belarus. (4) Guadalupe García-Elorriaga, Mexican Social Security Institute, Mexico. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/19680

> **Received 1st May 2017 Accepted 14th June 2017 Published 23rd June 2017**

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Bifidobacterial species are widespread in gastrointestinal tracts of mammalian and other animals; they can be found in extra body environment only after a fecal contamination or human intentional addition (as the case of probiotics). Interestingly their occurrence is strictly linked to their hosts with a clear demarcation between animal and human species. PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) on the 16S rRNA gene, using Alul, and Taql restriction enzymes, have been utilized to distinguish the animal or human source of 64 strains belonging to 13

*Corresponding author: E-mail: paola.mattarelli@unibo.it;

Bifidobacterium species (Delcenserie et al. [15]). Our aim was to test this method updating an in silico restriction analysis on the available 16S rRNA gene sequences of all 55 currently described taxa of Bifidobacterium genus. Our results confirmed the reliability of this method, optimized with the use of three restriction enzymes: Alul, Taql and Maelll, as a fast and simple strategy to determine the origin (human or animal) of bifidobacteria. Interestingly, the bifidobacterial species recently isolated from non-human primates cluster in the group of animal source except the bifidobacterial species isolated from higher non-human primates closest to humans such as apes (chimpanzee, orangutan and gorilla) that clusters with human group. Moreover, B. minimum, B. subtile and B. mongoliense isolated only from extrabody environment of which the source is unknown clustered with animal species. The in silico RFLP-PCR confirmed its powerful ability to attribute the primary source of occurrence (human or animal) for bifidobacterial species to the human or animal habitat.

Keywords: Bifidobacterium spp.; computer simulated RFLP; AluI; TaqI; MaeIII; host specificity; fecal contamination indicator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bifidobacteria are an important group of intestinal commensals that exert a number of beneficial effects on their hosts such as prevention of diarrhea and microbial infection, alleviation of lactose intolerance and modulation of immune system [1]. Bifidobacteria are considered hostspecies-specific bacteria as validated by numerous studies [1]. In human beings the following bifidobacterial species have been found: B. adolescentis, B. angulatum, B. bifidum, B. breve, B. catenulatum, B. dentium, B. gallicum, B. longum subsp. longum, B. longum subsp. *infantis*, B. pseudocatenulatum and B. scardovii [2]. Generally, the species present in humans are not present in the other vertebrates, except for the group described as B. longuminfantis and for B. pseudocatenulatum, which are present in infants and suckling calves. Bifidobacteria have been isolated from many nothuman vertebrates, especially mammalian. Of the 55 bifidobacterial taxa described to date, 42 have been isolated from not human vertebrates. [3]. Most of these species have a single host, e.g. B. magnum in rabbit, B. choerinum in pig and B. pullorum in chicken. Moreover, some species are present in more than one host, like the B. animalis subsp. lactis isolated from rabbit and chicken, B. thermophilum from chicken, pig, calf and bovine rumen and B. pseudolongum subsp. globosum and subp. pseudolongum (chicken, rabbit, pig, calf and bovine rumen, rat). Bifidobacteria typically found in insects are: B. actinocoloniforme, B. bohemicum, B. bombi, B. asteroides, B. coryneofrme and B. indicum. Finally in non human primates, except Apes, the following species have been found: B. aesculapii, B. biavati, B. callitrichos, B. eulemuris, B. lemurum, B. reuteri, B. stellenboschense, B. myosotis, B. tissieri, B. hapali, B. moukalabense

[4–10]. On the other hand, in Apes, such as orangutan and chimpanzee, the bifidobacteria typical of human habitat "Bifidobacterium angulatum like", B. dentium and B. adolescentis have been found [11,12,13].

Eventually B. minimum and B. subtile being isolated from sewage cannot be attributed to a specific animal or human host source. The very peculiar feature of species specific bifidobacterial distribution in gastrointestinal tracts of their hosts can be a valuable tool for individuating the source of faecal contamination in water environments or along the meat processing chain [14]. For these applications the development and implementation of tools which can attribute the primary source of bifidobacterial species of unknown origin is of great importance in order to determine the original source of bifidobacterial species. The discriminatory power of rRNA gene analysis utilized for species identification can be improved by digestion of PCR products, and analysis of restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR – RFLP) after gel electrophoresis [15] in order to identify the human or animal origin of the strains. For the first time Delcenserie et al. [16] after designing specific PCR primers matching the 16S rDNA region performed a study of sixty-four strains belonging to thirteen Bifidobacterium species by means of the Alul enzyme. This restriction allowed them to obtain seven different groups. However, because two groups contained both animal and human strains, the Taql enzyme was then used to correctly differentiate the origin of those strains. The current availability of a large number of bifidobacterial 16S rRNA sequences makes possible to simulate restriction digestions in silico and to generate virtual RFLP patterns for high throughput study of these bacteria. Here, we report the exploitation of a computer-simulated

RFLP analysis method, performing an in silico restriction analysis on the available 16S rRNA gene sequences, with the aim to verify the reliability of this method in differentiating from animal or human origin of the currently described 55 bifidobacterial taxa.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Bifidobacterium 16S rRNA Partial Gene Sequences

The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the 55bifidobacterial taxa were retrieved from the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and listed in Table 1. We also included the 16S rRNA gene sequences of three novel bifidobacterial taxa recently isolated from nonhuman primates. All the sequences were first aligned in CLC_Sequence Viewer version 7.5, for Mac OS (CLC, Inc., Aarhus, Denmark) using Clustal Omega and then edited in the region flanked by primers 16S direct, 5' – AAT AGC TCC TGG AAA CGG GT – 3', and 16S reverse, 5' - CGT AAG GGG CAT GAT GAT CT – 3' [14]. Final sequences of about 1050 bp were obtained.

2.2 Restriction Enzyme Analysis

All restriction analyses were performed in silico using the tool Restriction Site Analysis available in CLC_Sequence Viewer version 7.5, for Mac OS (CLC, Inc., Aarhus, Denmark). According to the method proposed by Delcenserie et al. [15], as first step, the 16S rRNA partial gene sequences were digested with Alul. Each pattern was analysed and compared to the groups previously described and associated with the different origin by Delcenserie et al. [16], using a script written in Python (version 2.7.8) (https://www.python.org/) (Supplementary File 1) for this study. If an unknown restriction profile was obtained, it was labelled as New Profile (NP) and the origin of the corresponding bifidobacterial species was recognized. When a heterogeneous pattern was obtained, the corresponding 16S rRNA gene sequences were restricted with Taql and successively, if necessary, with Maelll until a correct origin was obtained.

Table 1. List of species (all type strains if not specified), origin, international collection and GenBank accession number and fragment size (in bp) for each partial 16S rRNA gene sequence used in this study

Species	Origin	Collection Nr.	GenBank	16S rRNA
			accession	fragment
			Nr.	size (bp)
B. actinocoloniforme	Animal	DSM 22766	FD858731	1054
B. adolescentis	Human	DSM 20089	AB437355	1056
B. aesculapii	Animal	DSM 26737	KC807989	1055
B. angulatum	Animal	AATCC 27535	D86182	1054
B. animalis subsp. animalis	Animal	JCM 1190	D86185	1066
B. animalis subsp. lactis	Animal	DSM 10140	AB050136	1064
B. asteroides	Animal	DSM 20089	EF187235	1052
B. biavatii	Animal	DSM 23969	AB559506	1062
B. bifidum	Human	DSM 20456	AB437356	1054
B. bohemicum	Animal	DSM 22767	FD858736	1053
B. bombi	Animal	DSM 19703	HE582780	1051
B. boum	Animal	JCM 1211	D86190	1054
B. breve	Human	AATCC 15700	AB006658	1056
B. callitrichos	Animal	DSM 23973	AB559503	1051
B. catenulatum	Animal	DSM 16992	AB437357	1054
B. choerinum	Animal	AATCC 27686	D86186	1064
B. commune	Animal	DSM 28792	LK054489	1051
B. coryneforme	Animal	DSM 20216	AB437358	1052
B. crudilactis	Animal	DSM 20435	NR_115342	1050
B. cuniculi	Animal	DSM 20435	AB438223	1065
B. dentium	Human	AATCC 27534	D86183	1056
B. faecal	Animal	JCM 19861	KF990498	1055
B. gallicum	Animal	JCM 8224	D86189	1064

DSM, Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH; JCM, Japan Collection of Microorganisms; BUSCOB, Bologna University Scardovi Collection of Bifidobacteria

3. RESULTS

Computer-simulated analysis is revolutionising some of the manners in which microbiological research is carried out. In silico approaches do not require any expensive materials (i.e., chemicals and enzymes) and analytical instruments and facilitate and speed up the screening of several strains rapidly and provide a better vision of microbial ecology [17]. The

restriction analysis of the 16S rRNA partial gene sequence with Alul and Taql had been described as an easy way to distinguish human from animal borne bifidobacteria [15]. The method was previously tested on sixty-four strains belonging to only 13 Bifidobacterium species [15], but nowadays, 50 bifidobacterial species and 6 subspecies have been validated. To verify the reliability of this method, an in silico restriction analysis was performed on the 16S rRNA partial gene sequences of the 55 validated type strains. We also included two strains belonging to two putative novels Bifidobacterium species isolated from baby common marmosets [8]. All the aligned 1050 bp sequences, belonging to the 57 bifidobacterial type strain, were firstly digested with the enzyme Alul (Table 2) and thirteen different patterns were obtained. Seven pattern out of the thirteen described were found also by Delcenserie et al. [15] while for the other ones they were labelled as New Profile and added in the Python script, which resulted able to rapidly calculate each restriction profile and to associate them to the respective group (Table 2). The following pattern are shown: pattern I (800– 150– 100 bp) included B. animalis subsp. animalis, B. animalis subsp. lactis, B. cuniculi, B. stellenboschense, B. aesculapii, B. reuteri and B. adolescentis; pattern II (600–200– 150–100 bp) included B. asteroides, B. scardovii, B. acticolooniforme, B. tsurumiense, B. tissieri, B. kashiwanohense, B. biavatii, B. choerinum, B. pseudolongum subsp. globosum, B. pseudolongum subsp. pseudolongum, B. bifidum, B. breve, and B. dentium, strains Bifidobacterium spp. MRM 8.19 and Bifidobacterium spp. MRM 9.3; pattern III (400– 300–200–150 bp) includedB. merycicum, B. angulatum and B. callitrichos; pattern IV (900– 150 bp) included B. ruminantium; pattern V (310-290–200–150– 100 bp) included B. minimum, B. indicum, B. coryneforme, B. commune, B. subtile, B. crudilactis, B. psychraerophilum, B. mongoliense; pattern VI (700–200–150 bp) included B. pseudocatenulatum, B. catenulatum and B. moukalabense; and pattern VII (800–150– 50–30) included B. thermophilum, B. boum, B. thermoacidophilum subsp. thermoacidophilum, B. thermoacidophilum subsp. porcinum, B. saguini. B. faecale. The following new patterns were shown: pattern I-NP (492-406-146-6), IV-NP (310-246-107-145-102-40), V-NP (352-311- 286-60-35-6) and VI-NP (375-233-206-134-96) each included one species: B. myosotis (type and reference strains), B. bohemicum, B. lemurum and B. gallicum, respectively. Furthermore, group III-NP (315-286-206-140-60-

37) included three animal species: B. bombi, isolates from insects, B. hapali (type and reference strains), recently isolated from baby common marmosets and B. eulemuris, a novel species isolated from the black lemur. However, pattern II-NP (590/600-206-145-60-35-6 bp) included B. longum subsp. suis, B. magnum, B. pullorum, B. saeculare, B. longum subp. infantis, B. longum subsp. longum and B. gallinarum, then resulting heterogeneous. Therefore, restriction with Alul generated four heterogeneous groups (I, II, the new II-NP and the VII pattern, previously described by Delcenserie et al. [15] as homogenous differently from this study) as including species of both human- and animal borne bifidobacteria. As the aim of the present study was to set up a method to distinguish bifidobacteria with respect to their origin, the second enzyme TaqI has been utilized for restriction of the 16S rRNA sequences clustered in all heterogeneous profiles. Resulting profiles were elaborated with the Python script: two patterns, VIII and IX, previously identified and associated with the different origin by Delcenserie et al. [15] were retrieved together with the two new profiles X-NP and XI-NP (Table 3). Based on the new fragment length profiles calculated, species of group I, II and II-NP were reassigned to groups VIII and IX, X-NP and XI-NP (Table 3). Groups VIII and X-NP resulted homogeneous as including only species of animal origin: group VIII (471-340-240) included B. animalis susbp. animalis, B. animalis subsp. lactis, B. choerinum, B. cuniculi, B. pseudolongum subsp. pseudolongum, and B. pseudolongum subsp. pseudolongum while group X-NP (800-250 bp) included two animal species recently described in common marmoset, B. reuteri and B. aesculapii. Group IX (471-250-198-134) resulted heterogeneous as containing bifidobacterial species from human and animal origin such as B. asteroides, B. adolescentis, B. breve, B. kashiwanohense, B. bifidum, B. dentium, and B. magnum. The same for the group XI-NP (666-253-133) including B. gallinarum, B. longum subsp. longum, B. longum subsp. infantis, B. longum subsp. suis, B. saeculare, B. pullorum, B. actinocoloniforme, B. biavati. Analysing all the currently described species of bifidobacteria, TaqI was not able to correctly differentiate origin of some species in the groups II and II-NP (Table 3). Therefore, the 16S rRNA sequences in those groups were further restricted by means of other several enzymes available in the CLC_Sequence Viewer database. Only the enzyme MaeIII resulted able to distinguish human from animal borne

bifidobacteria and five homogenous groups were obtained: group X (372-275-185-157-61) including B. biavatii, group XI (468-364-157-61) including B. actinocoloniforme, group XII (471- 405-113-61) containing B. longum subsp. longum and B. longum subsp. infantis, group XIII (518472-61) containing B. scardovii, B. gallinarum, B. pullorum and B. saeculare, group XIV (532-405- 113) only containing B. longum subsp. suis of animal origin (Table 4). Table 5 summarized results obtained from the restriction analysis with the three enzymes used sequentially.

Table 3. TaqI restriction profiles obtained for each species with information about the pattern attribution and the origin. For each species, type strains have been utilized; only for B. tissieri, B. hapali and B. myosotis also the reference strains have been used

Table 4. MaeIII restriction profiles obtained for each species with information about the pattern attribution and the origin

Species	Origin	First	Second	Third digestion:
		digestion: Alull pattern	digestion: Taql pattern	Maelll pattern
B. biavatii	Animal	Ш	XI-NP	X
B. actinocolinoforme	Animal	\mathbf{I}	XI-NP	XI
B. adolescentis	Human	I	IX	XII
B. kashiwanohense	Human	Ш	IX	XII
B. dentium	Human	\mathbf{I}	IX	XII
B. breve	Human	\mathbf{I}	IX	XII
B. bifidum	Human	\mathbf{I}	IX	XII
B. faecale	Human	VII	IX	XII
B. longum subsp. longum	Human	II-NP	XI-NP	XII
B. longum subsp. infantis	Human	II-NP	XI-NP	XII
B. tsurumiense	Animal	Ш	IX	XIII
B. asteroides	Animal	\mathbf{I}	IX	XIII
B. thermophilum	Animal	VII	IX	XIII
B. thermacidophilum subsp. thermacidophilum	Animal	VII	IX	XIII
B. thermacidophilum subsp. porcinum	Animal	VII	IX	XIII
B. stellenboschense	Animal	I	XI-NP	XIII
B. scardovii	Animal	I	XI-NP	XIII
B. saeculare	Animal	II-NP	XI-NP	XIII
B. pullorum	Animal	II-NP	XI-NP	XIII
B. gallinarum	Animal	II-NP	XI-NP	XIII
B. magnum	Animal	II-NP	IX	XIV
B. longum subsp. suis	Animal	II-NP	XI-NP	XIV
B. cuniculi	Animal	I	VIII	
B. animalis subsp. lactis	Animal	I	VIII	
B. animalis subsp. animalis	Animal	I	VIII	
B. tissieri	Animal	Ш	VIII	
B. tissieri ^T	Animal	\mathbf{I}	VIII	
Bifidobacterium spp. MRM_8.19	Animal	\mathbf{I}	VIII	
Bifidobacterium spp. MRM_9.3	Animal	Ш	VIII	
B. pseudolongum subsp. pseudolongum	Animal	\mathbf{I}	VIII	
B. pseudolongum subsp.globosum	Animal	Ш	VIII	
B. choerinum	Animal	Ш	VIII	
B. saguini	Animal	VII	VIII	
B. boum	Animal	VII	VIII	
B. reuteri	Animal	I	X-NP	
B. aesculapii	Animal	I	X-NP	
B. myosotis T	Animal	I-NP		
B. myosotis MRM_5.10	Animal	I-NP		
B. merycicum	Animal	\mathbf{III}		
B. callitrichos	Animal	\mathbf{III}		
B. angulatum	Animal	\mathbf{III}		
B. eulemuris	Animal	III-NP		
B. hapalii	Animal	III-NP		

Table 5. Summary of the results obtained from the restriction analysis with the three enzymes

4. DISCUSSION

Bifidobacteria exert a positive health action towards target hosts such as human beings and other animals and literature supporting their beneficial use [3]. Characteristically Bifidobacterium species are characterized by significant host specificity. Based on this hypothesis, PCR methods have been utilized for detecting bifidobacteria as general indicators of faecal human or animal contamination in water pollution, in raw milk and raw milk cheese processes [16].

In the present study the method described by Delcenserie et al. [15] using at first step AluI then TaqI with implementation of a third restriction step with MaeIII was applied to all bifidobacterial species nowadays described and confirmed its ability to differentiate their human or animal origin.

Interestingly B. angulatum up to now considered of human origin, in this study clusters with B. merycicum and B. callithricos both from animal origin. This supports the hypothesis that finding a species with only one strain in one single habitat is not sufficient to ascribe this habitat to that species. Infact this species has been isolated from human faeces but probably derived from another source. Similarly B. scardovii which has been isolated from female adult patients, viz. from 50-year-old female's blood sample in Sweden, from two elderly Swedish patients' urine

sample, and from a 44- year-old female patient's hip [18], cluster with other animal species: also the source of this species probably need to be revised. Another interesting finding is the clustering of B. moukalabense, isolated from gorilla, a primate very close to humans, to human bifidobacterial group: the occurrence of human bifidobacterial species in apes has been yet described with the presence of B. adolescentis and B. dentium in chimpanzee, orangutan and gorilla [11,12]; on the other hand all the other recently describe species from primates, belonging to Old and New World monkeys and to Prosimians, which are at evolutionary level more distant from humans, cluster in the animal group of bifidobacteria.

The use of bifidobacteria as indicators could be a powerful potential tool for the detection of antropic or livestock faecal contamination.RFLP-PCR beside confirming the ecological habitat of the species that have been isolated from different animals and humans, is also able to attribute the niche to species of unknown origin: infact in the present work B. minimum and B. subtile isolated from sewage and B. mongoliense from fermented milk have been associated to animal source. Moreover, bifidobacteria isolated from primates are divided in two groups, where the species isolated from apes (orangutan, gorilla and chimpanzee) are associated to human source (B. moukalabense for instance cluster with human species) differently from all other bifidobacterial primate species (B. aerophilum, B.

avesanii, B. biavatii, B. callithricos, B. hapali, B. myosotis, B. ramosum, B. saguini, B. stellenboshense and B. tissieri), which cluster with animal sources.

In silico RFLP analysis is very efficient also to discriminate bifidobacterial subspecies origin confirming B. longum subsp. longum and B. longum subsp. infantis typically found in humans different from B. longum subsp. suis and B. longum subsp. suillum typically found in animals.

Further investigation by comparative genomics could probably better explain the link between genetic restriction profiles and specific niche distribution of bifidobacteria.

5. CONCLUSION

In the present work the application of PCR-RFLP for a rapid molecular recognition of natural habitat of bifidobacteria has been shown. This method with restriction databases of other strains belonging to Bifidobacteriaceae family would be an extremely useful and practical tool for application in microbial ecology studies.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mattarelli P, Biavati B. The genera Bifidobacterium, Parascardovia and Scardovia. In: Wood B, Holzapfel W, editors. The Lactic Acid Bacteria: Biodiversity and Taxonomy. John Wiley & Sons (UK); 2014.
Biavati B.
- 2. Biavati B, Mattarelli P. Genus
Bifidobacterium. In: Goodfellow M. Bifidobacterium. In: Goodfellow M, Kampfer P, Busse HJ, Suzuki KI, Ludwig
W. Whitman WB. editors. The W, Whitman WB, editors. The Actinobacteria. Springer; 2012.
- 3. Yanokura E, Oki K, Makino H, Modesto M, Pot B, Mattarelli P, et al. Subspeciation of Bifidobacterium longum by multilocus approaches and amplified fragment length polymorphism: Description of Bifidobacterium longum subsp. suillum subsp. nov., isolated from the faeces of piglets. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2015;38(5): 305–14.
- 4. Michelini S, Oki K, Yanokura E, Shimakawa Y, Modesto M, Mattarelli P, et

al. Bifidobacterium myosotis sp. nov. Bifidobacterium tissieri sp. nov. and Bifidobacterium hapali sp. nov.: Three novel taxa from the faeces of baby common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus L.). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2016;6(1):255– 65.

- 5. Modesto M, Michelini S, Stefanini I, Ferrara A, Tacconi S, Biavati B, et al. Bifidobacterium aesculapii sp. nov., from the faeces of the baby common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2014;64(8):2819–27.
- 6. Endo A, Futagawa-Endo Y, Schumann P, Pukall RR, Dicks LMT. Bifidobacterium reuteri sp. nov., Bifidobacterium callitrichos sp. nov., Bifidobacterium saguini sp. nov., Bifidobacterium stellenboschense sp. nov. and Bifidobacterium biavatii sp. nov. isolated from faeces of common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus) and red. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2012;35(2):92–7.
- 7. Tsuchida S, Takahashi S, Mbehang Nguema PP, Fujita S, Kitahara M, Yamagiwa J, et al. Bifidobacterium moukalabense sp. nov. isolated from the faeces of wild west lowland gorilla (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) in Gabon. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2014;64(Pt 2):449–55.
- 8. Michelini S, Modesto M, Oki K, Stenico V, Stefanini I, Biavati B, et al. Isolation and identification of cultivable Bifidobacterium spp. from the faeces of 5 baby common marmosets (Callithrix jacchus L.). Anaerobe. 2015;33:101–4.
- 9. Modesto M, Michelini S, Stefanini I, Sandri C, Spiezio C, Pisi A, et al. Bifidobacterium lemurum sp. nov., from faeces of the ringtailed lemur (Lemur catta). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2015;65(6):1726–34.
- 10. Michelini S, Modesto M, Pisi A, Filippini G, Sandri C, Spiezio C, et al. Bifidobacterium eulemuris sp. nov. isolated from the faeces of the black lemur (Eulemur macaco). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2016;66:1567–1576.
- 11. Ushida K, Uwatoko Y, Adachi Y, Soumah AG, Matsuzawa T. Isolation of bifidobacteria from feces of chimpanzees in the wild. J Gen Appl Microbiol. 2010;56(1):57–60.
- 12. D'Aimmo MR, Modesto M, Mattarelli P, Biavati B, Andlid T. Biosynthesis and cellular content of folate in bifidobacteria across host species with different diets. Anaerobe. 2014;30:169–77.

- 13. Moeller AH, Caro-Quintero A, Mjungu D, Georgiev AV, Lonsdorf EV, Muller MN, et al. Cospeciation of gut microbiota with hominids. Science. 2016:353(6297):380– 2.
- 14. Bonjoch X, Lucena F, Blanch AR. The persistence of bifidobacteria populations in a river measured by molecular and culture techniques. J Appl Microbiol. 2009;107(4): 1178–85.
- 15. Delcenserie V, Bechoux N, Leonard T,
Daube G. Discrimination between G. Discrimination between Bifidobacterium species from human and animal origin by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism. J Food Prot. 2004;67:1284–8.
- 16. Delcenserie V, Bechoux N, China B, Daube G, Gavini F. A PCR method for detection of bifidobacteria in raw milk and raw milk cheese: Comparison with culturebased methods. J Microbiol Methods. 2005;61(1):55–67.
- 17. Escobar-Zepeda A, de Leon VP, Sanchez-Flores A. The road to metagenomics: From microbiology to DNA sequencing technologies and bioinformatics. Front Genet. 2015;6:348.
- 18. Hoyles L, Inganäs E, Falsen E, Drancourt M, Weiss N, McCartney AL, et al. Bifidobacterium scardovii sp. nov., from human sources. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2002;52(Pt 3):995–9.

___ © 2017 Modesto et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/19680