

3(2): 1-10, 2017; Article no.AJOCS.36462 ISSN: 2456-7795



Ayodele Akinterinwa^{1*}, Fasina Esther Oluwayemisi¹, Joseph Japari¹ and A. Aminu²

¹Department of Chemistry, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria. ²Department of Chemistry, Federal College of Education, Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJOCS/2017/36462 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) SungCheal Moon, Korea Institute of Materials Science (KIMS), Industrial Technology Support Division, Changwon, Republic of Korea. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Birsa Mihail Lucian, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania. (2) Newton Luiz Dias Filho, Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense, Brazil. (3) Muhammad Raziq Rahimi Kooh, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Brunei. (4) Dipanwita Majumdar, Barasat Government College, India. (5) Atiya Firdous, Mehran Degree College, Pakistan. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://prh.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/21454</u>

Original Research Article

Received 29th August 2017 Accepted 6th October 2017 Published 18th October 2017

ABSTRACT

In our quest to exploit the biomasses in our local environment for an efficient water treatment at the level of hazardous aqueous ions removal, we furthered here by immobilizing the stem bark biomass of *Ficus asperifolia* in a stable polymer matrix of calcium alginate. The sorption capacity of the immobilized *F. asperifolia* stembark (IFASB) for the heavy metal ions as determined from the percentage change in initial concentrations of their aqueous solutions using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS), were as follows: Cu²⁺ 96.41%, Fe³⁺ 94.15%, Cd²⁺ 99.91%, Zn²⁺ 97.91%, Pb²⁺ 99.30%, Cr³⁺ 97.12%, Mn²⁺ 94.73% respectively. Equilibrium and kinetics investigations were carried out while studying the effect of ionic strength, pH, initial concentration and contact time on the sorption capacity of IFASB. Even though there are some variations within the ranges of investigation, the IFASB can be said to still exhibit an impressive absorption capacity (not less than



^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: ayoterinwa@yahoo.com;

80%) for all the ions and under all varied conditions. This study therefore includes the IFASB in the archive of modified biomasses with economic potentials in the removal of heavy metals from wastewater.

Keywords: F. asperifolia; heavy metal ions; biosorption; equilibrium.

1. INTRODUCTION

Aqueous heavy metal ions above some threshold concentration in utility water will pose a wide range of health and environmental challenges to humans and other organisms [1]. One of the sustainable ways to avert this is by removing this dangerous species or reducing them to safe amount in discharges and wastewater before they are allowed to enter the water body. The word "sustainable" will remain in the earlier statement only if extensive research into developing alternatives, efficient and sustainable technologies for the removal of these species. A number of procedures have achieved the removal of these species from aqueous media, but none have been without limitations calling for improvements [2,3]. Among these limitations, cost has been the most pinching. These therefore place biosorption above other methods being the most cost efficient. There has been a rich archive of reports on biomasses and modified biomasses studied for the removal of toxic metal ions from aqueous media [1,3,4,5,6], this is to keep obtaining efficient alternatives with reduced cost implications in the biosorption procedure. Absorption and/or adsorption are the two processes with which metal ions are removed from their aqueous media and trapped in the biomass polymeric matrix. For these materials, a stable structure and organic functionalities with affinity for the metal ions are two basic criteria for their efficiency as a biosorbent, and when these criteria is only partially fulfilled, various modifications may be involved.

Immobilization is a modification procedure in which the sorbent is caged in a stable matrix by physical and/or chemical means. This impact improved structural integrity and functionalities that enhances the sorbent characteristics of the biomasses during sorption and regeneration processes. The alginate media was used in this study. The cross linking of the polymer is due to the binding of divalent cation Ca²⁺ to the carbonyl group(s) on biomass in a higher co-operative manner. This network immobilizes the biomass to produce the stable sorbent (Equation 1). Other media including carrageenan and polyacrylamide

gel has also been reported [7], however, immobilization based on these polymeric matrices were said to result in restrictive diffusion because of closed embedding structures with low mechanical strength [6].

L + NaAlg + CaCl_{2(aq)}
$$\rightarrow$$
 CaLAlg_(s) (Sorbent) (1)

F. asperifolia (sand paper tree) is an evergreen tree reaching a height range 5-12 m. The tree is widely distributed in Africa specifically in Senegal, Cameroon, Sudan, Central and East Africa and also in Nigeria. In Adamawa State (North Eastern part of Nigeria), the tree is found in Michika, Hong, Gombi and song local government Areas. The root is locally used in the treatment of coughs, gastritis, urinary disorders and haemoorrhoids [8]. It was also gathered that the leaves are traditionally used as a coagulant. As at the time of this study, no industrial relevance of this plant is known to us.

This study seeks the production of a material with great potentials for industrial use in water remediation from a biomass obtained from the stembark of *F. asperifolia*. The equilibrium studies (under some typical conditions) and the kinetic studies of the removal of aqueous heavy metal ions by IFASB will guide in the optimized application of the material. This study will present another cheap alternative biosorbent with potentials in the biosorption of aqueous heavy metal ions from wastewaters.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

Sodium alginate, sodium chloride, calcium chloride, hydrochloric acid, chromium nitrate, cadmium nitrate, Iron (II) nitrate, manganese nitrate, zinc nitrate, lead (II) nitrate and copper nitrate are analytical grades and products the British drug house (BDH). These materials are used as obtained with no further purification.

2.2 Sampling

F. asperifolia stembarks was cut directly from the plant tree in the morning on 4th November, 2016 in Girei, Adamawa state, Nigeria.

2.3 Sample Preparation

The biomass modified by immobilization was prepared thus; *F. asperifolia* stem barks were air dried in the laboratory for two months, after which it was grounded and sieved through 100 μ m mesh to obtain a fine homogeneous particle size, which was kept and sealed in a clean polyethylene to avoid excessive moisture uptake prior to the modification process.

2.4 Immobilization Procedure of *F. asperifolia* Stem Barks Solution

The biomass (4 g) was mixed with 100 cm³ distilled water. An aliquot of the mixture (50 cm³) was taken and stirred vigorously with 50 cm³ of 4% stock solution of sodium alginate till a stable homogeneous mixture was obtained. This mixture was quickly transferred into another beaker containing 60 cm³ of 0.12 M calcium chloride solution to start the crosslinking reactions. The reaction (complete precipitation) was allowed to occur for 1 h after which the precipitate was filtered, washed with distill water and air-dried at room temperature to obtain the IFASB [9].

2.5 Preparation of Metal Ion Stock Solution

These metal ions Pb^{2^+} , Fe^{3^+} , Cr^{3^+} , Cd^{2^+} , Zn^{2^+} , Mn^{2^+} and Cu^{2^+} was chosen during the study. Aqueous solutions (1000 ppm) of this metal ions were prepared from their salts by dissolving 1.60, 3.54, 4.10, 2.10, 2.95, 2.90 and 3.46 g of lead (II) nitrate, iron (II) nitrate, chromium (II) nitrate, cadmium nitrate, copper nitrate, zinc nitrate and manganese nitrate respectively in 1 liter distilled water. From these stock solutions, 200 ppm aqueous solution of each metal ion was prepared by serial dilution [10].

2.6 Sorption Capacity of IFASB

An aliquot (50 cm³) of the 200 ppm solution of each metal ion was introduced into a conical flask, IFASB (0.2 g) was added, the conical flask was quickly corked and set into a flash shaker and shaken for 2 hours. After the time out, the mixture was filtered, and the concentration of the filtrate was determined using AAS (atomic absorption spectrophotometer, Buck Scientific, model: VGP 210) [10]. The percentage sorption capacity was calculated as follows:

% sorption =
$$\frac{Ci-Cf}{Ci} \times 100$$

Where:

Ci = intial concentration (200 ppm) Cf = final concentration (as obtained from AAS)

Averages of triple determinations were recorded. This was repeated while varying some parameters of the aqueous solutions to study the metal ions' sorption equilibrium and kinetics.

2.7 Determination of the Effect of pH on Sorption Capacity of IFASB

Equilibrium sorption with respect to solution pH was studied within pH range 1 to 6, at room temperature (30°C). The pH of the solutions was measured using phywe pH meter and adjusted using 1.0 M hydrochloric acid and 1.0 M sodium hydroxide [10].

2.8 Determination of Effect of Ionic Strength on Sorption Capacity

Equilibrium sorption with respect to ionic strength was studied in a solution of 0.2 - 1.0% weight of NaCl/weight of aqueous solution [9].

2.9 Determination of the Effect of Contact Time on Sorption

To determine the kinetics of sorption towards equilibrium for the aqueous metal ions, 8 sorption assembles for each aqueous solution were set shaking on the flash shaker. These were collected for analysis at different time intervals starting from 0.5 hour till the 24th hour, at room temperature 30°C [9].

2.10 Determination of the Effect of Initial Concentration on Sorption Capacity

Equilibrium sorption with respect to the initial metal ion concentration was studied in lower concentration (5 ppm – 100 ppm) solutions of each aqueous metal ion. This was achieved by further serial dilutions [5].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Sorption Capacity of IFASB

Fig. 1 presents the sorption capacity of IFASB for the different aqueous heavy metal ions with initial concentration of 200 ppm. Here, 96.41% was recorded for Cu^{2+} , 94.15% for Fe³⁺, 99.30% for Pb²⁺, 94.73% for Mn²⁺, 97.12% for Cr³⁺, 97.91% for Zn^{2+} and 99.91% for Cd^{2+} . Cu^{2+} was the least while Cd2+ was the most sorbed among the aqueous ions; however, with all above 90%, the studied metal ions sorption on IFASB is encouragingly high. This can be most attributed to synergetic effects between the biomass and alginate creating functionalities with strong affinity for these aqueous ions. This can be suspected as the calcium alginate dose used in the immobilization resulted in higher sorption compared to reported calcium alginate matix only [11,12,13]. Compared to immobilized Ficus syncomorus leaves (IFSL) biomass in our earlier reports [1,3], IFASB has shown stronger sorption capacity for Cr³⁺, Cd²⁺, and Zn²⁺.

3.2 Effect of Time

Fig. 2 presents the effect of contact time on sorption capacity of IFASB for the metal ions under study. It was observed that as the contact time increases, the sorption capacity of metal ions also increases. IFASB reached sorption equilibrium after four hours for Cu^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Cr^{3+} and six hours for Pb^{2+} and one hour for Fe³⁺ and Zn²⁺. The variation in the equilibrium sorption time for the aqueous ions can be attributed to their different masses and ionic

sizes hence different mobility [1]. Biomass affinity and the ion mobility are the basic factors determining the rate at which the active sites are saturated [14]. For Fe^{3+} , the behavior observed after the 1st hour may be due to rapid equilibrium attained on the outer/surface active sites, followed by a gradual sorption onto the core active sites of the sorbent.

3.3 Effect of Initial Metal Ion Concentration

Fig. 3 illustrates the effect of initial metal concentration on the equilibrium sorption of the aqueous metal ions on IFASB. It can be observed that the removal of metal ions by the bio-sorbent increases with increase in the initial metal ion concentration. This may be due to increase in flux and collision among the metal ions [15]. Increase in the initial concentrations of the aqueous solutions may increase interactions providing driving forces which will influence all mass transfer reactions between the biosorbent (solid phase) and aqueous phase [15,16]. At 50 ppm, IFASB achieves equilibrium sorption for all the ions; however, the rate for Fe³⁺ was the least. This can be explained in terms of the reactions/interactions between the active sites and metal ions being the slowest for Fe³⁺ under conditions with concentrations lower than 50 ppm.



Fig. 1. Sorption capacity of IFASB for metal ions



Fig. 2. Effect of contact time on sorption by IFASB



Fig. 3. Effect of initial concentration on sorption by IFASB

3.4 Effect of pH

The result obtained from influence of pH on sorption capacities of IFASS is shown in Fig. 4. Aqueous solutions with initial concentration of 200 ppm with different pH (1-6) at room temperature ($30\pm2^{\circ}$ C) were used in this study. The lower sorption generally observed at the acidic media can be attributed to particle attrition and partial protonation of the active sites with H₃O⁺, competing with the metal ions for the available active sites [14]. The effect of pH on the

sorption capacity of IFASB for the heavy metal ions depends on their different speciation as the pH value changes [17]. This explains the different fashions of sorption for the different heavy metals as they tend towards equilibrium.

3.5 Effect of Ionic Strength on Sorption of IFASB

Fig. 5 presents the effect of ionic strength on sorption of the aqueous metal ions on IFASB. Initial concentration of the aqueous heavy metal

ions is 200 ppm with 0.2 - 1% NaCl. The results show that sorption capacities of IFASB decreases (slightly for Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, Mn²⁺, Cr³⁺ and Zn²⁺) and more significantly for Fe³⁺ and Cr³⁺ with increase in ionic strength. This may signal electrostatic attractions between the ions and the biosorbent. Na⁺ competes with the aqueous metal ions in the electrostatic interaction with the active sites, and the sorption of the heavy metal ions reduces as the concentration of the competitive ion and hence ionic strength increases [18]. Increasing concentration of Na+ in the aqueous solution may also increase the repulsive forces on the surface of the biosorbent leading to reduced sorption of the heavy metal ions [6]. NaCl is a typical dissolved salt in wastewater. Even though it's of no significant hazards, its presence and concentration may influence the sorption process [3].



Fig. 4. Effect of pH on sorption on IFASB



Fig. 5. Effect of ionic strength on sorption by IFASB

4. CONCLUSION

This study has achieved its aim in establishing the potentials of a biomass prepared from F. asperifolia stembark, as an alternative material with efficient capability in biosorption. The biomass was modified by immobilization in a calcium alginate matrix. The modified biomass exhibited synergetic effects resulting in a very strong affinity for the aqueous heavy metal ions studied. Equilibrium and kinetic studies on the sorption onto the modified biomass under some typical conditions showed that the material may not require the optimum environmental factors or condition to achieve an efficient sorption of aqueous heavy metal ions from their media. The study therefore has contributed in limiting the current challenges with the remediation of wastewater polluted with heavy metals ions. It has also present F. asperifolia as a plant with potentials as an industrial raw material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the technical and academic contributions by Dr. I. I. Nkafamiya, and the aptness of the laboratory attendants of the Department of Chemistry, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, Nigeria.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Nkafamiya II, Dass PM, Mustapha A, Akinterinwa A. Bioremediation: Removal of Pb²⁺, Cr³⁺ and Cd²⁺ from aqueous solution using immobilized *Ficus sycomorus* leaves. Journal of Water Pollution & Purification Research. 2015;2(3):30-40.
- Aldehold D, William CJ, Edyvean RCJ. The removal of heavy metal ions sea weeds and derivatives. Bioresources Technology. 1996;58:1–6.
- Akinterinwa A, Nkafamiya II, Mustafa A, Japari JI. Bioremediation: Sorption of Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, Mn²⁺ and Zn²⁺ using immobilized *Ficus sycomorous* leaves biomass. Journal of Water Pollution and Purification Researcher. 2016;3(1):6-13.
- 4. Akhtar N, Iqbal J, Iqbal M. *Microalgal luffa* sponge immobilized disc: A new efficient

biosorbent for the removal of Ni (II) from aqueous solution. Letters in Applied Microbiology. 2003;37:149–153.

- 5. Barminas JT, Osemeahon SA. Development of sodium alginate and Konkoli gum-grafted-polyacrylamide blend membrane. Journal of Pure and Applied Science. 2005;8:70-79.
- Reza A, Amin P. Removal of cerium (IV) iron from aqueous solution using saw dust as a very low cost biomass. International Journal of Environmental Sciences and Technology. 2010;5(3):253–262.
- Robinson PK. Immobilized algal technology for wastewater treatment. In: Wong YS, Tam FY, Editors. Wastewater Treatment with Algae. Berlin: Springer Nerlag. 1998;1–16.
- Michel A. Trees, shrubs and laimas of West Africa dry zones. CIRAD MARGRAF Publishers, GMBA, MNHN. 2004;399.
- Wuyep PA, Chuma AG, Awodi S, Nok. AJ. Biosorption of Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu and Pb metals form refinery effluent by calcium alginate immobilized Mycelia Polyporussqumsunnolos. Scientific Research Essay. 2007;2(7):27-221.
- Charamathy S, Chung W, Marshall WE. Adsorption of selected toxic metals by modified pea shell. Journal of Chemical Technology. 2001;75:791-797.
- Mahmood Z, Nasir S, Jamil N, Sheihk A, Akram A. Adsorption studies of phosphate ions on alginate-calcium carbonate composite beads. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2015;9(3):274-281.
- Cataldo S, Gianguzza A, Pettignano A. Sorption of Pd(II) ion by calcium alginate gel beads at different chloride concentrations and pH. A kinetic and equilibrium study. Arabian Journal of Chemistry. 2016;9(5):656-667.
- Mahmood Z, Amin A, Zafar U, Raza MA, Hafeez I, Akram A. Adsorption studies of cadmium ions on alginate–calcium carbonate composite beads. Applied Water Sciences. 2017;7:915–921.
- Akinterinwa A, Tizhe BJ, Osemeahon SA. Bioremediation of wastewater with immobilized *Moringa oleifera* bark. Bagale Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences. 2014;9(2):76–86.
- 15. Wan MW, Kan CC, Rogel BD, Dalida MLP. Adsorption of copper (II) and lead (II) ions

from aqueous solution on chitosan coated sand. Carbohydrate Polymer. 2010;80: 891-899.

- El-Ashtoukhy ESZ, Amin NK, Abdulwahab O. Removal of lead (II) from aqueous E.S.Z. solution using, pomegranate peel as new absorbent. Desalination. 2008;223: 162-173.
- 17. Arshadi M, Amiri MJ, Mousavi S. Kinetic, equilibrium and thermodynamic

investigations of Ni(II), Cd(II) and Co(II) adsorption on barley starw ash. Water Resources and Industry. 2014;6:1-17.

 Lim LBI, Priyantha N, Tennakoon DTB, Chieng HI, Dahri MK, Suklueng M. Breadnut peel as a highly effective lowcost biosorbent for methylene blue: Equilibrium, thermodynamic and kinetic studies. Arabian Journal of Chemistry. 2017;10:S3216-S3228.

APPENDIX

Appendix 1. Sorption capacity

Metal ions		Cu	Fe	Pb	Mn	Cr	Zn	Cd	
Sorption capacity of		96.41	94.15	99.30	94.73	97.12	97.91	99.91	
IFASB (%)		±0.0011	±0.0064	±0.0057	±0.0042	±0.0029	±0.0031	±0.0048	
Appendix 2. Effect of contact time on sorption for IFASB									
Time	0.5	1	2	4	6	8	14	24	
(hr)									
lons (%) 🔪									
Cu²⁺	91.10	92.40	94.81	97.30	97.44	97.43	97.45	97.84	
_	±0.033	±0.065	±0.069	±0.011	±0.015	±0.053	±0.063	±0.032	
Fe ³⁺	99.22	95.39	95.29	95.53	95.94	96.78	96.99	97.90	
	±0.032	±0.043	±0.049	±0.032	±0.084	±0.046	±0.054	±0.045	
Pb ²⁺	97.15	97.34	97.55	97.78	98.21	98.82	98.85	99.71	
	±0.065	±0.054	±0.065	±0.094	±0.054	±0.083	±0.085	±0.043	
Mn ²⁺	94.57	94.89	95.01	95.30	95.29	95.34	95.60	96.49	
	±0.095	±0.043	±0.048	±0.049	±0.095	±0.084	±0.084	±0.093	
Cr ³⁺	98.02	98.08	98.16	99.10	99.12	99.14	99.38	99.47	
	±0.074	±0.084	±0.074	±0.093	±0.087	±0.054	±0.043	±0.084	
Zn ²⁺	98.40	98.41	98.43	98.54	98.53	98.50	98.55	99.03	
	±0.084	±0.094	±0.082	±0.098	±0.083	±0.093	±0.083	±0.078	
Cd ²⁺	96.69	96.79	96.99	97.35	97.46	97.57	97.75	98.44	
	±0.093	±0.057	±0.034	±0.068	±0.054	±0.094	±0.037	±0.012	

Appendix 3. Effect of initial concentration on sorption for IFASB

Init col (pr	tial 5 ncentration om)	10	20	50	100
lons (%)					
Cu ²⁺	81.40	89.60	93.55	93.64	94.64
	±0.092	±0.023	±0.034	±0.034	±0.043
Fe ³⁺	43.20	71.50	85.55	93.14	96.19
	±0.045	±0.064	±0.036	±0.042	±0.093
Pb ²⁺	98.20	98.40	98.60	98.78	99.07
	±0.046	±0.057	±0.035	±0.082	±.0.033
Mn ²⁺	91.60	92.10	92.45	93.18	93.35
	±0.034	±0.023	±0.034	±0.022	±0.034
Cr ³⁺	88.20	90.80	91.40	93.18	93.38
	±0.034	±0.034	±0.034	±0.023	±0.034
Zn ²⁺	95.40	94.46	95.45	97.64	97.90
	±0.054	±0.034	±0.033	±0.033	±0.011
Cd ²⁺	84.40	88.50	91.85	95.40	95.63
	±0.034	±.0.034	±0.045	±0.034	±0.034

$\overline{}$	рН	1	2	3	4	5	6
lons (9	%)						
Cu ²⁺		92.93	93.62	94.10	94.66	96.32	97.08
		±0.091	±0.022	±0.071	±0.066	±0.019	±0.043
Fe ³⁺		98.34	98.68	98.95	99.24	99.38	99.38
		±0.062	±0.054	±0.021	±0.017	±0.042	±0.011
Pb ²⁺		99.06	99.31	99.32	99.36	99.42	99.55
		±0.043	±0.088	±0.061	±0.035	±0.081	±0.055
Mn ²⁺		95.28	95.43	95.52	95.84	95.85	95.85
		±0.017	±0.052	±0.099	±0.033	±0.071	±0.088
Cr ³⁺		95.39	95.45	96.47	97.52	98.77	99.31
		±0.056	±0.051	±0.018	±0.049	±0.012	±0.083
Zn ²⁺		98.38	98.39	98.41	98.43	98.44	98.46
		±0.063	±0.087	±0.011	±0.028	±0.086	±0.091
Cd ²⁺		96.45	96.72	96.95	97.30	97.33	97.37
		±0.087	±0.016	±0.091	±0.082	±0.012	±0.097

Appendix 4. Effect of ph on sorption for IFASB

Appendix 5. Effect of ionic strength on sorption for IFASB

lonic strength (NaCl%w/w)	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	
lons (%)	_					
Cu ²⁺	92.68	92.51	92.44	92.38	92.27	
	±0.093	±0.032	±0.022	±0.023	±0.028	
Fe ³⁺	93.30	93.11	92.65	92.36	91.02	
	±0.053	±0.057	±0.027	±0.028	±0.021	
Pb ²⁺	99.92	99.91	99.83	99.73	99.71	
	±0.052	±0.052	±0.072	±0.063	±0.024	
Mn ²⁺	94.74	94.73	94.71	94.69	94.65	
	±0.035	±0.034	±0.023	±0.023	±0.022	
Cr ³⁺	91.04	90.60	89.88	89.89	89.97	
	±0.033	±0.032	±0.038	±0.034	±0.022	
Zn ²⁺	98.42	98.41	98.40	98.38	98.39	
	±0.033	±0.022	±0.033	±0.034	±0.029	
Cd ²⁺	96.98	96.97	96.94	96.91	96.89	
	±0.035	±0.033	±0.027	±0.022	±0.028	

© 2017 Akinterinwa et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://prh.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/21454