



31(8): 34-41, 2021; Article no.MRJI.76575 ISSN: 2456-7043 (Past name: British Microbiology Research Journal, Past ISSN: 2231-0886, NLM ID: 101608140)

Evaluation of the Diagnostic Utility of Geohelminths from Environmental and Stool Samples in Nnewi Metropolis

Chioma Maureen Obi^{a*}, Anikpe Chinwoke Akunna^a, Ifeanyi Onyema Oshim^a, Bright Unaeze^a and Okeke Monique Ugochukwu^a

^a Medical Microbiology Option, Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2021/v31i830339 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Laleh Naraghi, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection,Iran. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Frederick Nchang Cho, Cameroon. (2) Cátia L. Silva Macaringue Salamandane, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal. Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/76575</u>

Original Research Article

Received 08 September 2021 Accepted 16 November 2021 Published 06 December 2021

ABSTRACT

Background: Geohelminths are groups of four intestinal soil transmitted parasites. They are of public health concern due to their serious morbidity they cause in children which are the major groups affected.

Aim/Objective: The aim of this study is to evaluate the diagnostic performance of wet mount and concentration techniques of stool and soil samples for identification of geohelminths.

Methodology: Eighty stool samples were collected from four primary schools, while forty soil samples were collected from the important sites (playground, and classroom areas) in each of the school accessed. The stool samples were examined with the wet preparation and formol-ether concentration technique, while the soil samples were examined with the formol-ether concentration technique. Ethical approval was sought from the Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology. **Results:** The results of geohelminths showed an overall prevalence of 6.25% (5/80) from the stool samples, and 27.5% (11/40) obtained from the soil. Recovery rates from the stool showed the presence of only two geohelminth; Hookworm (5%) and *Strongyloides stercoralis* (1.25%). Out of the four schools examined only three showed positive results with geohelminth infections, while the

remaining school showed positive results with only *Giardia.lamblia*. The recovery rates from the soil also showed the same pattern of distribution as with the stool but with a higher prevalence of Hookworm (20.7%) and *Strongyloides stercoralis* (7.5%) with the toilet areas showing the highest prevalence (50%). The dominant specie of geohelminth observed in both stool and soil was *Hookworm*. The results also showed that both male (6%) and female (6.7%) are susceptible to the infection with no significant difference between their prevalances (p>0.05). **Conclusion:** The prevalence of Hook worm was poorly reported by wet mount technique.The diagnostic performance of concentration methods for the diagnosis of Hook worms in the samples was notably high as compared to wet mount techniques.

Keywords: Geohelminth; hookworm; wet mount; concentration method

1. INTRODUCTION

Geohelminths are soil transmitted parasites in which their immature stages (eggs) require a period of development or incubation in the soil before they become infective [1]. Thephylum Nematoda are dioecious, have a direct life cycle and no intermediate host or vector involved in their transmission which implies that man is the only definitive host. The adult forms are essentially parasites of humans, causing soiltransmitted helminthiasis.

Despite global decline in the prevalence of A. lumbricoides, T. trichiura and the hookworms (A. duodenale and N. americanus) in the Americas and Asia, the situation in sub-Saharan Africa remains stagnant [2]

Stool and soil samples examination for geohelminth identification is increased by the use of concentration methods. The concentration of parasites in ova or cysts from the samples can be done in different ways [3].

Even though several diagnostic methods such as Kato-Katz and Formol-Ether Concentration (FEC) techniques are available, direct wet mount is commonly used as a reliable diagnosis method for the diagnosis of intestinal parasitic infections generally in Africa and particularly in Ethiopia [4].

The direct wet mount examination of soft-towatery fecal specimens has a unique advantage that can detect the motile trophozoite stage of the protozoan species. However, timely processing, within 1 hour of passage of a fresh specimen, is not always possible in a busy clinical laboratory, so another alternative method is recommended, like formal-ether sedimentation techniques [5; 6].

The reliable diagnosis of parasitic infections requires a more rapid, easy, and sensitive

method [7]. The Wet mount method has been chosen as a routine diagnosis because it is easy to perform, had low cost and was time saving as compared to the other two techniques. The detection rate of parasites in a single stool examination using Wet mount method is very limited due to poor sensitivity [8]. The FEC diagnostic method is very sensitive and reliable to apply intestinal parasite detection. Even though WM has low sensitivity it is still important to detect motile trophozoite and FEC essential helminths ova detection. Therefore for conducting this research was important to select the appropriate diagnostic method to detect different parasite species.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted in Nnewi North Local Government Area, Anambra State. Nnewi is the second largest commercial city in Anambra State. It is located between the latitudes 5 30E, 605N and longitudes 655E and 700E. Nnewi has population of over 900,000. The major occupations of the people are farming and trading. Nnewi North comprises of four villages which are: Otolo, Nnewichi, Uruagu, Umudim.

2.2 Study Design

This study was a cross-sectional study designed to access the prevalence of geohelminths in both stool and soil samples, and risk factors promoting the transmission in pupils among the four schools. The research was carried out for a period of three month spanning from March-June 2021

2.3 Feacal Collection

A clean leak-proof wide-mouthed transparent universal container and applicator stick was

given to each of the 80 consented pupils in the selected schools. The pupils were educated on how to collect the feacal samples into the stool containers and appropriate disposal of the contaminated materials. The pupils were asked to take the containers home and return with it the freshly collected stool next morning. The stool specimens collected were transported to the Nnamdi Azikwe University Parasitological Laboratory immediately for processing.

2.4 Collection of Soil Sample

Forty soil samples were collected from the playgrounds, toilets, and classrooms of the four selected primary school. About 20g of the top soil (down to a depth of not more than 2 cm) samples were collected with a clean spoon and kept in clean transparent polythene bags which were carried to the laboratory for parasitic examination [9].

2.4.1 Macroscopic examination

The stool were analyzed macroscopically for the presence of blood, consistency, colour, mucus, and presence of adult intestinal parasites or their segments.

2.4.2 Wet preparation examination

A drop of normal saline and a drop of 1% lugol's iodine placed at both ends on a clean grease free slide. A clean applicator stick was used to collect 1g of the properly mixed stool and emulsified in normal saline and lugol's iodine. The preparation was carefully cover slipped and examined with the x10 and x40 microscope objective lens. The geohelminths were identified with the Atlas of parasitology [9].

2.4.3 Formol ether concentration technique

A clean applicator stick was used to emulsify 1g of the stool and soil samples in 4ml of 10% formol ether contained in clean test tube. An additional 3ml of 10% formol ether was added to the tube for homogenization. The emulsified feaces was sieved through a tea strainer into a clean centrifuge tube. 3ml of diethyl ether was added to the filtrate, stoppered and mixed vigorouslyfor one minute. This was centrifuged at 1000g for one minute. After centrifugation, there was a 3 layered separation seen in the tube which comprised of the ether, fecal debris, and the formol water. The contents of the tube were decanted leaving the sediment at the bottom of the tube. The sediment was resuspended and placed on a clean- grease.

Free slide and covered with a cover slip. The preparation was examined with the x10 and x40 microscope objective. The presence of geohelminth ova or larvae was identified with the Atlas of Parasitology by [9].

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The data was statistically analysed with Statistical Package for social science (SPSS) version 21.Chi square (x^2) test was used to determine the differences in prevalence of geohelminth infection among different explanatory variables. Pearson correlation test was used to test the relationship between geohelminth contamination of soils and pattern of infection among the students. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

3. RESULTS

Table 1: shows the overall prevalence of the geohelminth observed from the study population. Five out of 80 stool samples were positive for geohelminth ova or larvae, which gave an overall prevalence of 6.25%. The two geohelminth eggs or larvae observed in the stool samples were those of Hookworm (4/80) and Strongyloides stercoralis (1/80) which gave an overall prevalence of 6.25%. Hookworm had the highest prevalence (5%) and the most dominant specie, while the least was Strongyloides stercoralis (1.25%). Only single infections were observed with no cases of mixed infection. However, Giardia lamblia was observed in some of the stool samples.

Table 1. showing the overall prevalence of geohelminths in the stool samples examined

Geohelminths Observed	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Hookworm	4	5
Strongyloides. stercoralis	1	1.25
Total	5	6.25

Table 2: shows the pattern and distribution of geohelminth infection among the four schools.

Out of the 4 schools examined, only were 3 schools had pupils with positive results of geohelminth infections. In relation to the

prevalence observed among the schools, Umuezeanam Central School had the highest prevalence of geohelminth infections (2/20(10%), followed by Odida Central School (2/23(8.7%) and Akwudo Central School which had the least prevalence (1/20(5%). There was no case of geohelminth infection observed among the pupils in Okpunoeze Community School, however *Giardia lamblia* was observed in this school. Correlation between the stool samples and schools showed a significant association (p< 0.05).

Table 3 shows the overall prevalence of geohelminth egg or larvae observed in soil samples of the four schools examined. A total of 40 soil samples were collected from important sites of the four schools such as; playground, toilet areas and near classroom areas. An overall prevalence of 27.5% (11/40) was obtained which showed the same pattern of geohelminth and (Hookworm Strongyloides stercoralis) observed in the stool samples. Hookworm was found to be the most dominant specie with the prevalence (7/40(17.5%),highest while Strongyloide stercoralis had the least prevalence (4/40(10%). The distribution of geohelminths in the soil varied among the four schools with Odida having the highest prevalence. 60% (6/10).followed by

Umuezeanam ,30% (3/10) Akwudo 10% (1/10) and Okpunoeze 10% (1/10) which had the least prevalence. The correlation between the isolates from the soil and schools showed no significant association (p>0.05).

Table 4: shows the pattern of geohelminth infection in soil samples in relation to sample site. The prevalence of geohelminth eggs/larvae was found to be the highest in the toilet areas 50% (8/16), followed by the playgrounds 12.5% (2/16), near the classrooms 12.5% (1/8).

From the study population examined, the pattern of geohelminth infections in relation to gender was assessed (Fig. 1). It was observed that both males and females were infected with either of the two species of geohelminth recorded. Out of 50 males examined, 3 (6.0%) were infected, while 2 (6,7%) out of 30 females were infected. The result also showed that males had a higher prevalence of hookworm infection, (3/50 (6.0%) than the females (1/30(3.3%). The presence of Strongyloides only in females, stercoralis was seen (1/30(3.3%). Although the prevalence obtained from the females (6.7%) was slightly higher than the males (6.0%), the difference was not statistically significant (p> 0.05

Schools	No of stool samples examined	No (%) of positive samples	Hookworm no (%)	Strongyloides. stercoralis no (%)	Pearson- r	p- value
Odida central	23	2 (8.7)	2 (8.7)	0	963	.009
Umuezeanam central	20	2(8.7)	2(10)	0		
Akwudo central	20	1(5)	0	1(1.25)		
Okpunoeze	17	0	0	0		
community						
Total	80	5(6.25)	4 (5)	1 (1.25)		
			P<0.05			

Table 3. showing the prevalence of geohelminth egg/larvae in soil samples of the fourschools

Schools	No of samples examined	No (%) of samples positive	Hookworm no (%)	S.stercoralis no (%)	Pearson -r	p-val ue
Odida	10	6 (60)	5 (50)	1 (10)	585	.059
Umuezeanam	10	3 (30)	2 (20)	1 (10)		
Akwudo	10	1 (10)	0	1 (10)		
Okpunoeze	10	1 (10)	0	1 (10)		
Total	40	11 (27.5)	7 (17.5)	4 (10)		

P>0.05

Schools	Sample sites	No of samples examined	No (%) of positive samples	Hookworm no (%)	Strongyloides .stercoralis no (%)
Odida	Playground	4	2 (50)	2 (20)	0
	Near classroom	2	1 (50)	0	1(10)
	Toilet	4	3 (75)	3 (30)	0
Umuezeanam	Playground	4	0	0	0
	Near classroom	2	0	0	0
	Toilet	4	3 (30)	2 (20)	1 (10)
Akwudo	Playground	4	0	0	0
	Near classroom	2	0	0	0
	Toilet	4	1	0	1 (10)
Okpunoeze	Playground	4	0	0	0
•	Near classroom	2	0	0	0
	Toilet	4	1	1 (10)	1 (10)
Total		40	11(27.5)	7 (17.5)	4 (10)

Table 4. Showing the prevalence of geohelminth egg/larvae in soil samples with respect to
sample sites




Fig. 1. Showing the prevalence of infection in relation to gender

4. DISCUSSION

Geohelminth infection is a major public health challenge in children as they cause severe morbidity such as; intestinal obstruction, malnutrition, growth retardation, anaemia, impairment of cognitive abilities, heavily infected children living in rural areas of tropical and subtropical areas which leads to school abseentism and hinders economic development, particularly in the tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world where sanitary conditions are poor.

This study recorded an overall prevalence rate of 6.25% of geohelminths isolated from the stool samples of the four selected primary schools with two types of geohelminth identified. Contray to this result a much lower prevalence have been recorded in studies done by Egbe-Sarah et al. [10], who recorded a prevalence of 1% among primary school children in Cameroon, A much higher prevalence have been reported by Chukwuma et al. [11] who recorded a prevalence of 87.7% among primary school children in Ebenebe town, Anambra state.

The low prevalence encountered in this study is as a result of many underlying factors such as; provision of adequate sanitary facilities such as water closet toilet, refuse bins in schools by governmental and non-governmental interventions, thus leading to improved environmental geographical sanitation. differences, increased awareness and public sensitization about personal hygiene and worms. previous knowledge of intestinal deworming of the students, The fact that majority (73.75%) of the respondents had taken one preventive treatment (deworming drug) or the other in the previous 6 months, before they were tested, may also explain the low prevalence observed in this study. Several studies have demonstrated abundantly that periodic deworming is effective in reducing the burden of intestinal helminthiasis [12;13;14]. Moreover, this study was carried in an urban area (Nnewi North), where expectedly, people are better educated and with better access to clean water environment compared with and their counterpart in rural areas, limited sample size and restricted age group as only one age group (11-13vears) was used due to restrictions by the headmistresses of the schools visited. insecurities created by the recent COVID-19 pandemic of the country (Nigeria) resulted in decreased participation. As reported by Gboeloh et al., [14], that younger children are more susceptible to STHs infection than older children. They are known for maintaining poor personal hygiene as this plays an important role in transmission of STHs infections.

The predominant soil transmitted helminth recorded in this study is *Ascaris lumbricoides* which was observed as the most dominant soil transmitted helminth,[11]. The prevalence rate obtained (5%) is comparable to studies carried by Ojurongbe *et al.* [15], who recorded a prevalence of 5.6%, as well as Hassan *et al.* [16] who recorded a prevalence of 4%.

A higher prevalence have been obtained on the studies done by Chukwuma *et al.* [6], who recorded a prevalence of 5.9%, [15], who recorded a prevalence of 3.7%, Hassan *et al.* [16] recorded a prevalence of 3.97%. The differences in the results obtained could be due to rate of environmental contamination, seasonal differences as prevalence of infection increases more during rainy season than dry season, study population which might contribute to the prevalence being low or high.

In this present study it was observed that both male and female were susceptible to the infection and to the same factors which might influence the infection. This further explains that both sexes are equally susceptible to soiltransmitted helminth infection. The high prevalence of male infected with hook worm infected can be attributed to their activities such as playing football, helping their parents on the farm, wrestling, swimming, etc. most of these activities are carried out bare-footed further predisposing them to soil- transmitted helminthiasis especially, hookworm infections when in contact with contaminated soil [14;17].

The studied population had a commendable sanitation this is in contrary with studies which were carried out where the sanitation was poor especially in rural areas, [10; 18]. Majority of them claimed that they used water closet system, while few used pour flush toilet and pit toilet as a form of sewage disposal. This is important as improved sanitation serve as a protective factor against soil transmitted helminthes especially Ascaris lumbricoides and Trichuris trichuria which are majorly transmitted through feacal-oral route. The prevalence of infection was higher in students who used pour flush toilet than those with water closet. This may be due to inadequate maintenance such as; limited washing facilities (water and soap).

The study also observed an increased knowledge about geohelminths and deworming of the students in all the four schools examined this. School based deworming is one of the strong three pillars of the WHO in eradicating the prevalence of geohelminthiasis in tropical areas, [18]. However, the prevalence (9.5%) of those not dewormed who were infected was slightly higher than those dewormed who were also infected, (5.1%). This is also of a public concern as those not dewormed can serve as a vehicle of transmission of soil-transmitted helminth infection to others.

present study, the rate of In the soil contamination of the school compounds was found to be 27.5%. The overall result was similar to the findings of [19], who recorded a prevalence of 23.3% in Osun state, Awosolu et al., [20] recorded a prevalence of 61% in Owena. The two helminth species recovered from the soil sample were Hookworms and Strongyloides.stercoralis. Although prevalence of STHs was higher in soil samples than in thefaecalsamples, the pattern of distribution of soil-transmitted helminth infections in the soil was similar to the pattern of infection in study subjects; same species of helminth was implicated in both samples. This suggests that soil plays a major role the in the epidemiology of soil transmitted soil transmitted

helminth infections in the study area. The fact that the adult stages of these worms reside in the intestine, the presence of the ova in soil is indicative of environmental faecal pollution which is supported by the findings of feaces around the school environment.

The dominant specie observed was still hookworm as the ecological conditions were favourable to the development of the parasite. Hook worms and Strongvloides steroralis larvae remain quiescent in the moisture films of contaminated soils until contact with suitable host is made where it penetrate through the skin. This is supported by the fact that the toilet areas showed the highest prevalence as students defecate around these areas due to dvsfunctionality and inappropriate maintenance of their toilet system present in schools.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The prevalence of Hook worm was poorly reported by wet mount technique. The diagnostic performance of concentration methods for the diagnosis of Hook worms in the samples was notably high as compared to wet mount techniques.

The present study recommended more efforts should be directed in maintaining adequate environmental sanitation and continuous sensitization about the knowledge of geohelminths should be carried out especially in government public schools, until there's zero prevalence of this soil- transmitted helminth.

ETHICAL APPROVAL AND CONSENT

Ethical approval for this research was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Nnamdi Azikwe University Teaching Hospital Nnewi and the College of Health Science Nnamdi Azikwe University Okofia Nnewi.

CONSENT

Informed consent was also obtained from the school authorities, parents and guardians of pupils involved with adequate enlightenment on the purpose the research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Yawson DO, Kudu IB, Adu MO. Soiltransmitted helminthes in top soils used for horticultural purposes in Cape Coast, Ghana. Journal of Environmental and Public Health. 2018;5847439.
- Pullan R, Smith J, Jasrasaria R, Brooker S. (Global numbers of infection and disease burden of soil transmitted helminth infections in 2010. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7(37):19.
- 3. Parameshwarappa K, Chandrakanth C, Sunil B. The Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infestations and the evaluation of different concentration techniques of the stool examination. Journal Clinical Diagnostic Res. 2012;6(7).
- Utzinger J, Rinaldi L, Lohourignon LK, et al. FLOTAC: a new sensitive technique for the diagnosis of hookworm infections in humans. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2008;102(1):84–90.

DOI:10.1016/j.trstmh.2007.09.009

- 5. Melvin DM. Laboratory Procedures for the Diagnosis of Intestinal Parasites. US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers; 1982.
- Moges EM. Evaluation of Sediment Transport Equations and Parameter Sensitivity Analysis Using the SRH-2D Model. MS Thesis: Universität Stuttgart; 2010.
- Demeke G, Fenta A, Dilnessa T. Evaluation of wet mount and concentration technique of stool examination for intestinal parasite identification at Debre Markos comprehensive specialized, Hospital, Ethiopia; 2021.
- Ritchie LS. An ether sedimentation technique for routine stool examinations. Bull U S Army Med Dep. 1948;8(4):326.
- 9. Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory practice in tropical countries. Cambridge University Press. 2010;209-211,212-215.
- 10. Egbe SB, Esum ME, Achidi AE, Löve J, Nambile CS. Soil-transmitted Helminth infection in the tiko health District, South West Region of Cameroon: A post intervention survey on prevalence and intensity of infection among primary school children. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2018;30:74-82.
- Chukwuma MC, Ekejindu IM, Agbakoba NR, Ezeagwuna DA, Anaghalu IC, Nwosu DC. The prevalence and risk factors of

Obi et al.; MRJI, 31(8): 34-41, 2021; Article no.MRJI.76575

geohelminth infections among primary school children in Ebenebe Town, Anambra State, Nigeria. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research. 2009; 4(3):211-215.

- 12. WHO. Soil transmitted helminth infection. soil-transmitted helminth-infections Retrieved 02 March; 2020.
- Damen JG, Lar P, Mershak P, Mbaawuga EM, Nyary BW. A comparative study on the prevalence of intestinal helminthes in dewormed and non-dewormed students in a rural area of North Central Nigeria. Laboratory Medicine. 2010;41:585–589.
- 14. Gboeloh LB. Occurrence of soil transmitted helminths among pupils of community primary schools PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, in Nkpor and Mgbodohia, Obio/ Akpor Local Government Area,Rivers State, Nigeria. Preprints. 2019:2018010015.
- Ojurongbe O, Oyesiji KF, Ojo JA, Odewale G, Adefioye OA. Soil-transmitted helminth infections among primary school children in Ile-Ife, Southwest, Nigeria: A crosssectional study. International Research Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences. 2014;2:6-10.

- 16. Hassan AA, Oyebamiji DA, Idowu OF. Spatial patterns of soil transmitted helminths in soil environment around Ibadan, an endemic area in south-west Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Parasitology. 2017;38(2):179-184.
- 17. Ukibe SN, Ukibe NR, Obi-Okaro AC, Iwueze MO. Prevalence and pattern of soil transmitted helminthes (sths) among primary school children at Nnewi, Nnewi North Local Government Area, Anambra State, Nigeria. Annual Research and Review in Biology. 2018;28(1):1–6.
- Amaechi E, Ohaeri C, Ukpai OM. Prevalence of helminthiasis among school children in some rural communities of Abia State, Nigeria. Animal Research International. 2013;3:1817-1825.
- 19. World Health Organization. Guideline: Preventive chemotherapy to control soiltransmitted helminth infections in at-risk population groups. Geneva, Switzerland; 2017.
- 20. Awosolu OB, Olusi TA, Oginni SD. Incidence of geohelminths on fruits and vegetable farms in Owena, Ondo State, south-west, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Parasitology. 2018;9(1).

© 2021 Obi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/76575