



## **Interactive Effects of Boron and Humic Acid on the Growth and Nutrient Status of Maize Plant (*Zea mays* L.)**

**Mehmet Rüştü Karaman<sup>1\*</sup>, Metin Turan<sup>2</sup>, Ayhan Horuz<sup>3</sup>, M. Şefik Tüfenkçi<sup>4</sup> and Aydın Adiloğlu<sup>5</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical and Aromatic Plants, Afyon Kocatepe University, Afyon, Turkey.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering, Yeditepe University, İstanbul, Turkey.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Van Yüzüncü Yıl University, Turkey.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ, Turkey.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2017/36430

Editor(s):

(1) Kofi Agyarko, College of Agricultural Education, University of Education, Winneba, Ghana.

Reviewers:

(1) Zainal Muktamar, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia.

(2) M. Yuvaraj, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/21329>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 28<sup>th</sup> August 2017**  
**Accepted 27<sup>th</sup> September 2017**  
**Published 11<sup>th</sup> October 2017**

### **ABSTRACT**

Development of the methods decreasing boron (B) toxicity to agricultural crops is a high priority. The use of alternative organic material sources such as leonardite based humic substances (H.A.) could be used to control B balance in soils. For this aim, a pot experiment, based on a completely randomized design with three replications, was conducted using the soil of calcareous ustochrepts. In the research, maize variety of ADA-9510 (*Zea mays* L.), which was obtained from

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: rkaraman2000@hotmail.com;

Central Anatolia Region, was used. In the experiment, leonardite based humic substance (12% humic acid) at the levels of 0, 60, 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> were used as humic material source, which was developed by Turkish Coal Corporations Foundation. Boron fertilizer at the levels of 0, 10, 20 and 30 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> were used in the form of H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>. The plants were harvested after 56 days, and dry weights in top of maize plants were recorded. Macro and micro nutrient concentrations of the plants were also determined. Dry matter yields of maize plants were significantly affected by the applications of H.A. and B fertilizer, whereas dry matter yield was decreased by the application of higher B at the rate of 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> without H.A application. Thus, results of this study clearly showed that there was a close synergism between the H.A. and B applications with regard to B toxicity tolerance of maize plants. The maximum dry matter yields of 50.71 and 51.09 g pot<sup>-1</sup> were obtained by the applications of 20 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> together with H.A. applications at the rates of 60 and 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Depending on H.A. applications, B contents of maize plants varied between 32.18 and 35.02 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

*Keywords: Maize plant; humic acid; leonardite; boron fertilizer; boron toxicity.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Humic substances, which are considered as essential parts of soil organic matter, are living materials arising from rotting of plant and animal products. It is estimated that the global extent of carbon, in soil organic matter is 3.0-3.5x10 tons. Seventy to eighty percent (70-80%) of carbon in soil is comprised of humic substances [1,2]. Starting materials of humic substances are decay products of lignin and vanillin [3]. Their fundamental structures are accepted as aliphatic compound complex macromolecules which form bonds with amino-acid, amino sugar, peptide and aromatic groups [4]. Humic substances arouse attention of health, food and agricultural sector due to their adsorption, absorption properties, their ion exchange capacity, redox property, diffraction or emulgator property, their nutritional value and other similar qualities [5,6,7,8,9]. Positive relations between, humic substances obtained from the sources of natural organic matter (Leonardite, turf etc.) and plant development are presented by various scientific researches [10,11,12].

Direct effects of humic compounds to plant development arise from their impacts on root development and nutrient element absorption metabolisms of plants and enriching of nutrient element availability [13,14,15]. Petit [1] has revealed that micro molecular structured fulvic and humic acids, which exist in the structure of humic substance, are absorbed easier by roots of plants and they increase nutrient element availability. Nardi et al. [10] have stated that humic compounds affects the development of plant positively with a few mechanisms, as well as they have positive effects on root and sucker development, they increase the availability of

nutrient elements and they provide resistance towards different stress conditions. On the contrary, researches about the interactive relations between humic substance application in the cultivated areas of Turkey, and plant nutrient elements, are still insufficient and current scientific data, about plant development and fruit quality factors on the basis of different soil and plant types [16,17].

Recently considerable relation has been given to solve the boron (B) toxicity problems, especially in the dry regions of the World [18]. B deficiency or toxicity is a particularly widespread micronutrient problems in maize, leading to severe depressions in maize production and nutritional quality of the crop. Boron toxicity occurs mainly in dry areas, especially in alkaline soils. It has been demonstrated that B toxicity tolerance as well as drought tolerance are needed in dry areas having high levels of subsoil B [19]. When B is released from soil minerals, or is mineralized from organic matter, or is added to soils by means of irrigation or fertilization, part of it remains in the soil solution while part of it is adsorbed by the particles of soil [20]. The solubility of B in the soil solution is controlled mainly by B adsorption reactions. However, crop sensitivity to B deficiency or toxicity vary widely depending on some agro-physiological mechanisms together with soil and other environmental interactions affecting B availability and optimal plant growth. Thus there are a number of soil and environmental factors that affect B uptake by plants [21,22,23,24].

Hence, development of new methods to decrease B toxicity is a high priority on agricultural fields, where the soils have high levels of B. The strongest evidence that organic

matter affects the availability of soil B is derived from studies that show a positive correlation between levels of soil organic matter and the amount of hot water soluble B [25]. The use of alternative organic material sources such as leonardite based humic substances could be used to control B toxicity and balance on these soils. The objective of this study was to evaluate the tolerance capacity to B toxicity of maize leaves (*Zea mays* L.) as affected by increasing boric acid treatments under the humic acid applications obtained from low calorie leonardite sources.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, a pot experiment, based on a completely randomized design with three replications, was conducted using the soil of calcareous ustochrepts. The air dried soils for pot experiment were screened to pass through a 2 mm mesh. In the research, maize variety of ADA-9510 (*Zea mays* L.), which was obtained from Central Anatolia Region, were used. In the experiment, leonardite based humic substance (12% humic acid) developed by Turkish Coal Corporations Foundation was used at the levels of 0, 60, 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> as humic material source. Boron fertilizer at the levels of 0, 10, 20 and 30 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> were used in the form of H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>. Phosphorous fertilizer was used at the level of 100 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> and applied in the H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> form. Additionally, for normal plant growing, 100 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> ammonium nitrate were applied. According to need the other plant nutrient elements were also applied in equal amounts for each plant as fertilizer, irrigation and other controls were made routinely. The plants were harvested after 56 days, and dry weights in top of wheats were recorded. Plants were then washed thoroughly in distilled water and dried in the oven set at 68°C., and dry matter yields were recorded. Boron concentrations in the tops of maize plants were determined by the method of Azometin-H [26]. Total macro (N, P, K, Ca, Mg) and other micro nutrient (Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn) concentrations in the tops of maize plants were also determined by routine methods.

The composite soil samples used for the experiment were air-dried and ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve for further analysis. The extractable soil B contents were determined by ICP [27]. In the experimental soil, available P analysis was made by the method of Olsen et al.

[28]. Determinations were also made for saturation percent [29]. CaCO<sub>3</sub> [30], pH [31], electrical conductivity (EC) [29] and organic matter contents [32] for both topsoil and subsoil samples. Some physical and chemical analysis results of the soil used in the study were presented. Silt, sand and clay contents were 26.24, 18.06 and 55.70, respectively. Average value of CaCO<sub>3</sub> was 87.9 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, pH was 8.12, organic matter content was 1.69% and EC was 300 µmhos cm<sup>-1</sup>. Available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O contents were 0.39 and 80 kg da<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Available B, Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn contents were 9.23, 16.03, 2.18, 0.21 and 5.93 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The collected data were analyzed by using MSTAT program.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Dry Matter Yield of Maize Plants

Humic acid applications together with boron fertilizer positively affected dry matter yield of maize plants (Table 1). It has been found that the effect of H.A. application to dry matter yield of maize was statistically significant (\*\*P < 0.01). Effect of B fertilizer on the dry matter yield of maize plant was also statistically significant (\*\*P < 0.01) (Table 1). By increasing H.A. dose up to 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, dry weights were significantly increased within each B treatment. The highest average dry matter yields of 47.03 gr pot<sup>-1</sup> and 47.56 gr pot<sup>-1</sup> were obtained at 60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> H.A. applications, whereas the lowest yield of 20.11 gr pot<sup>-1</sup> was obtained at control (without H.A. application). Positive effects of H.A. applications to plant development and dry matter amount have also been presented in some other researches [16,33,34].

By increasing of B fertilizer dose up to 20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, dry weights were significantly increased within each H.A. treatment. However, after higher B dose of 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, dry matter yield of maize plant decreased. Our results are consistent with the earlier observations under different soil conditions. In that studies, dry matter yield of maize was increased by increasing B levels, but higher levels of B decreased dry matter yield of maize [35]. Boron toxicity has also been report to affect various developmental processes in plants [23,36,37,38]. Thus, considering the narrow range between optimum and toxic B concentrations, it is necessary to be careful when applying B fertilizers to the soils [39,40].

**Table 1. Effect of humic acid and boron applications on the dry matter yield of maize plant, gr pot<sup>-1</sup>**

B mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	H.A., mg kg <sup>-1</sup>			Mean
	0	60	120	
0	17.19 e	44.52 cd	43.45 cd	35.05 b
10	23.71 d	46.52 bc	48.52 abc	39.58 a
20	22.60 de	50.71 a	51.09 a	41.46 a
30	16.92 e	46.38 bc	47.19 abc	36.83 b
Mean	20.11 b	47.03 a	47.56 a	

*F test: Humic Acid (HA): 0.671\*\*, Boron level: 0.228\*\*, HA x Boron: 1.418\**

*\*, \*\* Significant at the P < 0.05 and P < 0.01 level, respectively.*

*Figures with identical letters within columns are not significantly different*

*Means with identical letters are not significantly different*

On the other hand, effect of the interaction of H.A. and B on the dry matter yield of maize was found statistically significant (\*\*P < 0.01). Dry matter yields of maize plants were increased by the applications of H.A. and B fertilizer, however, they were decreased by the application of higher B at the rate of 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> without H.A. application. Thus, the results of this study clearly showed that there was a close synergism between the H.A. and B applications with regard to B toxicity tolerance of maize plants. The maximum dry matter yields of 50.71 and 51.09 g pot<sup>-1</sup> were obtained by the applications of 20 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> together with H.A. applications at the rates of 60 and 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The results showed that the H.A. treatments increased the available B contents particularly in the upper part of the soil profile, thus, H.A. enhanced the retention and availability of B in the soil and hence increased bioavailability of B for maize plants. The findings are consistent with Kaptan et al. [41]. H.A. positively affect the water-holding capacity, cation exchange capacity, fertilizer retention and microbial activity of the soil [42]. Moreover, H.A. increase root vitality and nutrient uptake and contribute to improvement of yields. Humic acid creates soluble or insoluble organic complexes with organic compounds, metals and minerals. Angin et al. [43] reported that H.A. additions can be an effective way to remediation of B, but their optimum performance depends on degree of soil B contents.

### 3.2 Macro Nutrient Contents of Maize Plants

Effect of H.A. applications on the N and P contents of maize plant were found statistically significant (\*\*P < 0.01), whereas non-significant effect was found for K, Ca, Mg contents. Depending on H.A. applications, average N contents of maize plants significantly increased

from 2.79% (at 0 mg H.A. kg<sup>-1</sup>) to 3.67% (at 120 mg H.A. kg<sup>-1</sup>). Humic based fertilizers and mineral contents are the excellent combination which provides the ideal environmental condition for plant growth and nutrient uptake capacity of plants. The use of H.A. is a promising natural resource to be utilized as an alternative for increasing crop production. Thus, in a similar study, statistical analysis of the data showed that H.A. application significantly affected the total N level in wheat stem and increased from 1.3% to 3.3% [44].

Phosphorus contents of maize plants also showed a significant increase depending on the H.A. applications (P < 0.01) (Table 2). Phosphorus contents of maize plants varied between 0.45 and 0.63% depending on H.A. applications from 0 to 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The highest P content of 0.63% was obtained from H.A. treatment of 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Many studies have revealed that the availability of P in fertilizer added to alkaline soil could be increased by the application of H.A. Humic acid decrease P fixation and provide more water soluble P for the plant, thus P uptake and content of plants increased by the presence of humic acids [45,46].

The K, Ca and Mg contents of maize plants varied, 2.41-2.50%, 0.78-0.87% and 0.48-0.54%, respectively depending on H.A. applications from 0 to 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, the effect of B application on the N, P, K, Ca and Mg contents of maize plant were found statistically non-significant. Nitrogen contents of maize plant varied from 3.19 to 3.38% depending on B treatments from 0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> to 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Phosphorus contents of maize plant varied from 0.48-0.60% depending on B treatments from 0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> to 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Potassium contents of maize plant varied from 2.37 to 2.54% depending

on B treatments from 0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> to 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Calcium contents of maize plant varied from 0.77 to 0.87% depending on B treatments from 0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> to 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Magnesium contents of maize plant varied from 0.48 to 0.57% depending on B treatments.

### 3.3 Micro Nutrient Contents of Maize Plants

Effect of H.A. applications on the B and Zn contents of maize plant were found statistically significant (\*\*P < 0.01), whereas non-significant

effect was found for Fe, Cu, Mn contents (Tables 3-4). Depending on H.A. applications, B contents of maize plants significantly increased (Table 3). Depending on H.A. applications, B contents of maize plants varied between 35.03 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 40.09 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and by the applications of B they ranged between 33.61 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 40.92 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Humic substances enhanced solubilisation and availability of nutrients in the soils positively. Therefore, they increased B availability in the soils account for its a chelation effects [43,47]. Thus, high level B treatments showed a boron accumulation in the upper part of maize plants.

**Table 2. Effect of humic acid and boron applications on macro nutrient status of maize plant**

B mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	H.A., mg kg <sup>-1</sup>			Mean
	0	60	120	
	<b>N, %</b>			
0	2.72	3.24	3.62	3.19
10	2.98	3.56	3.55	3.36
20	2.66	3.69	3.78	3.38
30	2.81	3.21	3.71	3.24
Mean	2.79 b	3.43 ab	3.67 a	
	<b>P, %</b>			
0	0.47	0.58	0.62	0.56
10	0.31	0.59	0.55	0.48
20	0.49	0.62	0.69	0.60
30	0.52	0.60	0.64	0.59
Mean	0.45 b	0.60 a	0.63 a	
	<b>K, %</b>			
0	2.39	2.45	2.27	2.37
10	2.42	2.29	2.56	2.42
20	2.64	2.35	2.63	2.54
30	2.53	2.53	2.44	2.50
Mean	2.50	2.41	2.48	
	<b>Ca, mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>			
0	0.97	0.69	0.95	0.87
10	0.64	0.88	0.80	0.77
20	0.73	1.02	0.67	0.81
30	0.76	0.90	0.78	0.81
Mean	0.78	0.87	0.80	
	<b>Mg, mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>			
0	0.49	0.39	0.58	0.49
10	0.57	0.62	0.51	0.57
20	0.63	0.48	0.45	0.52
30	0.42	0.41	0.62	0.48
Mean	0.53	0.48	0.54	

*Means with identical letters are not significantly different*

**Table 3. Effect of humic acid and boron applications on micro nutrient status of maize plant**

B mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	HA, mg kg <sup>-1</sup>			Mean
	0	60	120	
	<b>B, mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>			
0	32.18 c	33.62 c	35.02 bc	33.61 c
10	35.29 bc	40.05 b	36.29 bc	37.21 b
20	34.73 c	40.22 b	47.82 a	40.92 a
30	37.92 bc	39.72 b	41.26 ab	39.63 ab
Mean	35.03 b	38.40 ab	40.09 a	
	<b>Fe, mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>			
0	79.28	96.28	99.96	91.84
10	63.50	84.43	87.42	78.45
20	96.73	103.00	100.85	100.19
30	84.83	85.32	78.13	82.76
Mean	81.09	92.26	91.59	
	<b>Cu, mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>			
0	23.91	29.03	19.79	24.24
10	14.27	17.25	21.34	17.62
20	28.72	18.42	20.62	22.59
30	18.25	32.70	16.31	22.42
Mean	21.29	24.35	19.52	
	<b>Zn, mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>			
0	56.17	61.52	74.28	63.99
10	49.63	85.85	65.59	67.02
20	60.19	68.11	67.72	65.34
30	57.63	56.72	63.20	59.18
Mean	55.91 b	68.05 a	67.69 a	
	<b>Mn, mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>			
0	76.21	92.29	75.28	81.26
10	110.85	79.83	93.62	94.77
20	88.52	111.46	89.27	96.42
30	92.03	77.92	80.53	83.49
Mean	91.90	90.38	84.68	

Figures with identical letters within columns are not significantly different  
Means with identical letters are not significantly different

**Table 4. Combined variance analysis of the effect of humic acid and B applications on the macro and micro nutrient status of maize plant**

	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	B	Fe	Cu	Zn	Mn
HA	**	**	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	*	n.s.	n.s.	*	n.s.
B	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	**	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
HA x B	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	*	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

\*, \*\* Significant at the  $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$  level, respectively. n.s.: non significant

Humic acid application had also significant effect on the average Zn contents of maize plants. While Zn content was 55.91 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in control, it reached the levels of 68.05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 67.69 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> depending on the increasing H.A. levels of 60 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The Fe, Cu and Mn contents of maize plants varied between 81.09-91.59 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 19.52-24.35 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 84.68-91.90 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively depending on H.A. applications. A greenhouse study was conducted to determine the effects of

low-rate commercial H.A. on zinc (Zn) availability of spring wheat yields, in both a calcareous soil and a non-calcareous soil. The results of that study also indicated that H.A. applications increased Zn content of plants due to increasing Zn availability in the soil depending on H.A. applications [46,47].

On the other hand, the effect of B applications on the B content of maize plants were found statistically significant (\*\* $P < 0.01$ ), whereas non-

significant effect was found for Fe, Cu, Zn, Mn contents (Tables 3-4). By increasing B doses, B contents of maize plants significantly increased (Table 3). Depending on B doses, B contents of maize plants increased from 33.61 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> at control to 40.92 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 39.63 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> at the B levels of 20 and 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The Fe, Cu and Mn contents of maize plants varied between 78.45-100.19 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 17.62-24.24 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 81.26-96.42 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively depending on H.A. applications. In a similar study, increasing rates of B applied to maize plant increased B concentration of the plant in a calcareous soil under greenhouse conditions [48,49,50].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

While B is an essential plant nutrient for the growth and development of plants, relatively small amounts of B are required to support the process of plant growth. The understanding of the effects of boron (B) toxicity and deficiency on the growth and nutrients accumulation of crops is required to substantiate the need of adequate dosage for their survival and optimal production. On the other hand, the use of alternative organic material sources such as leonardite based humic substances could be used to control the B toxicity and balance on these soils. Thus, crop sensitivity to B deficiency or toxicity will also vary widely depending on humic substance contents of soils together with many other environmental interactions affecting B availability and optimal plant growth. As a conclusion remark, results of this study clearly showed that there was a close synergism between the H.A. and B applications with regard to B toxicity tolerance of maize plants. Dry matter yields of maize plants were significantly affected by the applications of H.A. and B fertilizer, whereas dry matter yield was decreased by the applications of higher B rates without H.A. applications. The maximum dry matter yields of 50.71 and 51.09 g pot<sup>-1</sup> were obtained by the applications of 20 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> together with H.A. applications at the rates of 60 and 120 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Boron application together with H.A. application had also significant effect on the average B contents of maize plants. The study have revealed that H.A. application to soils will lead to higher tolerance of maize plants to B toxicity. The results also showed that higher tolerance of maize plants to B toxicity under the application of H.A. could also be used in breeding programs in order to decrease detrimental effects of B toxicity.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study is supported by Turkish Coal Enterprises Survey Project and Directorate of Facilities.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Pettit RE. Organic matter, humus, humate, humic acid, fulvic acid and humin. The Wonderful World of Humus and Carbon; 2006.
2. Peuravuori J, Zbankova P, Pihlaja K. Aspects of structural features in lignite and lignite humic acids. Fuel Process Technol. 2006;87:829-39.
3. Debreceni D. Effect of humic acid on blood levels of lead and cadmium. Orv Hetil. 1994;135(42):2348.
4. Dizman M, Tutar A, Karaman MR, Turan M, Horuz A. Humik maddelerin ilaç olarak kullanımı ve insan sağlığına etkileri. SAÜ Fen Edebiyat Dergisi. 2012;1:25-33.
5. Senesi N, Miano TM. Humic substances in the global environment: Implications for human health; Elsevier: Amsterdam; 1994.
6. Wiegand C, Meems N, Timofeyev M, Steinberg CW and Pflugmacher S. More evidence for humic substances acting as biogeochemicals on organisms. In: Ghabbour E.A. & Davies G. eds. Humic Substances: Nature's Most Versatile Materials. Taylor and Francis, NY. 2004;349-363.
7. Kulikova K. Mitigating activity of humic substances direct influence on biota, use of humic substances to remediate polluted environments: From theory to practice. Hatfield, K. and Hertkorn, N., Springer, Netherlands; 2005.
8. Mikkelsen RL. Humic materials for agriculture Davis California, USA. Better Crops with Plant Food. 2005;89(3):6-7.
9. Karaman MR, Şahin S, Geboloğlu N, Turan M, Güneş A, Tutar A. Humik asit uygulaması altında farklı domates çeşitlerinin (*Lycopersicon esc. L.*) demir alım etkinlikleri. SAÜ Fen Edebiyat Dergisi. 2012;14(1):301-308.
10. Nardi S, Pizzeghello D, Muscolo A, Vianello A. Physiological effects of humic

- substances on higher plants. *Soil Biol. and Bioc.* 2002;34:1527-1536.
11. Chen Y, Nobili M, Aviad T. Stimulatory effect of humic substances on plant growth. In: agdoft F., Ray R. (eds): *Soil Organic Matter in Sustainable Agriculture*. CRC Press, Washington; 2004.
  12. Salman SR, Abou-hussein SD, Abdel-Mawgoud AMR, El-Nemr MA. Fruit yield and quality of watermelon as affected by hybrids and humic acid application. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. 2005;1(1):51-58.
  13. Mawgoud A, Greadly El, Helmy YI, Singer SM. Responses of tomato plants to different rates of humic based fertilizer and NPK fertilization. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. 2007;3(2):169-174.
  14. Karaman MR, Turan M, Yıldırım E, Güneş A, Esringü A, Demirtaş A, Gürsoy A, Dizman M. ve Tutar A. Ca ve B humat bileşiklerinin domates bitkisinin verim parametreleri ile klorofil ve stoma geçirgenliği üzerine etkilerinin belirlenmesi. *SAÜ Fen Edebiyat Dergisi*. 2012; 14(1):177-185.
  15. Aydın A, Yıldırım E, Karaman, MR, Turan M, Demirtaş A, Şahin F, Güneş A, Esringü A, Dizman M, Tutar, A. Humik Asit, PGPR ve kimyasal gübrenin farklı özellikteki toprakların bazı kimyasal özelliklerine etkisi. *SAÜ Fen Edebiyat Derg.* 2012; 14(1):309-316.
  16. Hafez MM. Effect of some sources of nitrogen fertilizer and concentration of humic acid on the productivity of squash. *Egypt. J. Apl. Sci.* 2004;19:293-309.
  17. Karaman MR, Geboloğlu N, Turan M, Şahin S, Dizman M, Horuz A. Possible use of leonardite based humates to improve the zinc use efficiency of tomato cultivars (*Lycopersicon esculentum L.*). *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment, JFAE-2013-CHA-77*
  18. Fang K, Zhang W, Xing Y, Zhang Q, Yang L, Cao, Q, Qin, L. Boron Toxicity Causes Multiple Effects on *Malus domestica* Pollen Tube Growth. *Plant Sci*; 2016. Doi: 10.3388/2016.00208.
  19. Yau SK. Interaction of drought, boron-toxicity, and phenotypes on barley root growth, yield, and other agronomic characters". *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 2002; 53:347-354.
  20. Ho SB. Boron deficiency of crops in Taiwan. *Food and Fertilizer Technology Center (FFTC)*. Taipei City, CN. 1999;16.
  21. Gupta UC. Deficiency, sufficiency and toxicity levels of boron in crops. *CRC Press*. Boca Raton. FL; 1993.
  22. Furlani AC, Carvalho CP, Freitas JG, Verdial MF. Wheat cultivar tolerance to boron deficiency and toxicity in nutrient solution. *Sci. Agric. (Piracicaba, Braz.)*. 2003;60(2):359-370.
  23. Reid R. Identification of boron transporter genes likely to be responsible for tolerance to boron toxicity in wheat and barley. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2007;48:1673-1678.
  24. Sutton T, Baumann U, Hayes J, Collins NC, Shi BJ, Schnurbusch, T, Hay A, Mayo G, Pallotta M, Tester M, Langridge, P. Boron-toxicity tolerance on barley arising from efflux transporter amplification. *Agri. Sci.* 2007;318:1446-1449.
  25. Chang SS. Nutritional physiology of boron and the diagnosis and correction of boron deficiency and toxicity in crops. In: "Proceedings of the Symposium on Reclamation of the Problem Soils in the Eastern Taiwan", S.N. Hwang and G.C. Chiang, (Eds.). *Chinese Soc. Soil Fert. Sci. and Hwalian District Agricultural Improvement Station, Taiwan*. 1993;109-122.
  26. Wolf B. Improvements in Azomethine-H method for the determination of boron. *Comm. in Soil Sci. and Plant Anal.* 1972;5(1):39-44.
  27. Cartwright B, Tiler KG, Zarcinas BA, Spouncer LR. The chemical assessment of B status of soils. *Aust J Soil Res.* 1983;21:321-332.
  28. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanable, FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate". *Agricultural Handbook, U.S. Soil Dept. 939, Washington D.C.*; 1954.
  29. Richards LA. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soils. *USDA Agric. Handbook, 60, Washington, D.C.*; 1954.
  30. Allison LE, Moodie CD. Carbonate, In: *methods of soil analysis. Part 2., Agronomy J.* 1965;9:1379-1400.
  31. Jackson ML *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA; 1958.
  32. Walkley A. A critical examination of a rapid method for determining organic carbon in soils: effect of variations in digestion conditions and inorganic soil constituents. *Soil Sci.* 1947;63:251-263.
  33. Defline S, Tognetti R, Desiderio E, Alvino A. Effect of foliar application of N and



- humic acids on growth and yield of durum wheat. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 2005; 25:183-191.
34. Khaled H, Fawy HA. Effect of different levels of humic acids on the nutrient content, plant growth, and soil properties under conditions of salinity. *Soil and Water Res*. 2011;6(1):21-29.
35. Adiloğlu A, Adiloğlu S. The effect of boron (B) application on the growth and nutrient contents of maize in zinc (Zn) deficient soils. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*. 2006;2(1):1-4.
36. Nable OR, Bañuelos, GS, Paull JG. Boron toxicity. *Plant Soil*. 1997;193:181-198. DOI: 10.1023/A:1004272227886
37. Guo P, Qi, YP, Yang LT, Ye X, Jiang HX, Huang JH. cDNA-AFLP analysis reveals the adaptive responses of citrus to long-term boron-stress. *BMC Plant Biol*. 2014; 14:284. DOI: 10.1186/s12870-014-0284-5.
24. Sutton T, Baumann U, Hayes J, Collins NC, Shi BJ, Schnurbusch, T, Hay A, Mayo G, Pallotta M, Tester M, Langridge, P. Boron-toxicity tolerance on barley arising from efflux transporter amplification. *Agri. Sci*. 2007;318:1446-1449.
38. Ogunwole AA, Otusanya O, Oloyede FA, Olabamiji, TM. Comparative Effects of Boron Toxicity and Deficiency on the Growth, Chlorophyll, Protein and some Cations Accumulation in Zea mays Seedlings. *International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research*. 2015; 17 (2):316-335.
39. Robertson LS, Knezek BD, Belo JO. A survey of Michigan soils as related to possible boron toxicities, *Comm. Soil Sci. and Plant Analysis*. 2008;6:359-373.
40. Rudolf RK, Ivana VM, Marija MK, Borislav DK. Physiological and genetic basis of plant tolerance to excess boron. *Proc. Nat. Sci, Matica Srpska Novi Sad*. 2008;114:41-51.
41. Kaptan MA, Aydın M, Küçük S. Effects of boron and humic substance treatments on the available boron distribution. In *The Soil Profile. Scientific Papers. Series A. Agronomy*. 2015;58:67-72.
42. Lobartini JC, Orioli GA, Tan KH. Characteristics of soil humic acid fractions separated by ultrafiltration. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 1997;28:787-796.
43. Angin I, Turan M, Ketterings QM, Çakıcı A. Humic acid addition enhances B and Pb phytoextraction by vetiver grass (*Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash). *Water Air Soil Pollution*. 2008;188:335-343.
44. Akhtar K. Noor S, Shah M, Amjad A, Sajjad Z, Fazli W, Ahmad K, Mohib S, Shahida B, Majid A. Effects of humic acid and crop residues on soil and wheat nitrogen contents. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2014;5:1277-1284.
45. Wang XJ, Wang ZQ, Li SG. The effect of humic acids on the availability of phosphorus fertilizers in alkaline soils. *Soil Use and Management*. 1995;1:99-102.
46. Jones CA, Jacobsen JS, Mugaas A. Effect of low-rate commercial humic acid on P availability, micronutrient uptake, and spring wheat yield. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2007;38:7-8.
47. Aydın M, Yılmaz E, Şahin S, Gebeloğlu N, Sağlam N, Karaman, MR. Karnabaharda humik asit ve çinko uygulamaları ile verim ve kalite özellikleri arasındaki ilişkiler. *Türkiye 6. Ulusal Bitki Besleme ve Gübre Kongresi, 03-07 Haziran, Kapadokya, Bildiri Kitabı*. 2013;410.
48. Zhang X, Ervin EH, Schmidt RE. Plant growth regulators can enhance the recovery of Kentucky bluegrass sod from heat injury. *Crop Sci*. 2003;43:952-956.
49. Shaaban MM, El-Fouly M.M., Abdel-Maguid, AWA. Zinc-boron relationship in plants grown under low or high levels of calcium carbonate in the soil. *Pakistan J. of Biological Sciences*. 2004;7(4):633- 639.
50. Raina JN, Goswami KP. Effect of fulvic acid and fulvates on the growth and nutrient uptake by maize plant. *Ind. Soc. Soil Sci*. 1988;36:264-268.

© 2017 Karaman et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<http://sciedomain.org/review-history/21329>