



Bio Efficacy and Economics of Systemic Insecticides against Whitefly in Potato

**J. K. Patel^{a++*}, R. N. Patel^a, D. M. Zapadiya^a,
S. J. Vaghela^a and J. Khaniya^a**

^a Potato Research Station, S. D. Agricultural University, Deesa-385535, Gujarat, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i71892

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99440>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/02/2023

Accepted: 29/04/2023

Published: 08/05/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Potato Research Station, S. D. Agricultural University, Deesa during 2018-19 and 2019-20 for evaluation of efficacy of insecticides against whitefly in potato. The seven different treatments viz. T₁: Control, T₂: Seed treatment with imidacloprid (200 SL) @ 0.04% followed by foliar sprays of imidacloprid @60 gm a.i./ha at 85% emergence + second spray with thiamethoxam 25WG @100g a.i./ha, T₃: Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence, T₄: Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence followed by second spray of diafenthiuron after 10 days, T₅: Foliar spray of castor oil @0.05% at 85% emergence, T₆: Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05%, T₇: Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05% by second spray with diafenthiuron after 10 days. Based on pooled data of two years, the significantly highest per cent reduction (*i.e.* 68.57 %) after 2nd spray was recorded in T₇ *i.e.* foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05% by second spray with diafenthiuron after 10 days which was at par with T₄ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence followed by second spray of diafenthiuron after 10 days). The tuber highest yield and benefit cost ratio were also recorded with T₇.

⁺⁺ Assistant Research Scientist;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jkpatel2489@gmail.com;

Keywords: Potato; whitefly; management; difenthiuron; sucking pest.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* Linn.) is an important vegetable crop cultivated throughout the world. It is a perennial herb belonging to family *Solanaceae*, a native of Andean Plateau of South America. The power of potato is known for sustaining millions of lives by providing nutritious food in the time of war and hunger and also used as a staple food in several countries of the world. Globally, it is ranked as the fourth most important food crop after maize, wheat and rice with approximately having double calories per hectare. It has maximum uses for making potato flour, potato chips, french fries, frozen potato, potato starch and tapioca of potato. Each 100 g serving of fresh potato, provides protein (1.6 g), carbohydrate (22.6 g), minerals (0.6 g), crude fibre (0.4 g), fat (0.10 g), vitamin C (25 mg)” [1]. “Potato contains significant levels of phenolic compounds and vitamin C as potent antioxidants [2], which inactivate reactive oxygen species, reduce oxidative damage, lead to improved immune functions and reduce risk of cardiovascular diseases, cancer, cataract, diabetes and ageing” [3]. Recognizing the major role of potato as a staple food and providing food security, the year of 2008 was declared as the International Year of the Potato by the United Nations [4]. Hence, potatoes are called “King of Vegetables”.

This crop is attacked by several pests in the field and in store. According to Simpson [5], “potato is attacked by more than 100 arthropod pests among them 80 have been reported from India” [6-9]. “Among various insect-pests; aphids, jassids, cutworms, white grubs, epilachna beetles, defoliating caterpillars and potato tuber moths are serious and responsible for considerable economic losses, while the rest are sporadic and of minor importance. Among the sucking pests the whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), is a worldwide polyphagous insect pest that has wreaked havoc on agricultural productivity, particularly in some plant families such as *Solanaceae*, *Cucurbitaceae*, and *Fabaceae*” [10,11]. “The potato whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) is the most destructive [12] pests of numerous crops” [13]. “*Bemisia tabaci* biotype A (Gennadius) has the ability to transmit 111 economically important viruses” [14]. By keeping in mind notorious devastating sap-sucking insect pest this experiment was

conducted for evaluation of various systemic insecticide against potato whitefly.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Potato Research Station, S. D. Agricultural University, Deesa to study the management of whitefly in potato for two consecutive years *Rabi* 2018-19 and 2019-20. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with seven treatments and five replications with 3.0 m × 2.0 m plot size. The planting was done at row spacing of 50 cm and plant to plant spacing of 20 cm. The recommended dosage of fertilizers 275 kg N, 138 kg P and 275 kg K per hectare was applied. Insecticides were sprayed using high volume knapsack sprayer with 500 L ha⁻¹ solution. Seven different treatments *viz.*, T₁: Control, T₂: Seed treatment with imidacloprid (200 SL) @ 0.04% followed by foliar sprays of imidacloprid @60 gm a.i./ha at 85% emergence + second spray with thiamethoxam 25WG @100g a.i./ha, T₃: Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence, T₄: Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence followed by second spray of diafenthiuron after 10 days, T₅: Foliar spray of castor oil @0.05% at 85% emergence, T₆: Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05%, T₇: Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05% by second spray with diafenthiuron after 10 days were evaluated for bio efficacy. Observations were taken on whitefly numbers before the foliar spray and on per cent reduction after 24, 48 and 72 hours of the spray on 5 tagged plants (3 leaves lower, middle and upper) as per method described by Butter and Vir [15]. The per cent reduction was work out by using standard formula. Two years data were pooled and then subjected to statistical analysis using the software OPSTAT developed by HAU, Hisar.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It was observed that there were non-significant differences in whitefly counts in all the treatments before first spray (Table 1). The significantly highest percent reduction (*i.e.* 56.35 %) after 1st spray during *rabi* 2018-19 was recorded in T₇ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05% by second spray with diafenthiuron after 10

days) which was at par with T₆ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05%) recorded 54.21% reduction, T₃ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence) recorded 48.62% reduction and T₄ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence followed by second spray of diafenthiuron after 10 days) recorded 46.79% reduction. The similar trend was also observed in pooled data while in *rabi* 2019-20, significantly higher reduction per cent were recorded in all the treatments except T₅ (Foliar spray of castor oil @0.05% at 85% emergence) over untreated control (Table 1).

The significantly highest percent reduction (*i.e.* 62.70 %) after 2nd spray during *rabi* 2018-19 was recorded in T₇ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05% by second spray with diafenthiuron after 10 days) which was at par with T₄ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence followed by second spray of diafenthiuron after 10 days) and T₂ (Seed treatment with imidacloprid (200 SL) @ 0.04% followed by foliar spray of imidacloprid @60 gm a.i./ha at 85% emergence+ second spray with thiamethoxam 25WG @100g a.i./ha). The next best treatment in sequence was T₆ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05%) and T₃ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence). In *rabi* 2019-20 significantly highest percent mortality (*i.e.* 74.44 %) after 2nd

spray was recorded in T₇ which was at par with T₄ (69.68%). The next best treatment in sequence was T₂ (62.09 per cent reduction). The similar trend was also observed in pooled data. The zero per cent reduction was recorded in T₅ in both years as well as in pooled data. (Table 2).

The economics of treatments shows that higher BC ratio (2.26) was recorded in T₇ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence mixed with castor oil @0.05% by second spray with diafenthiuron after 10 days) which was followed by T₄ (Foliar spray of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a.i. at 85% emergence followed by second spray of diafenthiuron after 10 days) (Table 3).

Present results are in agreement with findings of Yadav *et al.* [16] they reported that “two sprays of diafenthiuron 50WP 350 g a. i./ ha mixed with castor oil @ 0.05% at 10 days interval gave maximum mortality (82.0%), maximum tuber yield (24.7 t/ ha) with incremental cost benefit ratio (ICBR 1:2.1)”. Tomar *et al.*, (2017) reported “the highest reduction of potato whitefly was recorded in Difenthiuron 50 SC @ 350 g ai/ha (84.13%) in comparison to rest of insecticide”. Meenu and Dahiya [17] observed that “diafenthiuron 50 WP @ 500 g/ ha showed better performance against *B. tabaci*. Bharpoda *et al.* [18] found that diafenthiuron 50 WP (at 0.05%) was the most effective compared to imidacloprid 17.8 SL (at 0.008%) against *B. tabaci*”.

Table 1. Per cent reduction of potato whitefly after first spray (2018-19, 2019-20 & pooled)

Treatment	1 st Spray					
	Nos before spray			Per cent reduction after spray		
	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled
T ₁	**24.73 (17.60)	22.64 (15.00)	23.74 (16.30)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
T ₂	25.80 (19.00)	25.06 (18.00)	25.44 (18.50)	40.49 (42.40)	46.60 (52.80)	43.60 (47.60)
T ₃	25.02 (18.00)	23.32 (15.80)	24.20 (16.90)	44.19 (48.62)	50.47 (59.44)	47.30 (54.03)
T ₄	25.20 (18.20)	25.48 (18.60)	25.36 (18.40)	43.13 (46.79)	51.36 (60.93)	47.20 (53.86)
T ₅	27.34 (21.20)	27.34 (21.20)	27.34 (21.20)	17.03 (9.60)	19.35 (11.57)	18.42 (10.59)
T ₆	26.76 (20.40)	26.53 (20.00)	26.67 (20.20)	47.41 (54.21)	52.45 (62.71)	49.87 (58.46)
T ₇	26.53 (20.00)	26.76 (20.40)	26.66 (20.20)	48.65 (56.35)	51.75 (61.55)	50.15 (58.95)
SEd	1.51	1.55	1.31	3.06	3.00	2.07
CD (0.05)	NS	3.22	NS	6.35	6.23	4.29
CV (%)	9.20	9.70	8.11	14.04	12.22	8.91

**Data are transformed values *Data in the parenthesis are original values,

Table 2. Per cent reduction of potato whitefly after second spray (2018-19, 2019-20 & pooled)

Treatment	2 nd Spray					
	Nos before spray			Per cent reduction after spray		
	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled	2018-19	2019-20	Pooled
T ₁	**0.00 (0.00)	30.89 (26.40)	21.28 (13.20)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
T ₂	19.29 (11.00)	19.33 (11.00)	19.33 (11.00)	45.16 (50.28)	52.07 (62.09)	48.57 (56.18)
T ₃	17.17 (8.80)	16.93 (8.60)	17.07 (8.70)	24.94 (17.91)	15.97 (9.47)	21.61 (13.69)
T ₄	17.98 (9.60)	17.61 (9.20)	17.83 (9.40)	50.10 (58.69)	56.68 (69.68)	53.26 (64.18)
T ₅	26.75 (20.40)	27.39 (21.20)	27.09 (20.80)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
T ₆	16.92 (8.60)	18.00 (9.60)	17.53 (9.10)	27.17 (21.52)	20.43 (12.28)	24.13 (16.90)
T ₇	15.96 (7.60)	18.52 (10.20)	17.31 (8.90)	52.91 (62.70)	60.43 (74.44)	55.99 (68.57)
SEd	1.32	1.17	0.96	3.77	3.28	1.86
CD (0.05)	2.74	2.43	2.0	7.83	6.80	3.86
CV (%)	12.82	8.72	7.71	20.83	17.64	10.10

**Data are transformed values *Data in the parenthesis are original values,

Table 3. Economics of the treatments (pooled data)

Treatment	Yield (t/ha) pooled	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	Produce (Rs./ha)	Sale price (Rs./t)	Net returns (Rs./ha)	B:C ratio
T ₁	40.08	133900	360750	9000	226850	1.69
T ₂	42.13	135440	379125	9000	243685	1.80
T ₃	41.85	136700	376650	9000	239950	1.76
T ₄	48.38	139500	435375	9000	295875	2.12
T ₅	41.70	133950	375338	9000	241388	1.80
T ₆	45.83	136750	412500	9000	275750	2.02
T ₇	50.62	139550	455580	9000	316030	2.26

Rajasekhar et al. [19] observed that "diafenthiuron 50% WP @ 1.25 g/ l was found effective in cotton. Praveen et al. (2019) found that diafenthiuron 50%WP @ 1 g/ l and triazophos 40 % EC @ 2 ml/ l were found effective against whitefly in sunflower". Thumar et al., [20] "diafenthiuron 25% + pyriproxyfen 5% SE @ 1000 and 1250 ml/ha were found relatively more effective against sucking insect pests viz., aphids, leafhoppers, whiteflies and thrips in Bt cotton". "Two doses of diafenthiuron 25% + pyriproxyfen 5% SE (1250 and 1000 ml/ha) also gave highest seed cotton yield. Furthermore, previous reports demonstrated extreme effectiveness of diafenthiuron against whitefly" [21-26] on different crops.

4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the foliar spray of alone diafenthiuron 50WP @ 350 g a.i/ha or in

combination with castor Oil @ 0.05% at 85% crop emergence and followed by at 10 days interval for the management of potato whiteflies and higher net returns.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The financial assistance and support extended for the project by ICAR-AICRP (Potato) and SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar are highly acknowledged.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Saini GS, Umrav VK. Morden oleri and floriculture production. Rama Publication House. 2008;82-83.

2. Brown CR. Antioxidants in potato. American Journal of Potato Research. 2005;82:163-172.
3. Kaur C, et al. Antioxidant status of fresh and processed tomato-a review. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2004;41(5):479-486.
4. Shailbala, Pathak C. Harnessing the potential of potato to meet increasing food demand. Kurukshetra. 2008;56(3):45-48.
5. Simpson GW. Potato insects and their control. In O. Smith, Potatoes, Production, Storing and Processing. AVI Publishing Co. Westport, Connecticut, U.S.A. 1977; 505-605.
6. Anonymous. Pests of potato. Potato in India, CPRI Tech. Bull. No. 1. 1971:25-26.
7. Saxena AP, Rizvi SMA. Insect-pests problems of potato in India. Journal of the Indian Potato Association. 1974;1:45-50.
8. Rataul HS, Misra SS. Potato pests and their control pesticides. 1979;13(7):27-38.
9. Saxena AP, Misra SS. Pests of potato crop in high altitude and their control. Proc. Wkshp. High Alt. Ent. & Wild). Ecol. Zool. Surv. India. 1983:165-180.
10. Oliveira MRV, Henneberry TJ, Anderson P. History current status, and collaborative research projects for *Bemisia tabaci*. Crop Prot. 2001;20:709–723.
11. Cruz-Estrada A, et al. Insecticidal effects of plant extracts on immature whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* genn. (hemiptera: Aleyroideae). Electron. J. Biotechnol. 2013;16:6.
12. Roditakis E, et al. Insecticide resistance in *Bemisia tabaci* (homoptera: Aleyrodidae) populations from Crete. Pest Manage. Sci. 2005;61:577-582.
13. Perring TM. The *Bemisia tabaci* complex. Crop Prot. 2001;20:725-737.
14. Jones DR. Plant viruses transmitted by whiteflies. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 2003; 109:95-219.
15. Butter NS, Vir BK. Sampling of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* genn. In cotton. PAU Agricultural Research Journal. 1990;27(4): 615-619.
16. Yadav DL, Patidar BK, Nagar BL. Efficacy of insecticides against whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (genn.) in potato. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2022;84(4):900–902.
17. Meenu, Dahiya KK. Efficacy of some insecticides against whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*). International Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2017;6(2):1-4.
18. Bharpoda TM, Patel NB, Thumar RK, Bhatt NA, Ghetiya LV, Patel HC. Evaluation of insecticides against sucking insect pests infesting Bt cotton BG- II. The Bioscan. 2014;9(3):977-980.
19. Rajasekhar N, et al. Incidence and management of cotton whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* under High Density Planting System (HDPS). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(3):2074-2079.
20. Thumar RK, et al. Bio-efficacy of diafenthiuron 25% + pyriproxyfen 5% SE against sucking insect pests of Bt cotton. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(5):1024-1029.
21. Naveed M, et al. The effects of different groups of insecticides in cotton fields on *Bemisia tabaci*, Genn (homoptera: Aleyrodidae), predators and parasitoids: Implication in whitefly management. Phytoparasitica. 2008;36:377-387.
22. Raghuraman M, Gupta GP. Field evaluation of neonicotinoids against whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius in cotton. J. Entomol. 2005;67(1):29-33.
23. Shah MJ, et al. Efficiency of different insecticides against sucking insect-pest complex and effect on the growth and yield of mungbean (*Vigna radiate* L.). Pak. Entomol. 2007;29(2):83-85.
24. Barrania AA, Telab HKA. Relative efficacy of some newer insecticides on the mortality of jassid and whitefly in brinjal. Int. J. of Res. In. Biol. Sci. 2014;4(3):89-93.
25. Huded PB, Ghante, VN, Kulkarni V. Chemical management of whiteflies in sunflower. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(4):2885-2890.
26. Tomar Swati, Sharma Seema, Malik Kamlesh. "Diafenthiuron (a novel insecticide) for management of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*." Indian Journal of Scientific Research. 2017;16(1):120-122.