



Antioxidant Potential of Most Commonly Used Vegetable - Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

Gunpreet Kaur¹, Vikas Gupta¹, Ajay Francis Christopher¹ and Parveen Bansal^{1*}

¹University Centre of Excellence in Research, BFUHS, Faridkot, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors GK, VG and PB designed the experiments and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors GK, VG, AFC and PB performed all the scientific experiments and typed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JOCAMR/2016/26718

Editor(s):

(1) Sabyasachi Chatterjee, Burdwan University, India.

Short Communication

Received 29th April 2016
Accepted 15th July 2016
Published 24th July 2016

ABSTRACT

Antioxidants are known to play a vital role in inhibiting and scavenging free radicals, thus, provide immunity to human body against various infections and chronic diseases. Nowadays the modern research is moving towards plant based "Natural antioxidants" due to their safety, easy availability and better curative value. So the main reason of the present study was to assess the *in-vitro* antioxidant activity of the extracts of *Allium cepa* which is a very commonly used food worldwide.

Aim: Estimation of *in-vitro* antioxidant activity of the *Allium cepa* extract.

Study Design: Red onions were purchased from the market, washed in water to remove the dust and then dried for 20 days. The methanol and aqueous extracts of the bulb were prepared and investigated for their antioxidant activity.

Methodology: The methanol and aqueous extracts of the bulb were prepared and investigated for their antioxidant activity by using FeCl₃, DPPH, Superoxide scavenging and FRAP methods. The total phenolic content was determined by Folin-Ciocalteu reagent.

Results: The total phenolic content showed a higher value (47 mg/100 ml) in the aqueous extract compared to (41 mg/100 ml) in the methanol extract. The antioxidant activity of aqueous extract by using FeCl₃, DPPH, Superoxide scavenging and FRAP methods were found to be 13.1%, 23%, 33%, 21.7% and that of methanol extract were 17.5%, 35.5%, 37.8%, 38.7% respectively.

Conclusion: From the above study it has been concluded that, both extracts showed an important antioxidant activity. However the methanol extract proved to have higher antioxidant activity. It was

*Corresponding author: E-mail: bansal66@yahoo.com, ucer_bfuhs@rediffmail.com;

further observed that the phenolic content was higher in the aqueous extract of *Allium cepa*. Further study will be conducted to isolate the active components responsible for the activity.

Keywords: Onions (*Allium cepa*); vegetables; extractions; phenolics; antioxidants.

1. INTRODUCTION

The human body needs energy as well as immunity from dreaded diseases. This energy and immunity is derived mainly from components of food items consumed from time to time. One of the important components providing immunity is antioxidants as they prevent oxidative stress damage [1,2]. Antioxidant status of the body depends on the amount of antioxidant generating foods consumed by an individual. Foods includes cocktail of vegetables, fruits, minerals, vitamins that contribute to the antioxidant status of the body. Since- vegetables are an important part of the daily diet; it is pertinent to focus on common vegetables daily consumed by individuals [3].

Onion is one such component which is used as a food component in one or the other form on daily basis by most of the population in India and abroad. It has been reported to contain a high content of flavonoid compounds like quercetin and sulphur compounds like thiosulphinates that have a proven level of antioxidant activity [4-6]. Keeping the above status in view, it was decided to evaluate the antioxidant activity of *Allium cepa* using different methods, so as to highlight the nutritional value of the onion.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Material

Fresh and healthy red onions bulbs were purchased from the local market in Patiala, Punjab and authenticated by a botanist, in the department of Botany, Punjabi University, Patiala and washed in water to remove the dust and then dried for two hours. The outer covering of the onions was peeled off. All the chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and all the glassware's used were of Borosil grade.

2.2 Preparation of Onion Extract

Peeled onions were washed in water containing Tween-20 solution for 10 minutes and sterilized with 70% ethanol. After a wash with distilled water 2-3 times, surface sterilized onions were

cut into pieces and then dried in shade for 20 days. Dried onions were powdered and 200 mg onion powder was then mixed with 50 ml distilled water with intermittent shaking. It was filtered twice with Whatman filter paper no.1 and concentrated to dryness on a water bath at 100°C. Finally, the concentrated extract was collected, covered with aluminium foil and was stored in a refrigerator. Similarly, methanolic extract was prepared using 50 ml methanol [7,8].

2.3 Estimation of Total Phenolic Content

The amount of total phenolic content in samples was determined by using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent as used in the standard method and absorbance was taken on spectrophotometer Labindia UV 3000* [9,10]. Gallic acid standard curve was obtained for calculation of total phenolic content [11,12].

2.4 Determination of Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity of each extract was tested using Reduction by FeCl₃ done by the method of [13,14] with modifications in volume, DPPH Method (1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) by method of [15,16], Superoxide scavenging method (DMSO) by using the method of [17,18] with slight modifications in volume and FRAP Method (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power) a modified method of [19,20].

2.5 Scavenging Activity was Calculated by Using Equation [21]

Scavenging activity (%) = $\frac{\text{Test absorbance} - \text{control absorbance}}{\text{Test absorbance}} \times 100$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Estimation of Total Phenolic Content

The phenolic content was estimated using the standard curve of Gallic acid. The aqueous extract of *Allium cepa* showed higher antioxidant potential than the methanol extract (Table 1). Antioxidants have a capacity to donate either an electron or hydrogen to cellular molecules

Table 1. Gallic acid equivalent (GAE) phenol content in onion extract

Extract	GAE phenol content (mg/ml)
Aqueous	47±0.003
Methanol	41±0.001

The value is expressed as Mean ± S.E (n=3), S.E= σ/\sqrt{n} , σ = Standard deviation, n= Number of sets

Table 2. Antioxidant activity of onion extracts by using FeCl₃, DPPH, DMSO and FRAP methods

S. no	Extract of <i>Allium cepa</i>	Free radical scavenging activity represented as (%) inhibition				
		FeCl ₃ method	DPPH method	DMSO method	FRAP method	Standard (Gallic acid)
1.	Aqueous extract	13.1±0.002	23.0±0.008	33.0±0.001	21.5±0.006	37.2±0.004
2.	Methanol extract	17.3±0.001	35.4±0.005	37.8±0.000	38.7±0.004	43.1±0.001

The value is expressed as Mean ± S.E (n=3), S.E= σ/\sqrt{n} , σ = Standard deviation, n= Number of sets

oxidized by free radicals and thus help to repair oxidative damage. The activity is dependent on the presence of antioxidants.

3.2 Antioxidant Activity

3.2.1 Reduction by FeCl₃

The methanol extract of *Allium cepa* had higher reducing power as it showed a higher absorbance at 700 nm, than the aqueous extract. So, it has more antioxidant capacity as compared to aqueous extract (Table 2).

3.2.2 DPPH method

The methanol extract of *Allium cepa* showed higher DPPH free radical scavenging activity (antioxidant activity) as compared to aqueous extract. Thus, it prevents the formation of free radicals which cause various diseases like cardiovascular, cancer, diabetes etc (Table 2).

3.2.3 DMSO method (Superoxide scavenging activity)

The methanol extract of *Allium cepa* showed more radical scavenging activity than aqueous extract. Thus methanol extract has higher scavenging activity than aqueous extract which ultimately results in prevention of cellular damage by free radicals (Table 2).

3.2.4 FRAP method (Ferric reducing antioxidant power)

The methanol extract of *Allium cepa* showed a higher ability to reduce ferric-tripiridyltriazine (Fe³⁺-TPTZ) to a ferrous form (Fe²⁺) than aqueous extract. Hence, the results from

methanol extract showed higher antioxidant ability as compared to aqueous extract (Table 2).

4. DISCUSSION

The radical scavenging activity of the methanol extract was in the order as follow:

FRAP method >DMSO method> DPPH method> FeCl₃ method. The antioxidant activities observed can be attributed to either the different mechanisms exhibited by different polyphenolic compounds like tocopherols, flavonoids and other organic acids and to the synergistic effects of different compounds. Many studies have shown that many polyphenols contribute significantly to the antioxidant activity and act as highly effective free radical scavengers which are mainly due to their redox properties, which can play an important role in adsorbing and neutralizing free radicals, quenching singlet and triplet oxygen or decomposing peroxides [22]. The lowest activity by FeCl₃ method in aqueous as well as methanol extract demonstrates the low capacity of extracts to reduce the Fe (III) to Fe (II) (i.e., reducing power of sample). Thus, the data suggested that the aqueous and methanol extract had not served as a significant indicator to react against free radicals to convert them into more stable non- reactive species and to terminate radical chain reaction [23].

5. CONCLUSION

The present study was conducted to explore the antioxidant properties of *Allium cepa* and the results of the study show that onion is a very potent source of antioxidants and its

consumption should be encouraged by making the people aware of beneficial effects to the system. The above results also show that the methanol extract has higher scavenging activity than aqueous extract but phenolic content was higher in aqueous extract than methanol extract of *Allium cepa*. The study also reflects that FeCl₃ should not be used as an assessor for antioxidant activity as it underestimates the antioxidant activity. However to gain an insight on the antioxidant levels and activities in onion, extended efforts on purification, identification and quantification of phenolic and non-phenolic compounds are necessary and important in future.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot (Punjab) for providing the necessary facilities during this work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kalt W. Effects of production and processing factors on major fruit and vegetable antioxidants. *Journal of Food Science*. 2005;70(1):11-9.
2. Ashwini M, Balaganesh J, Balamurugan S, Balamurugan S, Sathishkumar R. Antioxidant activity in *in vivo* and *in vitro* cultures of onion varieties. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*. 2013;4:918-23.
3. Halliwell B. How to characterize a biological antioxidant. *Free Radical Research Communications*. 1990;9:1-32.
4. Griffiths G, Trueman L, Crowther T, Thomas B, Smith B. Onions – a global benefit to health. *Phytotherapy Research*. 2002;16(7):603–15.
5. Lu X, Wang J, Al-Qadiri HM, Ross CF, Powers JR, Tang J, Rasco BA. Determination of total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of onion (*Allium cepa*) and shallot (*Allium oschaninii*) using infrared spectroscopy. *Food Chemistry*. 2011;129:637–44.
6. De Dicastillo CL, Navarro R, Guarda A, Galotto MJ. Development of biocomposites with antioxidant activity based on red onion extract and acetate cellulose. *Antioxidants*. 2015;4:533-47.
7. Ponnulakshmi R, Ezhilarasi Balasubramanian S. Efficacy of bulb extracts of *Allium cepa* varieties (red, white and small onion): An *in vitro* antifungal and antioxidant activity. *Int J Pharm Bio Sciences*. 2013;4(4):692–713.
8. Machavarapu M, Kumar M, Vangalapati M. Optimization of physico-chemical parameters for the extraction of flavonoids and phenolic components from the skin of *Allium cepa*. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. 2013;2: 3125-9.
9. Duarte–Almeida JM, Novoa AV, Linares AF, Lajolo FM, Genovese MI. Antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds from onion (*Allium cepa*) Juice. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr*. 2006;61:187-92.
10. Kumaran A, Karunakaran RJ. *In vitro* antioxidant activities of methanol extracts of five *Phyllanthus* species from India. *LWT*. 2007;40:344-52.
11. Kavimani S, Saminathan K, Kumar SR. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities of *Dolichandrone atrovirens* using various *in vitro* assay models. *International Journal of Phytopharmacology*. 2014;5(4): 293-300.
12. Ansari QA, Ahmed SA, Waheed MA, Juned S. Extraction and determination of antioxidant activity of *Withania somnifera*. *Dunal European Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2013;3(5):502-7.
13. Oyaizu M. Studies of products browning reaction: Antioxidative activity of products of browning reaction prepared from glucosamine. *Jap. J. Nut*. 1986;44:307-15.
14. Benkeblia N. Free-radical scavenging capacity and antioxidant properties of some selected onions (*Allium cepa* L.) and garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) extracts. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol*. 2005;48:753-9.
15. Shimada K, Fujikawa K, Yahara K, Nakamura T. Antioxidative properties of xanthan on the auto oxidation of soybean

- oil in cyclodextrin. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 1992;40:945-8.
16. Hala, Abdou M. Comparative antioxidant activity study of some edible plants used spices in Egypt. Journal of American Science. 2011;7(1):1118-22.
 17. Talukder EU, Aklima J, Emran TB, Islam S, Rahman A, Bhuiyan RH. *In vitro* antioxidant potential of *Momordica charantia* fruit extracts. British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2013;3(4):963-71.
 18. Laloo D, Sahu AN. Antioxidant activities of three Indian commercially available Nagakesar: An *in vitro* study. J. Chem. Pharm. Res. 2011;3(1):277-83.
 19. Benzie IF, Strain JJ. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of "antioxidant power" the FRAP assay. Anal. Biochem. 1996;239:70-6.
 20. Alagumanivasagam G, Pasupathy R, Kottaimuthu A, Manavalan R. A review on *in-vitro* antioxidant methods. International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Chemical Sciences. 2012;1(2):662-74.
 21. Sanja SD, Sheth NR, Patel NK, Patel D, Patel B. Characterization and evaluation of antioxidant activity of *Portulaca oleracea*. Int. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2009;1(1):74-84.
 22. Hasan SM, Hossain MM, Faruque A, Mazumder MEH, Rana MS, Akter R, Alam MA. Comparison of antioxidant potential of different fractions of *Commelina benghalensis* Linn. Bang. J. Life Sci. 2008;20(2):9-16.
 23. Kathirvel A, Sujatha V. Phytochemical studies, antioxidant activities and identification of active compounds using GC-MS of *Dryopteris cochleata* leaves. Arabian Journal of Chemistry; 2012. Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2012.03.018>