



## Production of Strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*) Cultivars in Nutrient Flim Technique (NFT) System of Hydroponics

### Ajinkya Ajay Telgote a++\* and Saket Mishra b#

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture (Fruit Science), Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, India. <sup>b</sup> Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81164

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119666

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 20/05/2024 Accepted: 23/07/2024 Published: 25/07/2024

### ABSTRACT

A study was conducted in 2023-24 at the Department of Horticulture, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P.). In the experiment, a total of eight treatments comprising of different levels of macro nutrients were used to investigate the effects of different levels of macro nutrients on growth, yield and quality of strawberry plants (Fragaria x ananassa L.) in a Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) System of Hydroponics. The experiment followed a Completely Randomised Design. According to the findings of this study, treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml was found best with vegetative attributes like [10.28 (30 DAT), 16.15 (60 DAT), 18.44 (90 DAT),



<sup>++</sup> M.Sc. Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: ajinkyatelgote1998@gmail.com;

*Cite as:* Telgote, Ajinkya Ajay, and Saket Mishra. 2024. "Production of Strawberry (Fragaria × Ananassa) Cultivars in Nutrient Flim Technique (NFT) System of Hydroponics". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (8):514-23. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81164.

19.42 (120 DAT)] cm plant height, [17.45 (30 DAT), 23.69 (60 DAT), 24.36 (90 DAT), 25.48 (120 DAT)] cm plant spread, [17.45 (30 DAT), 23.69 (60 DAT), 24.36 (90 DAT), 25.48 (120 DAT)] number of leaves per plant, [4.67 (30 DAT), 7.89 (60 DAT), 11.45 (90 DAT), 12.91 (120 DAT)] cm<sup>2</sup> leaf area and 28.81 cm root length; flowering attributes like 45.24 total number of flowers per plant, 55.64 days taken to first flowering and 81.64 days taken to first fruit set; yield attributes like .18.45 number of fruits per plant, 50.47 g average fruit weight and 18.63 kg yield per setup and quality attributes like 73.61 mg/100 g of pulp ascorbic acid, 14.32 moisture and dry weight ratio, 9.18 °brix Total Soluble Solids and 1.68 % titrable acidity.

Keywords: Flowering; growth; hydroponics; macro nutrients; nutrient film technique; quality.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.), belongs to the Rosaceae family and Fragaria genus. This plant is essentially a small herbaceous perennial [1]. The strawberry plant is a result of crossbreeding between two distinct species, *Fragaria chiloensis* Duch. and *Fragaria virginiana* Duch. The octoploid organism possesses eight sets of chromosomes, denoted as 2n = 56. Bowling, [2].

In the last two decades, there has been a notable increase in strawberry production and the area dedicated to cultivating them [3-6]. The significant increase in agricultural production can be credited to the extensive implementation of greenhouse farming techniques, as highlighted by Thakur and Shylla [7]. Based on a recent study, China has established itself as the leading global producer of strawberries, boasting an impressive output of around 3.7 million metric tonnes (MT) [8]. India boasts an impressive cultivation area of 3000 hectares dedicated to this crop, yielding a substantial annual production of 14,000 MT (NHB, 2021). Based on the data provided by Anonymous [9] Haryana has emerged as the leading producer with an impressive 1,650 MT, while Mizoram is not far behind with 1,080 MT. The thriving strawberry production in Uttar Pradesh can be attributed to the favourable agroclimatic conditions, which greatly enhance its potential profitability as a crop. strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa L.)

In addition to vitamin C, it also offers a decent amount of vitamin A (60 IU/100 g of edible portion). According to a study conducted, the high pectin content (0.55%) in the form of calcium pectate makes it an ideal ingredient for producing jelly. Strawberries have gained popularity as a nutritious and flavorful fruit enjoyed by millions worldwide. Strawberries are known for their rich content of bioactive compounds, including anthocyanins, carotenoids,

vitamins, flavonoids, and phenolics. These compounds have been found to possess strong antioxidant properties, as highlighted in a study by Giampieri et al. [10].

Hydroponics is a method used to cultivate plants in nutrient-rich aqueous solutions, either with or without supporting materials [11]. In recent years, there has been a significant increase in the production of hydroponically grown crops, with an impressive coverage of over 35000 ha (Hickman 2011). Various hydroponic systems are implemented commonly greenhouse in production. There are primarily two types of hydroponic systems: open systems and closed systems. Within open systems, the absence of reuse measures for the nutrient solution results in the solution flowing through the roots of the plants and subsequently leaching into the ground. This not only leads to pollution but also results in the wastage of fertilizer, as noted by Jensen [12]. When a nutrient solution is continuously circulated within a system, it is referred to as a closed system. This approach, as highlighted by Nederhoff and Stanghellini [13] helps to minimize environmental pollution and cultivation costs, as noted by Bugbee [14]. The Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) is a modified circulating system that has been shown to reduce nutrient solution consumption when compared other hydroponic to system modifications [15]. When applying this method, it is crucial to consider the presence of excess water, as it can lead to a decrease in oxygen levels. The NFT system's nutrient layer is carefully designed to provide the optimal amounts of nutritional water and oxygen. Commercially available hydroponic fertilizers come in a variety of options, which are tailored to specific crops and the type of system being used. Reducing the use of fertilizers is a crucial goal in sustainable production, as certain horticultural crops, such as strawberries, require less fertilizer [16]. Cultivators can effectively manage the growth and yield of the crop by carefully managing the concentrations of individual nutrients [17].

Hence, this experiment titled "Production of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*) Cultivars in Nutrient Flim Technique (NFT) System of Hydroponics" was meticulously planned and executed, taking into consideration the aforementioned facts.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment took place at the Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam of Higginbottom University Agriculture, Technology, and Science (SHUATS), Prayagraj, during the year 2023-24. The experimental site is situated on the left side of the Allahabad-Rewa Road, near the Yamuna River, about 8 kilometers away from Allahabad citv [18-20]. The experiment is situated at a latitude of 25.57°N and a longitude of 81.51°E. In the winter months, particularly in December and January, the temperature can drop to as low as 2°C- 5°C or even lower. However, during the summer months temperatures (Mav-June). can reach an extremely high 49°C. During the summer months, is often a significant increase there in temperature, resulting in intense heat waves, Conversely, in the winter, there can be sporadic occurrences of frost. The annual precipitation typically ranges from 850 to 1100 mm, with the majority of rainfall occurring during the months of July to September [21,22].

A hydroponic system utilised the nutrient film method (NFT), incorporating a framework made from durable PVC pipes. For a particular setup, a pair of tyres were used to hold four PVC pipes, each measuring 4 feet in length. Iron angles were used to secure the pipes in place. Additionally, 20 net pot holes, each measuring 4 inches in size, were carefully cut into the pipes. The perforations were utilised to accommodate the hydroponic net pots. The nutrient solution in the hydroponic unit was recirculated by a circulatory pump situated in the nutrient reservoir tank. A hydroponic system was established in an environment that promotes optimal natural growth. In hydroponic, watersoluble fertilizer was used to supply the micronutrient to plants. Macronutrients like NPK were supplied according to the treatment combination as shown in List 1.

A rectangular tank with a capacity of 100 liters (0.59m x 0.58m x 0.34m) was utilised to supply the nutrient solution to the plants in each treatment throughout the cycle. A 30-micron polyfilm was used to cover the tank in order to prevent the formation of algae. Following each cycle, the nutrient solution tank underwent a thorough cleaning using fresh water. The experiment employed a Completely Randomised Design with three replications for each of the eight treatment combinations [23,24].

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 3.1 Growth Attributes

**Plant height (cm)**: Based on the data presented in Table 1, it was observed that treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum plant height (cm) i.e., [10.28 (30 DAT), 16.15 (60 DAT), 18.44 (90 DAT), 19.42 (120 DAT)] cm whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest plant height (cm) i.e., [6.21 (30 DAT), 11.19 (60 DAT), 13.21 (90 DAT), 15.37 (120 DAT)] cm.

List 1. Treatment combination	List	1.	Treatment	combination
-------------------------------	------	----	-----------	-------------

Treatment	reatment Amount of nutrients (N P & K) given at different days interval							
Symbol	30 DAS (ml)	60 DAS (ml)	90 DAS (ml)	120 DAS (ml)	Nutrient Used (ml)			
T <sub>1</sub>	0.5	0.6	1	1.3	3.4			
T <sub>2</sub>	1	1.2	2	2.6	6.8			
T₃	1.5	2	3	4	10.5			
<b>T</b> 4	2	3	4	5	14			
T <sub>5</sub>	2.5	4	5	6	17.5			
$T_6$	3	4.5	6.5	7	21			
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	3.5	5	7	8	23.5			
T <sub>8</sub>	4	6	8	9	27			

**Plant spread (cm)**: The plant spread (cm) data is presented in Table 1. It was observed that treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum plant spread (cm) i.e., [17.45 (30 DAT), 23.69 (60 DAT), 24.36 (90 DAT), 25.48 (120 DAT)] cm whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest plant spread (cm) i.e., [12.54 (30 DAT), 20.12 (60 DAT), 21.08 (90 DAT), 23.09 (120 DAT)] cm.

Number of leaves per plant: The data regarding the number of leaves per plant (Table 2) indicates that treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum number of leaves per plant i.e., [17.45 (30 DAT), 23.69 (60 DAT), 24.36 (90 DAT), 25.48 (120 DAT)] whereas effect of treatment  $T_1$ : 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest number of leaves per plant i.e., [12.54 (30 DAT), 20.12 (60 DAT), 21.08 (90 DAT), 23.09 (120 DAT)].

Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>): Based on the data presented in Table 2, it was observed that treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) i.e., [4.67 (30 DAT), 7.89 (60 DAT), 11.45 (90 DAT), 12.91 (120 DAT)] cm<sup>2</sup> whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) i.e., [2.43 (30 DAT), 5.58 (60 DAT), 9.12 (90 DAT), 10.25 (120 DAT)] cm<sup>2</sup>.

**Root length (cm)**: According to data (Table 3), it was observed that the treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum root length (cm) i.e., 28.91 cm whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest root length (cm) i.e., 25.47 cm.

### **3.2 Flowering Attributes**

**Total number of flowers per plant**: The total number of flowers per plant data is presented in Table 3. It was observed that treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum total number of flowers per plant i.e., 45.24 whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest total number of flowers per plant i.e., 32.08.

**Days taken to first flowering:** The data regarding days taken to first flowering (Table 3) indicates that treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the minimum days taken to first flowering i.e., 55.64 days whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with maximum days taken to first flowering i.e., 73.98 days.

Fable 1. Effect of various treatments on Plant height (cm) and Plant spread (cm) of strawberry
(Fragaria x ananassa) in Nutrient Flim Technique (NFT) System of Hydroponics cv. Winter
Dawn

Treatment		Plant H	eight (cm	)	Plant Spread (cm)			
Symbol	30	60	90	120	30	60	90	120
	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	6.21	11.19	13.21	15.37	12.54	20.12	21.08	23.09
T <sub>2</sub>	6.64	11.64	14.22	16.24	13.11	20.45	21.75	23.44
T <sub>3</sub>	7.24	12.08	15.41	16.97	13.89	20.98	22.38	23.79
<b>T</b> <sub>4</sub>	8.19	13.11	15.98	17.39	14.88	21.85	22.69	24.26
<b>T</b> 5	8.64	14.01	16.54	17.82	15.45	22.24	23	24.48
T <sub>6</sub>	10.02	15.72	17.98	19.08	17.11	23.44	24.08	25.28
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	10.28	16.15	18.44	19.42	17.45	23.69	24.36	25.48
T <sub>8</sub>	9.85	15.18	17.25	18.41	16.94	23.29	23.72	24.87
F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
S.E. (m) (±)	0.17	0.22	0.21	0.16	0.21	0.15	0.13	0.09
C.D. @ 5%	0.5	0.66	0.64	0.48	0.64	0.45	0.4	0.28
CV	3.46	2.79	2.29	1.59	2.44	1.18	1.01	0.66

Treatment	Treatment Number			er of leaves per plant			Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )		
Symbol	30	60	90	120	30	60	90	120	
	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	
<b>T</b> 1	12.54	20.12	21.08	23.09	2.43	5.58	9.12	10.25	
T <sub>2</sub>	13.11	20.45	21.75	23.44	2.65	5.79	9.38	10.83	
T <sub>3</sub>	13.89	20.98	22.38	23.79	3.02	6.28	9.71	11.25	
$T_4$	14.88	21.85	22.69	24.26	3.48	6.84	10.15	11.65	
T <sub>5</sub>	15.45	22.24	23	24.48	3.67	7.11	10.38	11.79	
T <sub>6</sub>	17.11	23.44	24.08	25.28	4.59	7.76	11.21	12.72	
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	17.45	23.69	24.36	25.48	4.67	7.89	11.45	12.91	
T <sub>8</sub>	16.94	23.29	23.72	24.87	4.46	7.61	10.81	12.24	
F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	
S.E. (m) (±)	0.21	0.31	0.36	0.38	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.09	
C.D. @ 5%	0.64	0.93	1.09	1.13	0.28	0.36	0.36	0.26	
CV	3.91	3.42	3	2.95	4.42	3.06	2.04	1.28	

# Table 2. Effect of various treatments on Number of leaves per plant and Leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*) in Nutrient Flim Technique (NFT) System of Hydroponics cv. Winter Dawn

Table 3. Effect of various treatments on Root length (cm), Total number of flowers per plant, Days taken to first flowering and Days taken to first fruit set of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*) in Nutrient Flim Technique (NFT) System of Hydroponics cv. Winter Dawn

Treatment	Root length	Total number of	Days taken to first	Days taken to
Symbol	(cm)	flowers per plant	flowering	first fruit set
<b>T</b> 1	25.47	32.08	73.98	119.69
$T_2$	26.11	34.53	71.19	113.67
T₃	26.69	35.91	69.56	110.28
T <sub>4</sub>	27.05	38.43	66	103.57
<b>T</b> 5	27.39	39.87	64.01	99.98
T <sub>6</sub>	28.58	44.12	57.21	88.23
T <sub>7</sub>	28.91	45.24	55.64	81.64
T <sub>8</sub>	27.92	42.01	60.87	94.09
F-test	S	S	S	S
S.E. (m) (±)	0.15	0.54	0.74	1.51
C.D. @ 5%	0.45	1.63	2.22	4.53
CV	0.95	2.41	1.98	2.58

**Days taken to first fruit set**: Data depicting the days taken to first fruit set is shown in Table 3, where it was found that treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the minimum days taken to first fruit set i.e., 81.64 days whereas effect of treatment  $T_1$ : 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with maximum days taken to first fruit set i.e., 119.69 days.

### **3.3 Yield Attributes**

Number of fruits per plant: Based on the data presented in Table 4, it was observed that treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum number of fruits per plant i.e., 18.45 whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest number of fruits per plant i.e., 11.01.

Average fruit weight (g): The average fruit weight (g) data is presented in Table 4. It was observed that treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum average fruit weight (g) i.e., 50.47 g whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest average fruit weight (g) i.e., 41.98 g.

Treatment Symbol	Total number of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Yield per setup (kg)
T <sub>1</sub>	11.01	41.98	9.25
T <sub>2</sub>	12.18	43.71	10.65
T <sub>3</sub>	13.62	44.27	12.06
Τ4	14.35	45.98	13.2
T <sub>5</sub>	15.01	46.76	14.04
T <sub>6</sub>	17.84	49.88	17.8
T <sub>7</sub>	18.45	50.47	18.63
Τ <sub>8</sub>	16.23	48.12	15.62
F-test	S	S	S
S.E. (m) (±)	0.28	0.34	0.37
C.D. @ 5%	0.85	1.02	1.09
CV	3.3	1.27	4.55

Table 4. Effect of various treatments on Number of fruits per plant, Average fruit weight (g) and
Yield per setup (kg) of strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) in Nutrient Flim Technique (NFT)
System of Hydroponics cv. Winter Dawn

**Yield per setup (kg)**: The data regarding the yield per setup (kg) (Table 4) indicates that treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum yield per setup (kg) i.e., 18.63 kg whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest yield per setup (kg) i.e., 9.25 kg.

### 3.4 Quality Attributes

Ascorbic acid (mg/100g of pulp): Based on the data presented in Table 5, it was observed that treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded

the maximum Ascorbic acid (mg/100g of pulp) i.e., 73.61 mg/100 g of pulp whereas effect of treatment T<sub>1</sub>: 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest number of fruits per plant i.e., 11.01.

**Moisture and dry weight (ratio)**: Pertaining to the results presented in Table 5, it was observed that treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the minimum Moisture and dry weight (ratio) i.e., 14.32 whereas effect of treatment  $T_1$ : 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with highest Moisture and dry weight (ratio) i.e., 19.22.

Table 5. Effect of various treatments on Ascorbic acid (mg/100g of pulp), Moisture and dry
weight (ratio), TSS (°Brix) and Titrable acidity (%) of strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) in
Nutrient Flim Technique (NFT) System of Hydroponics cv. Winter Dawn

Treatment Symbol	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g of pulp)	Moisture and dry weight (ratio)	TSS (°Brix)	Titrable acidity (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	43.08	19.22	6.01	1.19
T <sub>2</sub>	48.87	18.28	6.47	1.29
T <sub>3</sub>	51.66	17.75	6.94	1.32
Τ4	57.45	16.92	7.35	1.41
<b>T</b> 5	60.24	16.41	7.91	1.44
T <sub>6</sub>	70.82	14.85	8.93	1.65
T <sub>7</sub>	73.61	14.32	9.18	1.68
T <sub>8</sub>	65.03	15.68	8.38	1.52
F-test	S	S	S	S
S.E. (m) (±)	1.22	0.21	0.12	0.01
C.D. @ 5%	3.65	0.62	0.35	0.04
CV	3.59	2.16	2.62	1.75

**TSS (°Brix)**: The TSS (°Brix) data is presented in Table 5. It was observed that treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum TSS (°Brix) i.e., 9.18 °Brix whereas effect of treatment  $T_1$ : 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest TSS (°Brix) i.e., 6.01 °Brix.

**Titrable acidity (%)**: The data regarding the titrable acidity (%) (Table 5) indicates that treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml recorded the maximum titrable acidity (%) i.e., 1.68 % whereas effect of treatment  $T_1$ : 3.4 ml NPK [0.5 (30 DAS) +0.6 (60 DAS) +1 (90 DAS) +1.3 (120 DAS)] ml was found significantly the least effective with lowest titrable acidity (%) i.e., 1.19%.

### 4. DISCUSSION

Different treatments had significant impacts on the growth, flowering, yield, and quality attributes of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* L.).

Increase in plant height (cm) and plant spread (cm) of strawberry due to application of treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] mI can be attributed to the interactive effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorous, and Potassium on the plant. Nitrogen is essential for the synthesis of proteins, nucleic acids, nucleotides, and chlorophyll [25]. Phosphorus is a crucial element that performs vital functions in assimilation and respiration processes, as highlighted by Khan et al. [26]. Potassium is essential for the activation of enzymes that are crucial for important biological processes such as photosynthesis, respiration, protein synthesis, and starch production (Wang et al., 2013). Notable contributions include the reports by Ahmed et al. (2002).

Treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml also recorded the maximum number of leaves per plant and leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>). The rise in the number of leaves and leaf area can be primarily attributed to the increased availability of Nitrogen to the plants. Improved nitrogen availability contributes to the overall improvement of plant physiology. This is achieved by increasing the production phytohormones, of proteins. photosynthetic enzvmes. and essential compounds. As a result, there is an increase in

cell division and differentiation, leading to the production of more leaves per plant (Umami et al., 2019).

Higher levels of NPK and their combined doses in treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml have increased the root length significantly. This finding is consistent with a previous studies which demonstrated that the root length was positively influenced by higher levels of NPK and their combined doses. Similar results were also reported by Noh et al. [27] and Tohidloo et al. [28].

Treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml also recorded highest total number of flowers and fruits per plant in strawberry plants. It is possible that this phenomenon occurred because there was a steady supply of nutrients at the ideal pH level, leading to a higher buildup of starch, carbohydrates, and photosynthates. As a result, there is an increase in the number of blooms per plant and a faster rate of fruit development, resulting in a higher yield of fruits per plant [29,30].

The decrease in the number of days to first flowering and fruit set observed in treatment  $T_7$  may be explained by the improved plant height, leaf number, and leaf area per plant resulting from the application of fertiliser N and P in the nutrient solution. These nutrients provide the necessary elements for the plant's biological and physiological processes, such as carbon assimilation. This, in turn, supports the synthesis of essential nucleic acids DNA and RNA during cell division and tissue growth, contributing to the overall development of the plant [31].

Due to optimum availability of Phosphorous and Potassium to plant in treatment  $T_7$ : 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml, there might have efficient production of phytohormones and enzymes in plant [32]. Due to the abundant supply of plant nutrients, the plant tends to prioritise reproductive growth early on. As a result, the number of fruits per plant also sees a significant increase, as noted by Sharma et al. (2018).

According to Tanan et al. [16] the increase in titrable acidity, TSS, and ascorbic acid could be attributed to the higher nitrogen application, which leads to an improved Nitrogen: Carbon balance in the plant [33].

### 5. CONCLUSION

According to the results found in the current study, it can be interpreted that plants grown utilising the hydroponic system with liquid N, P and K maximise yield in addition to displaying the highest growth, quality, and yield-contributing characteristics. Based on the findings of the above experiment, it can be interpreted that Treatment T<sub>7</sub>: 23.5 ml NPK [3.5 (30 DAS) +5 (60 DAS) +7 (90 DAS) +8 (120 DAS)] ml produced the greatest results. It was determined to have the greatest growth traits for every growth, blooming, yield, and quality criteria.

### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to extend their heartfelt thanks to the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology, and Sciences in Prayagraj (Uttar Pradesh), India.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- Ganhão R, Pinheiro J, Tino C, Faria H, 1. Gil1 MM. Characterization of Nutritional, Physicochemical, and Composition Phytochemical and Antioxidant Capacity of Three Strawberry Fragaria × ananassa Duch." Cultivars ("Primoris", "Endurance", and "Portola") from Western Region of Portugal; Foods. 2019;8(12):682.
- 2. Bowling BL. The berry grower's companion. Timber Press Inc., Portland, Oregon, USA.; 2000.
- Sharma, Aswant Kumar, Akshay Krishna Varak, Shubham Arun Gitaye, Nishant Vijay Gavali, and Prathamesh Kishor Bate. Fruit Sorting by Pizzo-Electric Sensor and PLC Controlling. Asian Journal of

Advances in Agricultural Research. 2024; 24(6):48-56.

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaar/202 4/v24i6512.

- Jangid, Rakesh, Ajay Kumar MM. Masu, Nandkishor Kanade, and Divya Pant. Alternate Bearing in Fruit Crops: Causes and Control Measures. Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research. 2023;10(1):10-19. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajahr/202 3/v10i1217.
- Cerutti AK, Bruun S, Beccaro GL, Bounous G. A review of studies applying environmental impact assessment methods on fruit production systems. Journal of environmental management. 2011;92(10):2277-86.
- Sharma S, Rana VS, Pawar R, Lakra J, Racchapannavar V. Nanofertilizers for sustainable fruit production: a review. Environmental Chemistry Letters. 2021; 19(2):1693-714.
- Thakur M, Shylla B. Influence of different growing media on plant growth and fruit yield of strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) cv. Chandler grown under protected conditions; International Journal of Current Microbiology Applied Sciences. 2018;7: 2724-2730.
- Anonymous. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome; 2019a.

Available:http://www.fao.org.

- 9. Anonymous. Indian Production of Strawberry (HSCODE-1060); 2019b. Available:http://apeda.in/agriexchange.
- Giampieri F, Forbes-Hernandez TY, Gasparrini M, Afrin S, Cianciosi D, Reboredo-Rodriguez P. The healthy effects of strawberry bioactive compounds on molecular pathways related to chronic diseases. Ann. NY. Acad. Sci. 2017;1398 (1):62-71.
- 11. Kumar A, Ahad I. Growth, yield and fruit quality of strawberry under protected cultivation in South Kashmir; Adv Hort Sci. 2012;26:88-91.
- Jensen M. What is hydroponics. Controlled Environment Agriculture Center; 2013.
- 13. Nederhoff E, Stanghellini C. Water use efficiency of tomatoes in greenhouses and hydroponics. Pract.

Hydroponics and Greenhouses. 2010;115: 52–59.

- 14. Bugbee B. Nutrient Management in Recirculating Hydroponic Culture. In: Proceedings of the South Pacific Soilless Culture Conference. M. Nichols, (ed.). Acta Hort. 2004;648:99-112.
- 15. Lennard W, Ward J. A comparison of plant growth rates between an NFT hydroponic system and a NFT aquaponic system; Hort. 2019;5:1-16.
- Tanan TT, Silva ALD, Oliveira UCD, Gonçalves LP, Nascimento MND. Effect of nitrogen sources on fruit characteristics and seed physiological quality of Physalis 522 eprecia L. Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical. 2019;49.
- 17. Halbert-Howard A, Häfner F, Karlowsky S, Schwarz D, Krause A. Evaluating recycling fertilizers for tomato cultivation in hydroponics, and their impact on greenhouse gas emissions. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2021;28: 59284-59303.
- Ben-Oliel G, Kant S, Naim M, Rabinowitch 18. HD. Takeoka GR. Buttery RG. Kafkafi U. Effects of ammonium to nitrate ratio and salinity on yield and fruit quality large and small tomato fruit of hybrids. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2005;27(10):1795-1812.
- Contreras JI, Segura L, Pascual I, Catalá JJ. Effect of the NPK fertilization and irrigation water quality on the quality of tomato fruit. In VIII International Symposium on Protected Cultivation in Mild Winter Climates: Advances in Soil and Soilless Cultivation under. 2006;747:481-484.
- 20. De LC, Bhattacharjee SK. Handbook of Edible Fruits. Aavishkar Publishers, Distributors, Jaipur 302003 (Raj.), India. 2012;312.
- Fernández-Cabanás VM, Delgado A, Lobillo-Eguíbar JR, Pérez-Urrestarazu L. Early production of strawberry in aquaponic systems using commercial hydroponic bands. Aquacultural Engineering. 2022;97:102242.
- 22. Halbert-Howard A, Häfner F, Karlowsky S, Schwarz D, Krause A. Evaluating recycling fertilizers for tomato cultivation in hydroponics, and their impact on greenhouse gas emissions. Environmental

Science and Pollution Research. 2021; 28:59284-59303.

- 23. Lee SY, Kim HJ, Bae JH. Growth, vitamin C, and mineral contents of Sedum sarmentosum in soil and hydroponic cultivation. Horticultural Science & Technology. 2011;29(3):195-200.
- 24. Lim SL, Wu TY, Lim PN, Shak KPY. The use of vermicompost in organic farming: overview, effects on soil and economics. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2015;95(6): 1143-1156.
- 25. Murniati A, Dini IR, Razeka M. Utilization of biochar and NPK fertilizer for soybean planting (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) in Ultisol media; 2022.
- Khan F, Siddique AB, Shabala S, Zhou M, Zhao C. Phosphorus Plays Key Roles in Regulating Plants' Physiological Responses to Abiotic Stresses; Plants (Basel). 2023;12(15):2861.
- Noh HJ, Chae HS, Cho HH, Lee CG. Effect of Chelated Organic Minerals Liquid Fertilizer on Growth and Fruit Quality in "Jukhyang" Strawberry. Korean Journal of Organic Agriculture. 2017;25(4):725-736.
- 28. Tohidloo G, Souri MK, Eskandarpour S. Growth and fruit biochemical characteristics of three strawberry genotypes under different potassium concentrations of nutrient solution. Open Agriculture. 2018;3(1):356-362.
- 29. National Horticulture Board. State wise Area and Production estimates of Horticultural crops. Ministry of Agriculture. Government of India; 2021.
- Rana S, Prasad VM. Effects of Different Treatments Combination under Hydroponics and Pot Cultivation Condition in Climatic Zones of Prayagraj for Better Growth and Yield of Strawberry (Fragaria ananassa) cv. Chandler. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34 (23):1443-1450.
- 31. Patil HB, Solanke AV, Hasure RR. Performance of nutrient management in potato preceding by green manuring crops; Crop Res. 2016;51:50-56.
- 32. Singh B, Pathak K, Verma A, Verma V, Deka B. Effects of Vermicompost, Fertilizer and Mulch on Plant Growth, Nodulation and Pod Yield of French Bean. Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research. 2011;74(1):153-165.

### Shawer SS. Interaction effect between nitrate and chloride on yield, uptake and translocation of nutrients in cucumber plant

under nutrient film technique (NFT). Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research. 2014:3:42-48.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119666