



Lactose Fermenting *Salmonella* Spp in Akure: Antibiotic Resistance Patterns and Resulting Clinical Implications

O. I. Afolami¹ and A. K. Onifade^{1*}

¹Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 704, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author OIA designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author AKO managed the analyses of the study and the literature searches. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2018/35475

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Emanuele Zonaro, Environmental Microbiology and Microbial Biotechnologies Laboratory, University in Verona, Italy.

Reviewers:

- (1) Bamidele Tajudeen, Nigerian Institute of Medical Research, Nigeria.
- (2) Ike, C. Anthony, University of Nigeria, Nigeria.
- (3) Silvana Carro, Universidad de la República, Uruguay.
- (4) Juan Carlos Troiano, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- (5) Mohd Rashid, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, India.
- (6) Hayrettin Ozturk, Abant Izzet Baysal University, Turkey.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.science domain.org/review-history/27848>

Original Research Article

Received 04 June 2017
Accepted 18 August 2017
Published 19 December 2018

ABSTRACT

A total of 200 clinical and water samples from Akure metropolis were subjected to bacteriological analysis, of which 37 isolates of lactose fermenting *Salmonella* were obtained; Antibiotic sensitivity tests were carried out on the lactose fermenting isolates of *Salmonella spp* obtained from the samples. From the results, it was discovered that all the lactose fermenting isolates of *Salmonella* showed multiple antibiotic resistances (multidrug resistance) to the different broad spectrum antibiotics used at varying standard inhibitory concentrations. This conducted study gave an insight into the rising incidence of relapsing salmonellosis due to multiple antibiotic resistant strains of lactose fermenting *Salmonella* in the Akure metropolis, provided a scientific explanation to the modified feeding patterns as seen in the *Salmonella* isolates that can utilize lactose sugar due to

*Corresponding author: E-mail: afolamiolufemiifeoluwa@gmail.com;

their genetic modifications and critically evaluated the resulting clinical implications of these residual multiple antibiotic resistant- lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates obtained from the metropolis between July and October 2014 during which this research was conducted.

Keywords: Lactose fermenting *Salmonella*; multiple antibiotic resistances; clinical implications; enteric relapsing Salmonellosis; genetic modifications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Salmonellosis is an infectious disease of humans and animals caused by organisms of the genus *Salmonella*. Although primarily intestinal bacteria, *Salmonella* are present in the environment and may commonly be found in farm effluents, human sewage and in any material subject to faecal contamination [1-3]. The genus *Salmonella* consists of only two major species: *S. enterica* and *S. bongori* [4-5]. *Salmonella enterica* is divided into six subspecies, which are distinguishable by biochemical characteristics; these include sub-species *enterica*, *salamae*, *arizonae*, *diarizonae*, *houtenae* and *indica* [5]. Strains of *Salmonella* are classified into serovars on the basis of extensive diversity of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) antigens (O) and flagellar protein antigens (H) in accordance with the Kauffmann–White scheme; currently over 2500 serovars are recognized [1,3,4].

Salmonellosis has been recognized in all countries, there are 16 million annual cases of typhoid fever, 1.3 billion cases of gastroenteritis and 3 million deaths worldwide [6]. Salmonellosis can affect all ages, but the incidence and severity of disease is higher in young children, the elderly, and people who are immunocompromised or have debilitating diseases [2]. Several serovars are host specific (e.g. *S. abortusovis* in sheep or *S. Typhi* in humans) or host adapted (e.g. *S. choleraesuis* in pigs and *S. Dublin* in cattle). Enteric disease is the commonest clinical manifestation, but a wide range of clinical signs, which include acute septicemia, abortion, arthritis and respiratory disease, may be seen [7].

In the United States, approximately 2 to 4 million cases of *Salmonella* gastroenteritis occur with about 500 deaths per year. A more accurate figure of salmonellosis is difficult to determine because normally only large outbreaks are investigated whereas sporadic cases are under-reported. Data on salmonellosis are scarce in many countries of Asia, Africa and South and Central America where only 1 to 10% of cases are reported, [7-8]. Several outbreaks of

Salmonellosis have been reported by Center for Disease Prevention and Control; these includes the outbreak of the serotypes *enteritidis* (PT21 and PT1), *typhi*, *tennesse*, *agona* and *saint-paul* respectively between years 2005-2010 which affected not less than 3,000 persons altogether in both United states and England, these outbreaks are implicated to have their sources from contaminated food substance [3,9].

Moreover, decades of indiscriminate use and abuse of antibiotics resulted in increased development of antibiotic resistant *Salmonella spp* to different antibiotics, creating a major problem in treatment of salmonellosis and other enteric diseases. There have been many cases of disease relapse and higher incidences in mortality of patients affected by relapsing salmonellosis as mortality rate can be as high as 20%, in the elderly [10]. Genotypic analysis of the antibiotic resistant *Salmonella spp* by use of real time-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and molecular fingerprinting of DNA has been used to good effect [11]. Plasmid gene profile analysis is a quick and relatively easy method to fingerprint strains, and has been used in both human and veterinary medicine to study the spread of antibiotic resistant *Salmonella* [12]. Phage typing or alternative genetic techniques and full DNA sequencing is increasingly used to study genetic variations in antibiotic resistant *Salmonella spp* chiefly because of its low cost automated methods [11-12].

Salmonella species are generally considered to be unable to ferment lactose and sucrose according to Bergey's Manual. However, [13,14] reported two separate species of *Salmonella* capable of fermenting lactose and sucrose. The organism reported here again illustrates that certain strains of *Salmonella* are capable of fermenting lactose and sucrose rapidly and can resemble very a strain of *Citrobacter freundii*. [8,15] provided more comprehensive details of a close resemblance, both biochemically and serologically, between *Salmonella tennessee* and *Citrobacter freundii*; the results of which were evidences that certain subspecies or serotypes of *Salmonella* can develop ability to

degrade lactose due to a wide range of environmental or genetic factors such as mutation or natural selection to enable the organism survive outside its nutritional preferences. It has also been described in recent studies that certain lactose fermenting strains of *Salmonella* possess increased antibiotic resistances chiefly because these isolates are already mutated, hence they are genetic variants as when compared to normal bacterial genes encoding for lactose fermentation in other normal *Salmonella* strains [13-15].

Hence, this study is therefore of great importance in understanding the antibiotic resistant patterns of obtained lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp in Akure metropolis implicated in the rising incidence of the relapsing enteric fever in the town as this would help to ascertain the carrier rate of the resistant isolates from different sample sources, and offer scientific explanations in relating the gene modifications of these isolates to their possession of multiple antibiotic resistant mechanisms.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

Two hundred clinical (urine and stool) and water samples were collected from both human and non-human sources in Akure between July and October, 2014. Out of these, a total of 80 human stool samples and 40 human urine samples were collected from seven different hospitals in all in the metropolis. Ethical approval was obtained from the management authorities of the hospitals before samples were obtained. The samples were collected by Medical Laboratory Scientists in the different hospitals, into labeled sterile universal bottles. A total of 80 water samples were collected into labeled sterile universal bottles from different water sources in different parts of Akure metropolis. All the samples collected were analyzed in the laboratory.

2.2 Sample Preparation and Standardization of Inoculum

Hamdan et al. [10] was adopted in which sterile distilled water was used as diluents for the non-human samples, while sterile peptone water was used as diluents for stool and urine samples prior to analysis. A 1ml of each stock was taken using a sterile syringe into 9ml of sterile distilled water or sterile peptone water for serial dilution procedure in sterile test tubes under aseptic

conditions until four different dilutions were obtained. Hence, 1 ml of each dilution factor was used for inoculating the already prepared Nutrient Agar incubated for bacterial isolation at 37°C for 24 hours. After the incubation time, the culture plates were observed for determination of colony forming units and thereafter, the fourth dilution factor was established as the standard for the isolation of the microbes due to easy numerical estimation of the colony forming units on the agar plate of the last dilution factor according to Cheesebrough, 2010, Hamdan et al., 2008.

2.3 Biochemical Characterization and Identification of Lactose Fermenting *Salmonella*

The methods of [15,16] were adopted by subjecting various obtained sub cultured distinct colonies to a wide array of biochemical tests for characterization and identification. Gram staining technique, Catalase test, Motility test, Sugar fermentation (Glucose and lactose sugars), Citrate test and Acid gas production test were carried out on the distinct isolates obtained after sub culturing. The distinct biochemically characterized colonies were then further sub cultured on Salmonella-Shigella agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The Citrate test distinguished suspected lactose fermenting isolates of *Salmonella* from isolates of *C. fruendii* in their inability to degrade citrate broth.

2.4 Sub-culturing, Characterization and Preservation of Isolates

Distinct colonies on the agar plate were picked aseptically and streaked on Salmonella-Shigella agar, incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Colonies of *Salmonella* spp appear as either pale coloured colony with black centers for H₂S producing *Salmonella* or as Pale coloured colonies without black centers for non H₂S producing strains of *Salmonella* on the Salmonella-Shigella agar [10,17]. Moreover, the suspected *Salmonella* isolates were subjected to various biochemical tests, for identification and characterization [18]. The identified pure isolates of *Salmonella* were preserved on Nutrient Agar Slants and stored at 4°C as described by Cheesebrough [18].

2.5 Antibiotic Sensitivity Test

The Kirby-Bauer test, also known as disc diffusion method was used to determine the

effect of standard antibiotics on bacterial isolates on Mueller Hinton agar. The agar was seeded with 18 hold pure broth cultures of *Salmonella* isolates [19]. The discs were applied unto the seeded plates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C [16]. The bacterial isolates were tested against a wide range of antibiotics namely; Ofloxacin (5µg), Amoxicillin (25µg), Ciprofloxacin (10µg), Tetracycline (30µg), Pefloxacin (5µg) (Cheesebrough, 2010). Thereafter, a ruler was used to measure the diameter of the clear zones of inhibition noticed on the plates and this was noted as degree of antibiotic resistance as described in [19]. The isolates' zones of inhibition was classified into susceptible (17mm and above), intermediate (13mm-17mm), and resistant (0-12mm) based on the specified standard of mean zone of inhibition for pathogenic gram negative bacilli [18].

2.6 Data Analysis

Each treatment was replicated three times. Data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance and treatment means were separated using Duncan's New Multiple Range test at $P \leq 0.05$ level of significance.

3. RESULTS

A total of 37 isolates of lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp were screened out from the 200 samples collected (Table 1); 30 isolates from the human samples were lactose fermenters while only 7 isolates from the non-human samples were discovered to be capable of utilizing lactose in fermentation (Table 2). The isolates' zones of inhibition were classified into susceptible (17mm and above), intermediate (13mm-17mm), and resistant (0-12mm) based on the specified standard of mean zone of inhibition for pathogenic gram negative bacilli [18,19] and the replicated treatment means were subjected to statistical analysis using Duncan's New Multiple Range test at $P \leq 0.05$ level of significance as represented in Tables 3a and 3b. Generally, multiple antibiotic resistances was noticed in all the Lactose fermenting isolates of *Salmonella* from the human and non-human samples sources as indicated in Table 4. However, as a matter of reference, adult males and females were discovered to be chronic carriers of the lethal, multiple antibiotic resistant lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates amongst the isolates obtained from the human sources, while the river water was the leading reservoir for the

lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates obtained from the non-human sources.

Table 1. Distribution of lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp in human and non-human samples

Sample source	LFSS	NLFSS
RW	4	16
ADAMU	3	1
AWW	3	11
ADAFU	4	7
ADAMS	6	3
AHMCS	3	9
ADAFS	4	8
ADFCS	4	7
ADagMS	3	-
ADagFS	3	-

Keys: LFSS—Lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp, NLFSS—Non-lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp, ADAMU—apparently diseased adult female urine ages (18-45yrs), ADAFU—apparently diseased adult female urine ages (18-45yrs), ADAMS—apparently diseased adult male stool ages (18-45yrs), AHMCS—apparently healthy male children stool ages (6-17 yrs), ADFCS—apparently diseased female children stool ages (6-17 yrs), ADAFS—apparently diseased adult female stool ages (18-45yrs), ADagMS—apparently diseased aged male stool ages (55-80 yrs), ADagFS—apparently diseased aged female stool ages (55-80 yrs), RW- River water samples, AWW- Abattoir waste water samples

4. DISCUSSION

It was discovered from this study that, more lactose fermenting isolates are resident in human sources than in non-human sources; the high occurrence of the lactose fermenting *Salmonella* in human samples is possibly due to a couple of factors including mutation and increased exposure of human carriers of *Salmonella* isolates to lactose containing substrates of dairy products, this resulting in nutritional adaptation of the isolates of *Salmonella* spp to the nutritional environment and hence ability to utilize and ferment lactose this agrees with the findings of [8,10,13,15].

Subsequently, as a result of mutagenic variations in the lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates; multiple antibiotic resistances were noticed in all the isolates ranging from each class of the human and non-human samples. The multiple antibiotic resistances observed is due to a variety of factors which includes indiscriminate use of drugs by human sample donors, faecal contamination of different water sources by

Table 2. Biochemical characteristics of lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp isolated from non-human and human samples

Code of isolates	Gram stain	Catalase	Motility test	Glucose fermentation	Lactose fermentation	Citrate test	Colony morphology	Colour on SSA	Suspected organism	Number of isolates
LFSSNHS	-ve (bacilli rods)	+ve	+ve	Acid /gas	Acid /gas	+ve	Cream/ raised	PCC+BC	<i>Salmonella</i> spp	7
LFSSHs	-ve (bacilli rods)	+ve	+ve	Acid /gas	Acid /gas	+ve	Cream/ raised	PCC+BC	<i>Salmonella</i> spp	30

Keys: LFSSNHS—Lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp in non-human samples, LFSSHs-- Lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp in human samples, -ve—Negative, +ve—Positive, SSA—*Salmonella*-*Shigella* agar PCC+BC—Pale coloured colonies with black centers (indicating lactose fermenting isolates and H₂S producers)

Table 3a. Zones of inhibition of lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates in human and non-human samples

Codes of isolates	OFL	AMX	CPX	TET	PFX
SSADAMU	14.33±2.52 ^b	10.67±2.51 ^c	16.00±2.00 ^b	00.00±2.65 ^c	21.00±3.00 ^b
	14.33±2.52 ^b	8.67±1.53 ^b	16.33±2.52 ^b	00.00±2.00 ^b	21.00±3.00 ^b
	14.33±2.52 ^b	00±00 ^a	15.33±1.53 ^b	00.00±1.00 ^b	20.00±2.00 ^b
SSAHMCS	17.67±2.52 ^b	10.00±2.00 ^b	18.00±2.00 ^b	11.67±1.15 ^b	14.67±2.52 ^b
	17.00±2.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	17.67±1.53 ^b	00±00 ^a	15.67±2.52 ^b
	19.00±1.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	19.00±1.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	19.33±2.52 ^c
SSADAFU	15.00±1.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	17.00±1.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	13.00±2.00 ^b
	18.00±1.00 ^d	00±00 ^a	18.00±1.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	16.00±2.00 ^c
	17.00±1.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	19.00±1.00 ^d	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a
SSADAMS	12.00±1.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	12.00±1.00 ^a	6.00±00 ^b	00±00 ^a
	14.00±2.00 ^b	9.00±2.00 ^b	16.00±2.00 ^b	8.00±2.00 ^b	8.67±2.52 ^b
	16.67±1.53 ^c	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	14.00±2.00 ^c
	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a
	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a
	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	18.00±2.00 ^b	10.00±2.00 ^b
SSADAFS	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	18.00±2.00 ^b	00±00 ^a
	17.00±1.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	15.67±1.53 ^b	16.00±2.00 ^b	15.00±2.00 ^b
	19.00±1.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	16.67±1.53 ^b	00±00 ^a	15.00±2.00 ^b
	13.50±1.00 ^a	5.45±1.00 ^a	11.00±1.00 ^a	12.10±1.00 ^b	00±00 ^a
	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a	11.42±1.20 ^a	10.50±1.24 ^b	00±00 ^a

Key: SSADAMU-- *Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased adult male urine (ages 18-45 yrs), SSAHMCS---*Salmonella* spp from apparently healthy male children stool (ages 6-17 yrs), SSADAFU---*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased adult female urine (ages 18-45 yrs), SSADAMS—*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased adult male stool ages (18-45yrs), -(SSADAFS)—*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased adult female stool ages (18-45yrs), SSAWW--- *Salmonella* spp from Abattoir waste water samples, OFL---Ofloxacin, AMX----Amoxicillin, CPX---Ciprofloxacin, TET---Tetracycline, PFX---Pefloxacin, Values with the same letter as superscript have no significant difference at p≤0.05 level of significance

Table 3b. Zones of inhibition of lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates in human and non-human samples

Codes of isolates	OFL	AMX	CPX	TET	PFX
SSADagFS	19.00±1.00 ^b	13.00±2.00 ^b	17.00±2.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	18.00±2.00 ^b
	21.67±2.52 ^b	14.67±1.53 ^b	15.00±2.00 ^b	12.00±2.00 ^b	18.67±1.53 ^c
	23.00±2.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	16.00±2.00 ^b	11.67±1.53 ^b	17.00±1.00 ^b
SSADagMS	14.67±2.52 ^b	10.00±2.00 ^b	18.00±2.00 ^b	11.67±1.15 ^b	17.67±2.52 ^b
	15.00±2.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	17.67±1.53 ^b	00±00 ^a	15.67±2.52 ^b
	15.00±1.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	19.00±1.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	19.33±2.52 ^c
SSADFCS	15.00±1.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	17.00±1.00 ^b	00±00 ^a	13.00±2.00 ^b
	18.00±1.00 ^d	00±00 ^a	18.00±1.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	16.00±2.00 ^c
	17.00±1.00 ^c	00±00 ^a	19.00±1.00 ^d	00±00 ^a	00±00 ^a
	13.00±1.00 ^a	00±00 ^a	15.00±1.50 ^b	00±00 ^a	12.05±1.00 ^b
SSRW	15.00±2.00 ^b	19.00±2.00 ^b	16.67±1.53 ^b	13.00±2.00 ^b	18.00±2.00 ^c
	16.67±1.53 ^b	18.00±2.00 ^b	19.67±1.53 ^c	13.70±2.00 ^b	19.00±2.00 ^c
	5.33±1.50 ^a	00±00 ^a	16.33±2.52 ^b	8.00±1.00 ^a	00±00 ^a
	00.00±0.00 ^a	00±00 ^a	21.33±2.52 ^c	6.00±1.00 ^a	00±00 ^a

Keys: SSRW--- *Salmonella* spp from River water samples, SSADagMS-- *Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased aged male stool (ages 55-80 yrs), SSADagFS-- *Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased aged female stool (ages 55-80 yrs), SSADFCS---*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased female children stool (ages 6-17 yrs), OFL--- Ofloxacin, AMX---Amoxicillin, CPX---Ciprofloxacin, TET---Tetracycline, PFX---Pefloxacin. Values with the same letter as superscript have no significant difference at $p \leq 0.05$ level of significance

Table 4. The deduced antibiotic resistance patterns of the lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates from non-human and human samples after estimation of their inhibition zones

Codes of isolates	Number of isolates	OFL	AMX	CPX	TET	PFX	Inference
SSADAMU	3	3I	3R	3I	3R	3S	MARI
SSADAFU	4	2S, 1I, 1R	4R	R, 3S	4R	2I, 2R	MARI
SSADAMS	6	4R, 2I	6R	1I, 5R	2S, 4R	1I, 5R	MARI
SSAHMCS	3	3S	3R	3S	3R	3I, 1S	MARI
SSADAFS	4	2S, 1I, 1R	4R	2I, 2R	1I, 3R	2I, 2R	MARI
SSADFCS	4	4I	4R	4S	4R	1S, 2I, R	MARI
SSAWW	3	3S	3R	2S, 1I	2I, R	3S	MARI
SSRW	4	2R, 2I	2S, 2R	2I, 2S	2I, 2R	2S, 2R	MARI
SSADagMS	3	3I	3R	3S	3R	2I, 1S	MARI
SSADagFS	3	3S	1R, 2I	3I	3R	3S	MARI

Key: SSADAMU-- *Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased adult male urine (ages 18-45 yrs), SSADAFU---*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased adult female urine (ages 18-45 yrs), SSADAMS--*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased adult male stool (ages 18-45 yrs), SSADAFS---*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased adult female stool (ages 18-45 yrs), SSAHMCS---*Salmonella* spp from apparently healthy male children stool (ages 6-17 yrs), SSADFCS---*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased female children stool (ages 6-17 yrs), SSADagMS--*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased aged male stool (ages 55-80 yrs), SSADagFS--*Salmonella* spp from apparently diseased aged female stool (ages 55-80 yrs), SSRW--- *Salmonella* spp from River water samples, SSAWW--- *Salmonella* spp from Abattoir waste water samples, MARI—Multiple antibiotic resistant isolates, R—resistant, S—susceptible, I—intermediate, OFL---Ofloxacin, AMX----Amoxicillin, CPX---Ciprofloxacin, TET---Tetracycline, PFX---Pefloxacin.

human carriers of lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp where samples were collected from the metropolis resulting in the introduction of drug resistant isolates of *Salmonella* to different water bodies. This agrees with similar studies conducted in other parts of the world as described in the findings of [10,17,20]. Thus, the spread of the multiple antibiotic resistant-lactose fermenting *Salmonella* spp were also implicated in a study carried out by [8,21,22].

Moreso, the multiple antibiotic resistances observed in all the lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates obtained from the human sample sources gave an insight into reasons for frequent relapses of salmonellosis amongst patients diagnosed across various health organizations in the Akure metropolis between July and October, 2014 during which this research study was conducted this agrees with [22,23,24]. Hence, adequate medical laboratory tests should be carried out on patients with suspected cases of enteric fever or salmonellosis before treatments are recommended to them; this would help curb the development and spread of antibiotic resistance in many serovars of *Salmonella* in the Akure metropolis. Different antibiotic combination therapies are also strongly recommended for prophylactic treatment of infected patients.

5. CONCLUSION

It is recommended that public health awareness be given to commercial artisans and patients about the spread of enteric diseases in Akure metropolis, better epidemiological surveillance can also be mounted on food vending joints, major water sources and hospitals to reduce the incidence of relapsing salmonellosis caused by multiple antibiotic resistant lactose fermenting *Salmonella* isolates. Herd immunity of infants, aged and pregnant women and immunocompromised individuals can also be implemented in primary health care centers in the metropolis.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Yousef AE, Carlstrom C. Salmonellosis, a prevailing food borne infection. Food microbiology: A laboratory manual, p. 167-205. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2003;123-145.
2. Boyen F, Haesebrouck F, Van Immerseel F, Pasmans F. Non-typhoidal Salmonella infections in pigs: A closer look at epidemiology, pathogenesis and control. Journal of Veterinary Microbiology. 2008;130(1-2).
3. Montville TJ, Matthews KR. Food microbiology: An introduction (2nd ed.). United States of America: ASM Press, Washington. 2008;234-243.
4. Grimont PA, Weill F. Antigenic formulae of the salmonella serovars, Ninth Edition, World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Salmonella. Institut Pasteur, Paris, France. 2007;20-42.
5. Gomez-Laguna J, Hernandez M, Creus E, Echeita A, Otal J. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* infections in free-range pigs. The Veterinary Journal; 2010. DOI:10.1016/j.tvji.2010.09.009.
6. Piu C, Wong W, Chai L. *Salmonella*; a Food borne Pathogen. International Food Research Journal. 2008;18:465-473.
7. Newell DG, Koopmans M, Verhoef L, Duizer E, Kruse H. Food-borne diseases- the challenges of 20 years ago still persist while new ones continue to emerge. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2010;139:S3-S15. DOI:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.01.021.
8. Greene SK, Stuart AM, Medalla FM, Whichard JM, Hoekstra RM, Chiller TM. Distribution of multidrug-resistant human isolates of Mdr-Accsut *Salmonella typhimurium* and Mdr-Ampc *Salmonella*. Newport, United States, 2003–2005. Foodborne Pathogen and Disease. 2008;5(5):669–680.
9. Center for Disease Prevention and Control (CDC), Salmonella; 2010. Available: <http://www.cdc.gov/Salmonella>
10. Hamdan RH, Musa N, Musa N, Seong Wei L, Sarman A. Isolation and enumeration of coliform bacteria and *Salmonella* spp. from short necked clam *Orbicularia orbiculata* at East Coast, Malaysia. Internet Journal of Food Safety. 2008;10:58-64.
11. Foley SL, Zhao SH, Walker RD. Comparison of molecular typing methods for the differentiation of salmonella food borne pathogens. Foodborne Pathogen and Diseases. 2007;4(3):253–276.

12. Torpdahl M, Sorensen G, Lindstedt A, Nielsen EM. Tandem repeat analysis for surveillance of human *Salmonella typhimurium* infections. *Journal of Emerging Infections and Diseases*. 2007;13:388-395.
13. Anthony BG. Emergence of Lactose fermenting *Salmonella tennessee* in east Tennessee, U.S.A. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 1982;91:41-43.
14. Ewing WH. *Edwards and Ewing's identification of enterobacteriaceae*, Fourth Edition. Elsevier, Oxford University Press, New York. 1986;12-13.
15. Hasan MN, Ara N, Mamun SA, Rahman MM, Rahman MH. Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in chicken egg from Khulna city. *Journal of Innovation and Development Strategy*. 2009;3(3):1-6.
16. Olutiola A, Musa B, Udoma H. Conventional and alternative methods for isolation and identification of bacteria from different sample sources – An overview. *Handbook of Microbiological Laboratory Practice*. 2001;2:11-12.
17. Yoke-Kqueen C, Learn-Han L, Noorzaleha AS, Jiun-Horng S, Chai-Hoon K. Characterization of multiple-antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *Enterica* isolated from indigenous vegetables and poultry in Malaysia. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*. 2007;46:318-324.
18. Cheesebrough M. *District laboratory practice in tropical countries*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2ed: 2010;157-164.
19. Zarpantis E, Hagravy M. Modified antibiotic sensitivity of selected bacterial isolates using Kirby-Bauer test. University Press, New York. 2005;12-13.
20. Jalali M, Abedi D, Pourbakhsh SA, Ghokasin K. Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in raw and cooked foods in Isfahan-Iran. *Journal of Food Safety*. 2008;28:442-452.
21. Zhao S, Datta AR, Ayers S, Friedman S, White DG. Antimicrobial-resistant *Salmonella serovars* isolated from imported foods. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 2003;84(1):87-92. DOI:10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00402-6.
22. Singh S, Yadav AS, Singh SM, Bharti P. Prevalence of *Salmonella* in chicken eggs collected from poultry farms and marketing channels and their antimicrobial resistance. *Food Research International*. 2010;43(8):2027-2030. DOI:10.1016/j.foodres.2010.06.001.
23. Byarugaba DK. A view on antimicrobial resistance in developing countries and responsible risk factors. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. 2004;24: 105–110.
24. De Oliveira FA, Pasqualotto AP, da Silva WP, Tondo EC. Characterization of *Salmonella enteritidis* isolated from human samples. *Food Research International*. 2010;32:112-134. DOI:10.1016/j.foodres. 09.040.

© 2018 Afolami and Onifade; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/27848>